SDMS DocID 295544

FIVE-YEAR REVIEW REPORT

Second Five-Year Review Report for the Barkhamsted-New Hartford Landfill Barkhamsted-New Hartford, Connecticut

September 2008

Prepared By:

United States Environmental Protection Agency
Region 1

Boston, Massachusetts

Barkhamsted
8.3

Approved by:

James T. Owens, III
Director, Office of Site Remediation and Restoration

Date: 9/19/08

ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP Date 9/15/08

TO: (Name, office symbol, room number, building, Agency/ Post)	Initials	Date
1. MARY JANE O'DONNELL	MJO	9/16/08
2. MICHELLE LAUTENBACK	ML	9/16/08
3. LARRY BRILL	LHB	9/17/08
4. ~~JAN OWENS~~	JO	9/19/08
5. BYRON MAH		

X	Action		File		Note and Return
	Approval		For Clearance		Per Conversation
	As Requested		For Correction		Prepare Reply
	Circulate		For Your Information		See Me
	Comment		Investigate	X	Signature
	Coordination		Justify		

REMARKS

BARKHAMSTED 5 YR REVIEW

PLEASE REVIEW AND INITIAL.

IF YOU HAVE COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS
PLEASE CALL ME AT X81249

BYRON

DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, disposals, clearances, and similar actions

FROM: (Name, org. symbol, Agency/ Post)	Room No. — Bldg.
BYRON MAH	HBO
	Phone No. 81249

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

FIVE-YEAR REVIEW REPORT

Second Five-Year Review Report for the Barkhamsted-New Hartford Landfill Barkhamsted-New Hartford, Connecticut

September 2008

Prepared By:

United States Environmental Protection Agency
Region 1
Boston, Massachusetts

Approved by: Date:

James T. Owens, III
Director, Office of Site Remediation and Restoration

CONCURRENCES								
SYMBOL	JBO	HBT	SES					
SURNAME	MAH	O'Donnell	Lentzbrock					
DATE	9/15/08	9/16/08	9/16/08					

*U.S. Government Printing Office: 2001 — 715-264/96189

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS 2

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS 6

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 7

FIVE-YEAR REVIEW SUMMARY FORM 8

FIVE-YEAR REVIEW SUMMARY FORM, CONT'D 9

1.0 INTRODUCTION 11

2.0 SITE CHRONOLOGY 12

3.0 BACKGROUND 14

3.1 Physical Characteristics 14

3.2 Land and Resource Use 14

3.3 History of Contamination 15

3.4 Initial Response Actions 16

3.5 Summary of Basis for Taking Action 17

4.0 REMEDIAL ACTIONS 18

4.1 Remedy Selection and Remedial Action Objectives 18

4.1.1 Source Control 19

4.1.2 Management of Migration 19

4.2 Remedy Implementation 20

4.3 System Operations/Operation and Maintenance (O&M) 21

4.3.1 Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Issues 22

5.0 PROGRESS SINCE THE LAST FIVE-YEAR REVIEW 22

5.1 Protectiveness Statement from Last Review 23

5.2 Status of Recommendations and Follow-up Actions from Last Review 23

5.3 Results of Implemented Actions, Including Whether They Achieved the Intended Purpose 24

5.4 Status of Any Other Prior Issues 24

6.0 FIVE-YEAR REVIEW PROCESS 25

6.1 Administrative Components and Community Involvement 25

6.2 Document Review 25

6.3 Data Review 25

6.4 Site Inspections 28

6.5 Interviews 28

7.0 TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT 29

7.1 Question A: Is the Remedy functioning as intended by the decision documents? 29

7.2 Question B: Are the exposure assumptions, toxicity data, cleanup levels and remedial action objectives (RAOs) used at the time of remedy selection still valid? 29

7.2.1 Changes in Exposure Pathways 29

7.2.2 Changes in Toxicity, ARARs, and Other Contaminant Characteristics 31

7.2.3 Expected Progress Towards Meeting RAOs 31

7.3 Questions C: Other information that could call into question the protectiveness of the remedy? 34

7.4 Technical Assessment Summary 34

8.0 ISSUES 34

9.0 RECOMMENDATIONS AND FOLLOW-UP ACTIONS 34

10.0 PROTECTIVENESS STATEMENT 35

11.0 NEXT REVIEW 35

REFERENCES 36

FIGURES

1 Site Location Map

2 Site Plan and Sampling Locations

3 Overburden Groundwater Contour Map - April 30, 2003

4 Shallow Bedrock Groundwater Contour Map – April 30, 2003

5 Overburden Total VOCs and SVOCs Concentration Map - April 30 - May 8, 2003
5A Overburden Total VOCs and SVOCs Concentration Map - April 2008
6 Overburden Total BTEX Concentration Map - April 30 - May 8, 2003
6A Overburden Total BTEX Concentration Map - April 2008
7 Shallow Bedrock Total VOCs and SVOCs Concentration Map - April 30 - May 8, 2003
7A Shallow Bedrock Total VOCs and SVOCs Concentration Map - April 2008
8 Shallow Bedrock Total BTEX Concentration Map - April 30 - May 8, 2003
8A Shallow Bedrock Total BTEX Concentration Map - April 2008
9 Simulated and Observed Concentrations of 4 Methylphenol – MW-101S
10 Simulated and Observed Concentrations of 2-Butanone – MW-101S
11 Simulated and Observed Concentrations of 4 Methylphenol – MW-5S
12 Simulated and Observed Concentrations of 2-Butanone – MW-5S
13 Plume Centerline Concentrations for COCs and MNA Parameters – 2003
14 Plume Centerline Concentrations for COCs and MNA Parameters – 2008

TABLES

(Tables are located at end of document unless otherwise noted.)

1 Chronology of Site Events (page 11)
2 Contaminants of Concern and Other Target Analytes
3 Annual Operations/O&M Costs (page 21)
4 Summary of Historical Groundwater VOC and SVOC Results
5 Summary of Historical Groundwater Metals Results
6 Summary of Historical Surface Water Metals Results
7 Summary of Historical Sediment Metals Results
8 Summary of Analytical Results - 2003 to 2008 VOCs and SVOCs in Groundwater
9 Summary of Analytical Results - 2003 to 2008 Metals in Groundwater
10 Changes in Cancer Toxicity Data
11 Changes in non-Cancer Toxicity Data
12 Recommended Changes to Sampling Locations, Rationale and Frequency

APPENDICIES

A. Landfill Inspection Checklist

B. Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements

C. Potentially Complete Exposure Pathways

D. Vapor Intrusion Pathway Summary Page

E. Graphs of Groundwater Concentration Trends for Select VOCs, SVOCs and Arsenic

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ARARs	Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements
bgs	Below ground surface
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
COCs	Contaminants of Concern
COPC	Contaminants of Potential Concern
CTDEP	Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection
EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
ELUR	Environmental Land Use Restriction
FS	Feasibility Study
FSP	Field Sampling Plan
MCLs	Maximum Contaminant Levels
MCLG	Maximum Contaminant Level Goals
MDL	Method Detection Limit
MNA	Monitored Natural Attenuation
NPL	National Priorities List
NTCRA	Non-Time Critical Removal Action
OHM	Oil and/or Hazardous Material
OMM	Operations and Maintenance Manual
OU	Operable Unit
ppm	Parts per million
ppb	Parts per billion
POTW	Publicly Owned Treatment Works
PRP	Potentially Responsible Party
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
PSD	Performing Settling Defendant
QAPP	Quality Assurance Project Plan
RA	Remedial Action
RAO	Response Action Objectives
RAP	Remedial Action Plan
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RI	Remedial Investigation
ROD	Record of Decision
RPM	Remedial Project Manager
RRDD	Regional Refuse Disposal District No.1
SVOCs	Semivolatile organic compounds
VOCs	Volatile Organic Compounds

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The remedy selected to address contamination at the Barkhamsted-New Hartford Landfill site (hereinafter referred to as the Site), located in the town of Barkhamsted, Litchfield County, Connecticut was Monitored Natural Attenuation (MNA) of Site groundwater (deemed as the only medium requiring further remediation). This landfill was capped as part of a Non-Time Critical Removal Action (NTCRA) lead by the Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection (CTDEP) to address source materials and principal-threat wastes. The CTDEP approved the landfill closure in January 1998. The trigger for this Five-Year Review was the last Five-Year Review in September 2003. This statutory review is required since hazardous waste remains at the Site above levels that allow for unlimited use and unrestricted exposure.

The Record of Decision (ROD) indicating that MNA was the selected remedy was approved on September 28, 2001 (EPA, 2001b). Initially, the ROD required quarterly sampling of groundwater monitoring wells for two years. This was conducted at the site to coincide with the monitoring requirements set forth in Landfill Operation and Maintenance Manual (O'Brien and Gere, October 2001). Since 2005, semi-annual sampling of groundwater monitoring wells have been conducted.

The assessment of the five-year review found that the remedy is functioning as designed. The immediate threats have been addressed, and the groundwater remedy is expected to be protective of human health and the environment upon completion, when groundwater cleanup goals are achieved through MNA, which was estimated in the Feasibility Study (FS) to occur in about 16 years (O'Brien & Gere Engineers, Inc., 2001a). The MNA remedy also appears ahead of the model prediction, so the remedial goal may be achieved sooner. In the interim, exposure pathways that could result in unacceptable risks are being controlled.

FIVE-YEAR REVIEW SUMMARY FORM

SITE IDENTIFICATION		
Site Name: Barkhamsted-New Hartford Landfill		
EPA CERCLIS ID: CTD980732333		
Region 1	**State:** CT	**City/County:** Barkhamsted, CT
SITE STATUS		
NPL Status: **X** Final Deleted Other (Specify)		
Remediation Status (choose all that apply): Under Construction **X** Operating Complete		
Multiple OUs? Yes **X** No		**Construction Complete Date:** 9/28/2001
Has site been put into reuse? Yes **X** No		
REVIEW STATUS		
Lead Agency: **X** EPA State Tribe Other Federal Agency		
Authors Names: Byron Mah		
Authors' Titles/Affiliation: Byron Mah, Remedial Project Manager, U.S. EPA		
Review Period: 6/30/03 to 6/30/08		
Date(s) of Site Inspection: 6/19/08, 6/25/08, 8/6/08		
Type of Review: **X** Post-SARA Pre-SARA NPL-Removal Only Non-NPL Remedial Action Site NPL State/Tribe Lead Regional Discretion		
Review Number: 1 (first) **X 2 (second)** 3 (third) Other (specify)_____		
Triggering Action: **X** Actual RA Onsite Construction at OU# 1 (NTCRA) Actual RA Start at OU #_____ Construction Completion Previous Five-Year Review Report		
Triggering Action date (from WasteLAN): 9/22/03		
Due Date (five years after triggering action date): 9/23/08		

FIVE-YEAR REVIEW SUMMARY FORM, CONT'D.

Issues:

There were no issues that affect the protectiveness of the remedy. As a side note, however, during the annual inspection of the landfill by the EPA in the summer of 2005 erosion was discovered at one of the surface water drainage downchutes. The downchute is located on the west side of the landfill. Erosion had occurred at a point starting approximately 180 feet from the bottom edge of the landfill just below a side slope diversion ditch. The erosion had resulted in the partial sinking of the gabions that lined the downchute and the accumulation of erosion material at the base of the landfill. The downchute was repaired. This event did not impact the cap liner.

Recommendations and Follow-up Actions:

There were no issues affecting the protectiveness of the remedy requiring follow-up actions. Regarding the previous surface erosion, a recommendation was made to repair the downchute before winter. The downchute was repaired in the fall of 2005 and appears to be functioning appropriately.

Continue to review all downchutes for erosion during annual inspections. Increase frequency of inspections if downchutes appear suspect for erosion.

Protectiveness Statement(s):

As a result of previous actions at the Site, groundwater is the only medium requiring further remedial action for which Monitored Natural Attenuation (MNA) was the selected remedy. The assessment of the five-year review found that the remedy is functioning as designed. The immediate threats have been addressed, and the groundwater remedy is expected to be protective of human health and the environment upon completion, when groundwater cleanup goals are achieved through MNA, which was estimated in the Feasibility Study (FS) to occur in about 16 years (O'Brien & Gere Engineers, Inc., 2001a). The MNA remedy also appears ahead of the model prediction, so the remedial goal may be achieved sooner. In the interim, exposure pathways that could result in unacceptable risks are being controlled.

Long-Term Protectiveness:

Long-term protectiveness of the remedial action will be verified by continuing the MNA groundwater sampling program to monitor and evaluate the contaminant plume downgradient of the landfill and the potential migration of the plume. Current data indicate that the plume appears stable or a steady state condition and is shrinking in size towards the landfill (source area). Since the Remedial Action at all OUs are protective, the Site is protective of human health and the environment.

Other Comments:

There are no other comments for this 5-Year Review.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this five-year review is to determine whether the remedy for the Barkhamsted-New Hartford Landfill Superfund Site (Site) is protective of human health and the environment. The methods, findings and conclusions of this review are documented in this five-year review report. In addition, this report identifies issues encountered during preparation of this five-year review, along with recommendations to address such issues.

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) must implement five-year reviews pursuant to Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) (Section 121) and the NCP. CERCLA Section 121(c) states:

> *If the President selects a remedial action that results in any hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants remaining at the site, the President shall review such remedial action no less often than each five years after the initiation of such remedial action to assure that human health and the environment are being protected by the remedial action being implemented. In addition, if upon such review it is the judgment of the President that action is appropriate at such site in accordance with section 9604 [104] or 9606 [106], the President shall take or require such action. The President shall report to the Congress a list of facilities for which such review is required, the results of all such reviews, and any actions taken as a result of such reviews.*

The Agency reported this requirement further in the NCP; part 300.430(f)(4)(ii) of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) states:

> *If a remedial action is selected that results in hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants remaining at the site above levels that allow for unlimited use and unrestricted exposure, the lead agency shall review such action no less often than every five years after the initiation of the selected remedial action.*

This is the second five-year review for the Site. The triggering action for this review is the last Five-Year review in September 2003 following the Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection (CTDEP) approval of the non-time critical removal date (NTCRA) in 1998, which included capping of the landfill, along with implementation of a leachate management system and institutional controls. The Five-Year Review is required due to the fact that hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants remaining at the Site above levels that allow for unlimited use and unrestricted exposure. This Five-Year Review has been prepared following guidance provided by EPA (2001a).

The selected remedial action to reduce impact of designated Contaminants of Concern (COCs) to groundwater (deemed as the only medium requiring remediation) is Monitored Natural Attenuation (MNA) of Site groundwater. LFR Inc. (LFR) was selected as the contractor on behalf of the Potentially Responsible Party (PRP) in February 2003. The Regional Refuse Disposal District No.1 (RRDD) acting as the Performing Party conducted the initial quarterly groundwater sampling event, pursuant to the ROD, in April and May 2003 program. In 2005 after 2 years of quarterly sampling the sampling frequency changed to semi-annual.

2.0 SITE CHRONOLOGY

The chronology of the Site is addressed in Table 1, which includes significant events and dates as one operating unit (OU).

TABLE 1: CHRONOLOGY OF SITE EVENTS

Date (Month/year)	Environmental Issue/Event/milestone
September 1970	Regional Refuse Disposal District No. 1 (RRDD) was formed.
September 1972	RRDD received CTDEP soil waste permit #005-2L. The RRDD purchased the Barkhamsted property from the Town of Barkhamsted.
1970s	Operation of chemical pit that received oily sludge with metal grindings and degreasers.
January 1974	Modification to the RRDD solid waste permit was issued.
April 1974	The landfill became operational.
1974-1979	CTDEP solid waste reports document lack of daily cover material; additional issues include ponding of water on landfill surface and encroachment of brush and bulky waste onto 50-foot buffer zone.
April 1974-August 1988	Barkhamsted landfill Site was used for the disposal of solid waste.
1980	CTDEP inspection of the Site.
1981	EPA conducted a preliminary assessment for the Site.
March 1981	CTDEP requests RRDD to remove hazardous waste from the facility.
July 1981	CTDEP formerly approved disposal of metal grinding waste at Site.
1983	Two complaints received concerning the presence of a large number of drums; CTDEP requests that 25 drums containing suspect motor oil be re-located to a paved area on-Site.
November 1983	Thirty drums discovered near the scrap metal area (north of toe of landfill and NW of garage).
December 16, 1983	A modification to the landfill operating permit was issued.
1984	Requirement for a new metals grindings cell. Metal grindings were stored on Site in 55-gallon drums.

September 1986	CTDEP acknowledges handling of waste oil and batteries for recycling.
March-1987	NUS Corporation conducts site inspection, on behalf of EPA –Site receives hazard ranking score (HRS) of 52.00, later lowered to 38.05, due to low population density and fact that area served by public water supply.
November – December 1988	Disposal of solid waste at the Site because CRRA mid-Connecticut Waste to Energy Plant was inoperable.
August 1988 – October 1993	Disposal of bulky and non-processible waste only.
1988	CTDEP document states that one half of the barrels received at the Site contained unspecified amounts of chlorinated hydrocarbons or methyl ethyl ketone.
October 5, 1989	Barkhamsted Site listed on NPL.
February 1990	Minor amendment was granted to the RRDD solid waste permit allowing landfill to accept dewatered sludge from Winsted's publicly owned treatment works (POTW).
1990	CTDEP Administrative order to investigate waste materials; determine extent of impact and potential impact to soil, surface water and groundwater
October 4, 1991	CERCLA Administrative Order to Conduct Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) (Docket No. I-91-1128).
Dec 1991-Jan 1992	Limited Field Investigation (LFI) conducted by O'Brien & Gere Engineers, Inc.
December 1991	Scope of Study completed by Fuss & O'Neill per CTDEP Administrative Order No. 666.
November 1992	Landfill closure implemented. CTDEP revise permit # SW-0005-2L to address water quality monitoring plan.
October 1993	Facility ceases acceptance of waste for on-Site disposal.
April 1994	Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis (EE/CA) addressing NTCRA.
September 26, 1994	EPA approves NTCRA; EPA and CTDEP enter into Consent Order requiring RRDD to design and implement NTCRA.
October 1994	Landfill cover (2-ft thick) installed.
January 1995	CTDEP approves landfill closure.
February 1996	Remedial Investigation (RI) by O'Brien & Gere Engineers, Inc. (1996).
September 1996	Draft Remedial Action Plan (RAP).
1998	NTCRA completed; implementation of leachate collection system; capping of landfill and Site restoration.
June 2001	Feasibility Study Report, O'Brien & Gere Engineers, Inc. (2001a).
September 28, 2001	EPA Record of Decision (ROD) (EPA, 2001b).
November 19, 2002	Environmental Land Use Restriction (ELUR) public notice; 30-day comment period from 11/19/02 to 12/19/02.
April to June 2003	Sampling of Site groundwater monitoring wells, residential potable water wells, surface water and sediment sampling per the ROD begins.
July 2003	Drilling to install additional monitoring wells MW-120S and MW-120B.

August 23, 2003	The on-Site ELUR, dated July 24, 2003, was recorded at the Barkhamsted Land Records in Volume 124, Page 140.
September 2003	First 5-Year Review.
January 22, 2004	The off-Site Town Garage ELUR, dated December 22, 2003, was recorded in Volume 126, Page 347. The off-Site MDC ELUR, dated December 22, 2003, was recorded in Volume 126, Page 357.
February 24, 2004	The off-Site ELUR for the Morris property dated January 4, 2004 was recorded at the Barkhamsted Land Record in Volume 126, Page 689.
August 2005	EPA Site inspection discovers a downchute failure in one of the downchuts.
October to November 2005	Downchute repair conducted and completed.
April 19, 2008	Public notice that a Five-Year Review is to be conducted.
September 2008	Second Five-Year Review

3.0 BACKGROUND

3.1 Physical Characteristics

The Site is comprised of a 97.8-acre parcel of land located on the northern slope of a hill within the Farmington River Valley, located in the north central portion of Connecticut. The Site is primarily used as a transfer station and recycling center consisting of 97.84 acres located in the Towns of Barkhamsted and New Hartford, Litchfield County, Connecticut (a Site Location Map is provide as Figure 1). The capped landfill itself is approximately 13 acres. The Site is abutted to northeast by the Barkhamsted Town Garage facility and in other directions by both developed and undeveloped private properties. This includes residential properties to the east and southeast that use private wells for potable water. The town center of New Hartford lies within a one-mile radius to the south-southeast of the Site. Other areas of the Site property include an active transfer station, recycling area, maintenance and office building, and dense woods comprised primarily of hardwood and conifer trees. A Site Location Map is provided as Figure 1 and Figure 2 presents the Site Plan and Sampling Locations.

3.2 Land and Resource Use

The Site was formerly used as a solid waste landfill that received oily sludge with metal grindings and degreasers. Waste oil and batteries were handled for recycling. A NTCRA was initiated in 1992 to cap the landfill, which stopped accepting waste for on-Site disposal in October 1993. In January 1998, the CTDEP approved the landfill closure.

The current use of the Site includes an active waste transfer station, recycling area, with a maintenance and office building. The capped landfill is fenced. The current use for the surrounding area is residential, commercial and recreational. The Metropolitan District

Commission (MDC) owns undeveloped land along the Farmington River, which is used for recreational purposes, including fishing, swimming and boating.

One surface water body, designated as the "Un-named Brook", originates south of the Site and flows along the western portion of the landfill area. Beyond the landfill, the brook proceeds to the northeast and flows under Route 44, where it enters the Farmington River floodplain and a series of small beaver ponds. The brook eventually flows into the Farmington River, located approximately 0.25 miles southeast of the Site. The Farmington River is a Class B River for recreational fishing and boating.

The groundwater aquifer underlying the Site is currently not used as a drinking water source, but nearby commercial and residential areas use off-Site wells for potable water. These off-Site potable wells are not within the zone of Site-related groundwater plumes. Groundwater at the Site is estimated to flow to the northeast. Downgradient of the Site, groundwater flow is more easterly toward the Farmington River. Groundwater contour maps for April 2008 for the overburden and shallow bedrock are included as Figures 3 and 4, respectively. Due to the affected groundwater at the Site an Environmental Land Use Restriction (ELUR) was placed on the Site to document the groundwater contamination, which was recorded at the Barkhamsted Land Record on February 24, 2004. In addition, the ELUR noted that groundwater is not to be used for drinking or other purposes, that there is to be no building on the cap or residential use immediately downgradient, that there is no disturbance to the cap and it is to be properly maintained to prevent exposure.

3.3 History of Contamination

The Barkhamsted landfill was used for the disposal of solid waste between April 1974 and August 1988. The property is owned and operated by the Regional Refuse Disposal District No. 1 (RRDD). RRDD is a corporate entity that was established on May 25, 1970 upon the adoption of its charter by the Towns of Barkhamsted, Colebrook, New Hartford and Winchester. On September 21, 1972, RRDD received a permit from the State of CTDEP approving the establishment of a solid waste disposal area. The Site began operating as a landfill in 1974.

The Site was used for the disposal of solid waste between April 1974 and August 1988. After August 1988, the landfill was used only for the disposal of bulky and non-processible waste with the exception of a period during November and December 1988 when the Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority (CRRA) Mid-Connecticut Waste to Energy Plant was inoperable. In 1998 a landfill cap and leachate collection system, surrounded by a fence, were constructed pursuant to a NTCRA under CERCLA authority. Table 1 provides a chronology of major environmental issues, events and milestones at the Site, as documented in the Remedial Investigation (RI) report (O'Brien & Gere Engineers, Inc., 1996) and Feasibility Study (FS) report (O'Brien & Gere Engineers, Inc., 2001a).

Historical wastes accepted at the landfill included the following:

- Municipal solid waste;

- Industrial wastes, including metal grinding waste, oily sludge with metal grinding and degreasers; barrels containing unspecified amounts of chlorinated hydrocarbons and methyl-ethyl-ketone (MEK) and keratin; and

- Dry metal grinding waste.

3.4 Initial Response Actions

In 1981, EPA conducted a Site inspection, based on previous findings of the CTDEP. EPA's 1981 inspection included collection and analysis of Site groundwater samples. Laboratory analytical results of Site groundwater indicated concentrations of xylenes, toluene, 1,1-dichloroethane (1,1-DCA), 4-methyl-2-pentatnone and vinyl chloride (VC). EPA inspection report also indicated the presence of metals at the Site (including cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, manganese, nickel and zinc) attributed to the historical disposal of oily metal grinding sludges. Additionally, during U.S. EPA's inspection, leachate was observed to be discharging from the landfill into the Un-named Brook. Pursuant to Section 105(8)(b) of CERCLA, the Site was proposed for inclusion on the National Priorities List (NPL) on June 21, 1988 and was subsequently listed on the NPL on October 5, 1989. Administrative orders were issued by CTDEP (1990) and EPA (1991) to investigate waste materials and disposal activities on the Site, along with the extent of impact to soil, groundwater and surface water.

In 1994, a NTCRA was implemented at the Site, which included re-location of impacted soil and sediment to a paved portion of the Site, along with installation of a leachate collection system and landfill cap. The NTCRA was completed in 1998. A risk assessment was prepared prior to NTCRA implementation to assess post-NTCRA risks to human and ecological receptors. Groundwater was deemed as the only medium requiring remediation.

Subsurface investigations conducted from 1992 to 2000 are documented in the RI and FS reports. These investigations indicated the following:

- Soil sampling analytical results indicated concentrations of volatile organic compounds (VOCs), semivolatile organic compounds (SVOCs), pesticides and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). Table 1-1 of the FS Report (O'Brien & Gere Engineers, Inc., 2001a) identifies contaminants of potential concern (COPCs), including VOCs, SVOCs and inorganics. Soils containing constituents detected at concentrations exceeding applicable or relevant and appropriate criteria were addressed in the NTCRA.

- Surface water sampling and leachate seep sediment sampling results indicated concentrations of SVOCs, pesticides and PCBs. Sediments samples collected from hydrogeologically downgradient locations (to the landfill) and leachate seep sediment samples indicated concentrations of VOCs, SVOCs, metals, pesticides and PCBs.

Prior to the RI, 31 groundwater monitoring wells were installed at the Site. Twenty-two additional wells were installed during the RI. COCs based on groundwater investigations include 14 VOCs, 4 SVOCs and 4 inorganics. Groundwater sampling conducted since the RI have shown a decreasing trend in most contaminant concentrations.

3.5 Summary of Basis for Taking Action

Hazardous substances in concentrations above health based levels were identified during the RI/FS. The RI identified COCs that have been released at the Site in each media, which are identified below and also in Table 2. EPA completed a baseline human health risk assessment in February 1996 and updated in April 2000. Using EPA's risk assessment guidance, potential human health effects associated with exposure to COCs were estimated for various exposure scenarios. Calculated risks for some exposure scenarios fell outside EPA's acceptable range, which formed the basis for the response actions. An ecological risk assessment conducted within the same time period determined that it was not likely that the contaminants found at the Site would cause significant ecological impacts.

The COCs were selected from the constituents detected in groundwater based on the unacceptable risks that these contaminants present. Groundwater was the only medium that poses an unacceptable post-NCTRA risk to human health. Since COCs have migrated in overburden and bedrock groundwater, off-Site impacts are a concern, specifically to nearby potable water supplies. As documented in U.S. EPA's Record of Decision (ROD) (EPA, 2001b), the primary objective is restoration of Site groundwater by MNA, which has been designated as the final Site environmental remedy with an expected duration of approximately 16 years. Installation of additional groundwater monitoring wells occurred in July 2003 to fill in data gaps and assess the performance of the MNA.

The only medium that potentially poses an unacceptable post-NTCRA risk to the environment is sediment. Although the actual risk is uncertain, it is likely that decreased leachate, biodegradation of organic contaminants, and natural sedimentation will ameliorate these possible risks. Surface water and sediment sampling is to be conducted to assess this possible risk. Based on surface water sampling conducted in 2000 (subsequent to the NTCRA), there are no known constituents exceeding applicable criteria in surface water, as identified in the ecological risk assessment presented in the FS. Leachate seeps are expected to gradually diminish in discharge volume over time or dry up.

COCs for groundwater, as addressed in the ROD, include the following:

Acetone
Benzene
1,2-dichloroethane
1,2-dichloropropane
Chloroethane
Manganese
Toluene
2-Butanone (MEK)
4-methyl-2-pentanone
1,4-dichlorobenzene

Chloroform	Bis(2-ethyl hexyl) phthalate
Chloromethane	2,4-dimethylphenol
Dibromochloromethane	4-methylphenol
Methylene chloride	Arsenic
Trichloroethene (TCE)	Chromium (total)
Vinyl chloride (VC)	Lead

A complete list of the COC and other compounds analyzed is included in Table 2.

4.0 REMEDIAL ACTIONS

The following sections discuss the initial plans, implementation history and current status of the remedy.

4.1 Remedy Selection and Remedial Action Objectives

The ROD for the Site was signed on September 28, 2001 (EPA, 2001b). Monitored Natural Attenuation (MNA) was selected as the remedial option to reduce groundwater impacts at the Site. The remedy at this Site is designed to protect human health and the environment by eliminating, reducing or controlling exposures to human and environmental receptors through monitored natural reductions in toxicity, engineering controls and institutional controls. More specifically, groundwater cleanup levels will be achieved through natural attenuation processes. Environmental land use restrictions would prohibit residential use of the Site, use of groundwater for drinking or any other purpose, and avoid disturbance of the landfill cap installed under the NTCRA. Environmental land use restrictions of down-gradient properties would prohibit the installation of any wells and use of groundwater for any purpose.

The primary goal of the selected remedy is to ensure that the area down-gradient of the landfill will no longer present an unacceptable risk to humans via groundwater and will be suitable for unrestricted use. Approximately 16 years are estimated as the amount of time necessary to achieve the goals consistent with residential use. The expected outcome of the site itself is to remain as a refuse / recycling / disposal facility, with restricted use of land and groundwater at the landfill itself, unrestricted use in all other areas.

Remedial action objectives (RAOs) were developed to aid in the development and screening of alternatives. These RAOs were developed to mitigate and prevent existing and future potential threats to human health and the environment. The following RAOs identified in the ROD were developed because of data collected during the RI and the alternatives evaluated in the FS (O'Brien & Gere Engineers, Inc., 2001a). These RAOs for the selected remedy for the Site are further broken into two categories: groundwater and sediment.

Groundwater

The RAOs for groundwater for human health are as follows:

- Prevent ingestion or dermal contact with groundwater having constituent concentrations exceeding EPA Safe Drinking Water Act non-zero MCLGs or Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs), or in their absence, the more stringent of an excess cancer risk of 1×10^{-6} for each substance or a hazard quotient of 1 for each non-carcinogenic substance. (Please note that this RAO applies to all areas where the groundwater has been impacted by contamination from the landfill including areas beneath the landfill. For information on MCLGs please refer to NCP Section 300.403(e)(2)(i)B and Section 300.403(e)(2)(i))

- Restore groundwater beyond the compliance boundary (limits of the landfill –See Figure 2) to MCLs or any more stringent CT Remediation Standards (background concentrations), or in their absence, the more stringent of an excess cancer risk of 1×10^{-6} for each substance or a hazard quotient of 1 for each non-carcinogenic substance.

Sediment

The RAOs for sediment for environmental protection are as follows:

- Protect benthic invertebrates and mammals from ingesting contaminated prey from direct contact with, or ingestion of, sediment having constituent concentrations exceeding a hazard index of 1.

- Prevent releases of constituents from sediments that would result in surface water levels exceeding federal Ambient Water Quality Criteria, Connecticut Water Quality Standards, or in their absence, a hazard index of 1.

4.1.1 Source Control

The source control was addressed by the NTCRA, which included re-location of impacted soil and sediment to a paved portion of the Site, along with installation of a leachate collection system and landfill cap. During the performance of the NTCRA, an approximate 340-foot reach of the Un-named Brook was relocated on the west side of the landfill, with the former section of the brook being covered with soil. Moreover, sediments were excavated from an approximately 70-foot reach of the brook and placed beneath the cap during the NTCRA construction. The EPA has determined that there are no present contaminant sources at the Site and no additional actions are anticipated during implementation of the final cleanup remedy.

4.1.2 Management of Migration

The major components of the management of migration remedy selected in the ROD includes:

- Long-term monitoring of groundwater, surface water (including seeps), and sediment;
- Restoration of contaminated groundwater via natural attenuation;
- Environmental land use restrictions (ELURs);
- Public education program; and
- Five-year reviews.

4.2 Remedy Implementation

In 1992 landfill closure was implemented in accordance with the Landfill Closure Plan (Fuss & O'Neill, 1992). In January 1995 the CTDEP approves the landfill closure. In April 1997, the Remedial Action Plan for the NCTRA was prepared, which included (O'Brien & Gere Engineers, Inc., 1997):

- Relocation of impacted soil, sediment and refuse to within the limits of the area to be capped;
- Installation of a leachate collection system with a 15,000-gallon underground leachate holding tank;
- Capping of the landfill with a low-permeability capping system;
- Relocation of an the Un-named Brook;
- Vertical extension of groundwater monitoring wells located within the limits of the capped area and abandonment of monitoring wells no longer being used;
- Site restoration;
- Installation of perimeter security fencing; and
- Institutional controls for protection of the landfill cap using ELURs. The ELURs indicate the groundwater contamination, that groundwater is not to be used for drinking or other purposes, that there is to be no building on the cap or residential use immediately downgradient, that there is no disturbance to the cap and it is to be properly maintained to prevent exposure.

In January 1998 the NTCRA was completed. Since then, community involvement activities were conducted. In June 2001 the Feasibility Study (FS) was completed (O'Brien & Gere Engineers, Inc., 2001a). On September 28, 2001, the ROD was signed, which selected MNA as the remedy (EPA, 2001b). A Consent Decree was signed by the PRPs on various dates between September and November 2002 and by government

representatives between September 2002 and January 2003, which was entered by the court on May 7, (United States *v.* Regional Refuse District No. 1, et al., 2003).

Pursuant to the terms of the Consent Decree, RRDD is performing the RA. In spring of 2003 RRDD initiated the long-term monitoring of groundwater. Periodic monitoring data continues to be collected in support of restoration of contaminated groundwater via monitored natural attenuation.

MNA remedy provides for both source control and management of groundwater migration. The approximate clean up time frames for the selected remedy is 16 years to reach groundwater cleanup levels. Statutory 5-year reviews will be conducted as long as waste is in place.

4.3 System Operations/Operation and Maintenance (O&M)

RRDD is conducting the long-term monitoring and maintenance activities at the Site. There are two components to the long-term monitoring and maintenance activities, one for the CTDEP and the MNA activities for the EPA. For the CTDEP, a landfill post-closure Operation and Maintenance Manual (OMM) was completed in October 2001 (O'Brien & Gere Engineers, Inc., 2001b). O&M activities include the following:

- Routine inspection and maintenance of constructed features, including the landfill cap, gas venting system, leachate collection and storage system, surface water runoff facilities, the in-stream sedimentation basin, access roads, groundwater monitoring system and physical Site security;
- Mowing of the cap;
- Performance of a Long-term monitoring program including groundwater, surface water (including seeps) and sediment;
- Response to alarm and unforeseen circumstances;
- Coordination of leachate removal and disposal; and
- Evaluation of O&M and monitoring activities and identification of proposed changes to the O&M Manual or Site procedures/policies that would provide a safer and/or more cost-effective operation.

Visual Site monitoring of the landfill occurs on a routine basis to evaluate evidence of erosion; cap differential settlement; the condition of the perimeter fencing, gates, locks and signs; condition of gas monitoring probes; drainage structures and surrounding property structures. The existing groundwater monitoring wells and immediate surrounding area is reviewed during each sampling event.

To date, the CTDEP O&M activities have been ongoing since the capping of the landfill. The MNA sampling activities were initiated in April 2003 with the first quarterly sampling event.

With regard to O&M costs, the following is the total annual system O&M costs for the groundwater, potable well, surface water and sediment sampling, analysis and reporting during the first 5-year period until January 2008. This does not include the mowing, leachate disposal, the downchute repair or other maintenance activities.

Table 3: Annual System Sampling & Analysis O&M Estimated Costs

Dates		Total Cost Estimate rounded to nearest $1,000
From	To	
3/03	1/04	$393,000
1/04	1/05	$228,000
1/05	1/06	$139,000
1/06	1/07	$113,000
1/07	1/08	$105,000

4.3.1 Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Issues

This section summarizes issues that were not normal O&M activities. During monitoring well sampling, some wells could not be sampled typically due to well head damage from snow plows or obstructions in the well such as a pump and tubing stuck in the well. Typically these repairs were made or obstructions removed prior to the next sampling event. However, some well obstructions could not be removed.

Due to the cleanup goals being set in the ROD at low background concentrations the analytical laboratory sometimes has a problem achieving these concentrations. As many COC concentrations are still above their background concentrations, this is not an immediate issue, but the required detection limits will need to be achieved particularly as the COC concentrations decrease. This will be addressed with the laboratory.

With regard to the landfill cap, the western downchute erosion identified in the summer of 2005 was repaired in the fall of 2005. There was a significant cost for the repair of the downchute, but it had no impact on the remedy. The cap liner was not affected, only the drainage structure and soil cover. Ongoing monitoring of the cap should identify cap issues prior to them potentially affecting the remedy.

5.0 PROGRESS SINCE THE LAST FIVE-YEAR REVIEW

This is the second Five-Year Review for the Site. A summary of the progress for this review period (2003 to 2008) is presented in the following subsections.

5.1 Protectiveness Statement from Last Review

The following is the Protectiveness Statement from the last review in 2003:

> As a result of previous actions at the Site, groundwater is the only medium requiring further remedial action, for which Monitored Natural Attenuation (MNA) was the selected remedy. The assessment of the Five-Year review found that the remedy is functioning as designed. The immediate threats have been addressed and the remedy is expected to be protective of human health and the environment when groundwater cleanup goals are achieved through MNA, which was estimated in the Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study (RI/FS) to occur in about 16 years. In the interim, exposure pathways that could result in unacceptable risks are being controlled.

5.2 Status of Recommendations and Follow-up Actions from Last Review

A summary of the 2003 recommendations and follow-up actions from the last review are summarized as follows.

Status of Issues and/or Recommendations and Follow-Up Actions from 2003

Issues	Recommendations and Follow-Up Actions	2008 Comment/Status
Discovery of four 55-gallon drums suspected of containing purged groundwater by MW-111.	The drum's contents were tested, removed and the contents placed in the leachate holding tank for disposal.	Completed in 2003.
Three groundwater monitoring wells (MW113-I, MW113-D and MW4-R were inaccessible.	Repair of damaged wells MW113- I and MW113-D do not appear necessary at this time. Their potential need will be evaluated based on new Site data.	The wells MW113- I and MW113-D are upgradient wells in an un-impacted area and are not required. Well MW-4R's obstruction was removed in April 2008 after several prior attempts. This well will continue to be used.
Not an issue in 2003, but a recommendation.	Continued monitoring of Site groundwater, seeps, soil, surface water and sediment.	The MNA remedy will continue to monitor Site groundwater, seeps, surface water and sediment. There is currently no plan to monitor soil at the Site.
Not an issue in 2003, but a recommendation.	Continue to verify that natural attenuation is occurring.	This is an ongoing task in the review of the data.
Not an issue in 2003, but a recommendation.	Adoption of ELUR for properties other than the RRDD facility – on Site discussed first, see next item.	The on-Site ELUR, dated July 24, 2003, was recorded at the Barkhamsted Land Records in Volume 124, Page 140 on August 27, 2003.
Not an issue in 2003,	Adoption of ELUR for	There are three off-Site ELURs. The Town

but a recommendation.	properties other than the RRDD facility – off Site.	Garage ELUR, dated December 22, 2003, was recorded in Volume 126, Page 347 on January 22, 2004. The MDC ELUR, dated December 22, 2003, was recorded in Volume 126, Page 357 on January 22, 2004. The Morris property ELUR, dated January 4, 2004 was recorded at the Barkhamsted Land Record in Volume 126, Page 689 on February 24, 2004.
Not an issue in 2003, but a recommendation.	Continued maintenance of the landfill cap cover.	This is an ongoing activity conducted by the RRDD.
Not an issue in 2003, but a recommendation.	To more clearly define the extent of the COCs, it was recommended that additional wells be sampled in future sampling events. The additional wells proposed to be sampled include wells MW-105S and B, MW-108 S and B, MW-109B, MW-117S and B and MW-118S and B.	This comment was made at the start of the sampling program. A review of the data since then indicates that the plume is stable and is not moving significantly to the east. Therefore, these wells were not sampled. To better assess the MNA process between impacted and un-impacted areas a new well couplet was installed to the north of well MW-103 by the Barkhamsted DPW garage. Several soil borings were advanced in this area to determine the location of the wells. The new well couplet (MW-120S &120B) was installed in July 2003.

5.3 Results of Implemented Actions, Including Whether They Achieved the Intended Purpose

The results or status of the implemented actions are summarized in Section 5.2. The storm water downchute repair of 2005 is working, and these downchutes are checked during the RRDD and EPA Site inspections. Therefore, the actions to address the issues set forth in Section 5.2 have achieved or are achieving their intended purpose. For the remedy, the MNA sampling and analysis activities are being implemented and are achieving their goal of documenting the MNA remedy, which is proceeding as planned.

5.4 Status of Any Other Prior Issues

The issues from the 2003 Five-Year Review are summarized in Section 5.2. There were no other issues reported in the 2003 Five-Year Review.

6.0 FIVE-YEAR REVIEW PROCESS

6.1 Administrative Components and Community Involvement

On March 20, 2008 a meeting of the Five-Year Review team was led by Byron Mah of EPA, who is the Remedial Project Manager (RPM) for the Barkhamsted Site. The other meeting members included Michael Baer, Eric Nichols and Allen Walker of LFR, Inc. who are conducting the MNA remedy for the RRDD. The Five-Year Review process and schedule were discussed.

On April 19, 2008 a public notice was published in the Register Citizen to announce that the Five-Year Review was to be conducted. A copy of the notice was also provided to the CTDEP Site contact, Maurice Hamel.

As documented in the ROD and the last Five-Year Review, the level of community concern and involvement has varied, and since the completion of the NTCRA, community interest has been minimal. During the past 5 years, the RRDD and LFR have received no community inquiries other than the people involved with the sampling of the potable wells. These inquiries are associated with the sampling schedule and obtaining copies of the sampling results.

6.2 Document Review

Site-related documents reviewed as part of this effort. The documents were compared to six aspects of the Site including:

- Basis for the Response Action;
- Implementation of the Response;
- Operation and Maintenance;
- Remedy Performance;
- Legal Documentation; and
- Community Involvement.

6.3 Data Review

Groundwater, surface water, seep and stream sediment monitoring pursuant to the ROD was initiated in April and May of 2003. Groundwater, surface water and seep monitoring was initially conducted quarterly for 2 years and then semi-annually to present. Sediment sampling is conducted annually in the spring.

In general, most contaminants were detected at their highest levels early in the remedial history of the Site, prior to the NTCRA and landfill capping in 1998. These higher contaminant concentrations were followed by a drop in contaminant levels, which was likely the result of removal and capping activities at the Site as the source material was capped, limiting migration.

Since 2003, the contaminant concentrations have been decreasing or are in a steady state condition. The following tables summarize the historical sampling results:

- Table 4a – Summary of Historical Groundwater VOC results;
- Table 5 - Summary of Historical Groundwater metal results;
- Table 6 - Summary of Historical Surface water metal results;
- Table 7 – Summary of Historical sediment metal results;
- Table 8 – Summary of Analytical Results – 2003 to 2008 VOCs and SVOCs in Groundwater; and
- Table 9 - Summary of Analytical Results – 2003 to 2008 Metals in Groundwater.

Based on the analytical results, figures were prepared of the COC concentrations from the start of the MNA monitoring in the spring of 2003 and for the most recent sampling result from the spring of 2008. The following figures were prepared:

- Figure 5: Overburden Total VOCs and SVOCs Concentration Map - April 30 - May 8, 2003;
- Figure 5A: Overburden Total VOCs and SVOCs Concentration Map - April 2008;
- Figure 6: Overburden Total BTEX Concentration Map - April 30 - May 8, 2003;
- Figure 6A: Overburden Total BTEX Concentration Map - April 2008;
- Figure 7: Shallow Bedrock Total VOCs and SVOCs Concentration Map - April 30 - May 8, 2003;
- Figure 7A: Shallow Bedrock Total VOCs and SVOCs Concentration Map - April 2008;
- Figure 8: Shallow Bedrock Total BTEX Concentration Map - April 30 - May 8, 2003; and
- Figure 8A: Shallow Bedrock Total BTEX Concentration Map - April 2008.

A review of these figures indicates that the plume concentrations and size has decreased with time from 2003 to 2008. The extent of the plume is reduced and it is located closer to the source area.

With regard to the surface water, seep and sediment sampling, the results of this sampling are consistent or lower than that of the post-NTCRA sampling. For post-NTCRA sampling, the ROD indicated an acceptable risk for surface water and seeps and ongoing monitoring for sediment due to an uncertain risk. The uncertain risk was an ecological risk for benthic invertebrates. The ROD also noted that barium and manganese were identified as the only compounds exceeding the probable effects concentration (PEC) benchmark. Since 2003, the start of the post-ROD sampling, higher concentrations of *barium and manganese were detected in the upstream sample Sed-3 (located at SW-3).* The concentrations of these compounds were lower in the downstream samples. Typically the middle sample (Sed-16) detected slightly higher barium and manganese concentrations than the downstream sample (Sed-9). As noted in Table 7 the PEC concentration for barium and manganese were exceeded in the upstream sample, the barium PEC was typically slightly exceeded in the mid-stream sample and there was one PEC exceedence for barium in 2007 in the downstream sample. The greater metal concentrations in the upstream sample may suggest a possible local condition with the metals occurring naturally in higher concentrations upstream. The upstream location is undeveloped with no obvious source for metals. The concentration change may also be associated with the relocation of the stream during the NTCRA.

An evaluation of the natural attenuation processes at the Site included evaluating four indicators that are recommended in the Use of Monitored Natural Attenuation at Superfund, RCRA Corrective Action, and Underground Storage Tank Sites (OSWER Directive No. 9200.4-17P, April 21, 1999) for evaluating the performance of an MNA remedy. The four indicators are:

- Demonstrate that natural attenuation is occurring according to expectations;
- Detect changes in environmental conditions that may reduce the efficacy of the natural attenuation processes;
- Identify potentially toxic or mobile transformation products; and
- Verify that the plume is not expanding either downgradient, laterally, or vertically.

Since completion of the cap in 1998, the contaminants for which groundwater cleanup levels were established have decreased in concentration. Many contaminants are below the MCL and some are at or approaching the respective cleanup goal of background concentrations in recent sampling events. As set forth previously, Figures 5 to 8A present the total VOC, SVOC and BTEX concentrations in the spring of 2003 and the spring of 2008. These figures indicate the decreasing trend in contaminant levels and in the extent of the contamination in the groundwater. These figures indicate a reduction of the plume in downgradient directions, as well as vertically, and the plume is nearer to the original source area. The concentrations of toluene, benzene and trichloroethene, which are some of the more prevalent and higher concentration COC, are decreasing in

concentration. This decreasing trend can be seen in source area wells MW-1S and MW-101S to downgradient wells MW-4S, MW-5S, MW-5B, MW-102B, MW-120B and MW-111B. Based on a review of the MNA data, the data indicates that the groundwater attenuation process conceptualized in the ROD is proceeding essentially as expected.

The evaluation of the MNA parameters is further discussed in Section 7.2.3 of this report.

6.4 Site Inspections

On 6/19/08, 6/25/08, and 8/6/08 EPA conducted inspections at the site for the benefit of the 2nd 5 Year Review. The team consisted of Byron Mah, Jean Choi, and Rudy Brown.

As a result of the inspections, EPA has the following observations:

1. The overall LF surface conditions were very good.

2. The repaired downchute appeared very good. In 2005 a downchute was eroded due to a series of heavy rains that did not drain along the downchutes. A repair was made to the downchute.

3. However, one of the downchutes located in the mostly southern slope was full of vegetation on the downchute. The area was treated and part of the cap was mowed as a result of this finding. Upon re-inspection, EPA discovered some erosion that could lead to a potential downchute failure in the future. RRDD#1 has been notified of this and will address this maintenance as part of their on going O&M activities. Please also see inspection memorandum and inspection checklist in Appendix A.

Please note that the operator of the landfill also has regular cap inspections by an independent inspector as part of CTDEP requirements.

6.5 Interviews

Interviews were conducted with various parties connected to the Site. Donald Stein, Barkhamsted's first selectman was interviewed on September 2, 2008. No significant problems regarding the Site were identified during the interview. There were no concerns expressed about the protectiveness of the remedy or the operation of the facility.

Jim Hart, the administrator for the Site, (June 19, 2008) did not indicate significant problems regarding the Site. He presented a draft redevelopment master plan that considers the subdivision of lots on the RRDD property that are not contaminated with the waste on site. He indicated that they are considering the installation of wells up gradient and side gradient from the landfill in order to service these lots with potable water. EPA indicated that he would have to demonstrate that this use of water would not have an impact on the remedy.

7.0 TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

7.1 Question A: Is the Remedy functioning as intended by the decision documents?

Yes, the review of documents, ARARs, risk assumptions, and the results of the Site inspection indicate that the remedy is functioning as intended by the ROD as an operating remedial action. A copy of the ARARs for the Site is attached at Appendix B. The capping of the landfill, and the collection of leachate have achieved the remedial objectives to minimize the migration of contaminants to groundwater and surface water and prevent direct contact with, or ingestion of, contaminants in soil and sediments. The effective implementation of institutional controls has prevented exposure to contaminated landfill materials.

Operation and maintenance of the cap and drainage structures has been effective, except for the noted downchute repair in 2005. The landfill inspections should be sufficient to identify cap issues, as occurred in identifying the downchute repair need. There is also an increased awareness of the need to maintain the downchutes, so unscheduled visual checks of the downchutes occur more frequently.

Opportunities for system optimization observed during this review include some reduction in monitoring wells to be sampled and/or the frequency of the sampling. These modifications to the monitoring well network are set forth in Section 9 – Recommendations and Follow-up Actions.

The institutional controls, or ELURs, that are in place include prohibitions on the use or disturbance of groundwater until cleanup levels are achieved, and prohibitions on excavation activities, disturbance of the cap, and any other activities or actions that might interfere with the implemented remedy. No activities were observed that would have violated the institutional controls. The cap and the surrounding area were undisturbed, and no new uses of groundwater were observed. The fence around the Site is intact and in good repair.

7.2 Question B: Are the exposure assumptions, toxicity data, cleanup levels and remedial action objectives (RAOs) used at the time of remedy selection still valid?

Yes, some cleanup levels and toxicity data may have changed since the remedy selection, but the initial and changed parameters are still valid.

7.2.1 Changes in Exposure Pathways

The exposure pathways as indicated in the risk assessment and ROD are provided in Appendix C. There have been no changes in the physical conditions of the Site since approval of the decision documents. However, as of 2002 EPA prepared a Draft Vapor Intrusion Guidance document. This guidance addresses EPA's concern about inhalation

of VOCs from contaminated groundwater or soils which currently underlie buildings as well as which may come to be situated underneath a structure at some point in the future.

Where there are several VOCs identified in the groundwater at the Site and there are on-Site buildings, the indoor vapor concern was considered and evaluated. There is an on-Site Garage is located cross-gradient to the plume with VOCs. This Garage has an office on the eastern side of this structure. The EPA OSWER Draft Guidance for Evaluating the Vapor Intrusion to Indoor Air Pathway from Groundwater and Soils (Subsurface Vapor Intrusion Guidance), dated November 2002 was used to assess the possible indoor air pathway along with the Connecticut RSR groundwater criteria.

With regard to this building, monitoring wells MW-S-3 (upgradient) to the south, MW-1S (crossgradient) to the west and MW-4S downgradient and north were used for the evaluation. MW-102S (crossgradient) to the east was also reviewed, but the only VOC detected was one J-flagged (estimated) acetone value and the SVOC bis-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate, which is not considered sufficiently volatile per the Subsurface Vapor Intrusion Guidance. Therefore, there are no VOC affects to the west of the building. Of these monitoring wells, 1S is the well most affected by VOCs. Of the detected VOCs, only benzene was detected above its target groundwater concentration of 5 ppb in Table 2C of the guidance document. In the upgradient well S-3, benzene has never been detected above 5 ppb. In downgradient well 4S, benzene has not been detected above 5 ppb since June 15, 2004 and the highest benzene concentration detected in this well was 6.39 ppb on August 12, 2003.

This office is located cross-gradient to the plume with VOCs, is not located over the plume and an immediately upgradient well has not had VOCs detected above guidance criteria since the MNA sampling started in 2003. The cross gradient and downgradient wells are only slightly above or are below the EPA guidance criteria. In addition, the Connecticut RSR groundwater criteria for the indoor air pathway were reviewed. None of the VOCs in these wells exceed the Connecticut RSR proposed GWVC criteria for residential or industrial/commercial settings. For benzene, the Connecticut RSR proposed GWVC criteria for residential is 130 ug/l and for industrial/commercial settings it is 310 ug/l. Based on the Site conditions and guidance, the vapor intrusion pathway does not appear to be a concern for the on-Site office building. The groundwater flow direction and data do not suggest this will become an issue in the future, but if a change in the groundwater flow direction occurs or VOCs are detected in the upgradient well, such conditions would warrant further attention. Therefore, no changes in exposure pathways have occurred that would affect the protectiveness of the remedy. A copy of the Vapor Intrusion Pathway Summary Page and tables is included as Appendix D.

7.2.2 Changes in Toxicity, ARARs, and Other Contaminant Characteristics

Changes in Toxicity

(Not applicable). Because all groundwater cleanup goals were established based on the CT RSRs (as the most stringent of the criteria identified in the remedy) which were in turn based on background levels or limits of analytical resolution, there are no changes in toxicity and other contaminant characteristics that would affect the chosen remedy. Furthermore, as the groundwater cleanup levels established in the 2001 ROD are consistent with site specific background levels of contamination, they and the remedy are viewed as being protective of public health consistent with CERCLA expectations for remedial actions,).

Changes in ARARs, Standards, and TBCs (To Be Considered)

Cleanup levels were established in the ROD for groundwater for all chemicals of concern identified in the Baseline Risk Assessment found to pose an unacceptable risk to either public health or the environment. Cleanup levels were set based on the ARARs (*e.g.*, non-zero Drinking Water Maximum Contaminant Level Goals (MCLGs), MCLs, and more stringent State Remediation Standard Regulations), as available. This resulted in groundwater cleanup levels for each chemical of concern being set at its background concentration, per Connecticut RSRs, Section 22a-133k-3(a). A list of tentative background concentrations was presented in the ROD. During the Remedial Action Phase, EPA in consultation with CTDEP will determine whether these concentrations represent background for this Site. EPA will only change these values in the ROD if they are necessary pursuant to Section 117(c) of CERCLA. A process often referred to as an Explanation of Significant Differences.

There is one change that has occurred in the Applicable, Relevant, or Appropriate Requirements (ARARs) and To Be Considereds (TBCs) since the ROD was signed. EPA adopted a lower Maximum Concentration Level (MCL) standard for arsenic in groundwater. This changed the standard from 50 ppb to 10 ppb, which became effective on January 22, 2006. This change in the arsenic MCL is greater than the more restrictive background concentration of 5 ppb as established in the ROD.

Other risk based cleanup goals as presented in the ROD remain substantively unchanged.

7.2.3 Expected Progress Towards Meeting RAOs

Groundwater modeling conducted during the FS (O'Brien & Gere Engineers, Inc. 2001a) estimated that natural attenuation would achieve the groundwater cleanup levels in the overburden in approximately 15.6 years, and in the bedrock aquifer in approximately 6 years. These results were obtained by simulating the flow of groundwater and the migration and attenuation of two COCs, 4-methylphenol and 2-butanone. At the time, these compounds were present in relatively high concentrations in groundwater. Consequently, the cleanup times for these compounds were considered to represent

conservative estimates of the time for remediation of all groundwater COCs. Based on calibration to trends in the groundwater monitoring data through the RI/FS period, rates of contaminant degradation were projected into the future through the process of the model calibration. However, due to uncertainties associated with contaminant transport modeling, the predicted cleanup times were considered rough estimates.

Previous review of historical groundwater quality data (Section 6.3) indicated that the concentrations of Site-related constituents are either remaining relatively stable, or are decreasing over time. Geochemical evidence that indicated subsurface conditions are amenable for microbially-mediated degradation included the following:

- an abundance of dissolved organic carbon that can be used as a carbon source (electron donor) by microbes;
- anaerobic conditions that sustain reductive dechlorination;
- presence of organic compounds that can undergo fermentation reactions (BTEX, ketones) that produce hydrogen, which can be utilized by microbes during reductive dechlorination;
- low concentrations of nitrate that will not suppress the reductive dechlorination pathway;
- low sulfate concentrations within the plume as compared to background, suggesting utilization as an electron acceptor;
- some degree of increased alkalinity in the plume compared to background suggesting that the plume is biologically active;
- decreases in oxidation-reduction potential in the plume as compared to background, suggesting the geochemical conditions within the plume are reducing due to biological activity;
- the presence of methane that suggests highly reducing conditions and microbial degradation; and
- groundwater pH ranges that are suitable for microbial populations.

In 2003, a long-term groundwater-monitoring program was initiated that was designed to assess the progress of natural attenuation over time. Summary results of the last five years of this monitoring program are shown in Tables 4 to 9. These data indicate that the COC concentrations are decreasing with time or are relatively stable. In some cases the decreases are significant, such as the total VOCs have decreased by about 1 order of magnitude (10,000 down to 1,000 ug/L (or 1 ppm)) in well MW-101S, which is located just downgradient of the landfill boundary and is indicated on isoconcentration contour figures. Isoconcentration contour figures for total VOCs and SVOCs and total BTEX are

shown in Figures 5 through 8A for 2003 and 2008 that further indicate the overall decline in concentrations.

With regard to the model for the two COCs, 4-methylphenol and 2-butanone, the sampling results indicate that actual Site conditions are following the general trend of the model predictions, and are generally decreasing in concentration at a greater rate than the model predictions. Graphs of concentration versus time for these two COCs are indicated on Figures 9 to 12. These graphs are presented for wells MW-101S and MW-5S, which represent the more affected monitoring wells located within the centerline of the plume. This graph shows the initial model predictions for the natural attenuation and groundwater extraction alternatives, along with the actual measured concentrations. These graphs indicate that the measured concentrations are lower than the model predictions, and that plume attenuation has exceeded expectations.

Two additional graphs of the centerline of the plume as it passes through the landfill are indicated in Figures 13 and 14 for total VOC and SVOC, total BTEX, and MNA parameters ferrous iron, methane, dissolved oxygen (DO), nitrate and chemical oxygen demand (COD). Figure 13 presents the graph of these data for November 2003, and Figure 14 shows the data for April 2008. These figures indicate low contaminant concentrations in groundwater upgradient of the landfill, increased concentrations in the landfill and declining concentrations downgradient of the landfill. The patterns of indicator parameters are consistent and expected, with DO and nitrate decreasing in the landfill as a result of biological activity, and rebounding downgradient, while the other parameters COD, methane and ferrous iron increase within the landfill footprint and then tend to attenuate downgradient of the landfill. The peak concentrations of most COCs show a marked decrease from 2003 to 2008, consistent with the overall decrease in the concentration of COCs within the plume.

Graphs of groundwater concentration trends with time for the COCs benzene; toluene; 1,4-dichlorobenzene; trichloroethene and 2,4-dimethlyphenol show similar decreasing concentration trends. These graphs are presented in Appendix E. For the COC metals arsenic, chromium and lead, the groundwater concentrations are typically at non-detect concentrations as indicated in Table 5. Higher concentrations are observed in the centerline of the plume starting in the landfill and immediately downgradient, but generally at low concentrations. A graph of the arsenic groundwater concentration trends is also included in Appendix E for the wells where arsenic has consistently been detected.

With regard to the surface water, seep and sediment sampling, the results of this sampling are consistent or lower than that of the post-NTCRA sampling indicating good progress towards meeting the RAO. For post-NTCRA sampling, the ROD indicated an acceptable risk for surface water and seeps and ongoing monitoring for sediment due to an uncertain risk. The uncertain risk was an ecological risk for benthic invertebrates. The ROD also noted that barium and manganese were identified as the only compounds exceeding the probable effects concentration (PEC) benchmark. Since 2003, the start of the post-ROD sampling, higher concentrations of barium and manganese were detected in the upstream sample Sed-3 (located at SW-3). The concentrations of these compounds were lower in

the downstream samples. Typically the middle sample (Sed-16) detected slightly higher barium and manganese concentrations than the downstream sample (Sed-9). As noted in Table 7the PEC concentrations for barium and manganese were exceeded in the upstream sample, the barium PEC was typically slightly exceeded in the mid-stream sample and there was one PEC exceedence for barium in 2007 in the downstream sample. The greater metal concentrations in the upstream sample may suggest a possible local condition with the metals occurring naturally in higher concentrations upstream. The upstream location is undeveloped with no obvious source for metals. The concentration change may also be associated with the relocation of the stream during the NTCRA.

7.3 Questions C: Other information that could call into question the protectiveness of the remedy?

No, there is no information that calls into question the protectiveness of the remedy.

7.4 Technical Assessment Summary

The review of documents, ARARs, risk assumptions, and the results of the Site inspection indicate that the remedy is functioning as intended by the ROD. The exposure assumptions, cleanup levels, and remedial action objectives (RAOs) used at the time of remedy selection remain valid. Some changes in agency-recognized toxicity factors have occurred for selected Site-related chemicals, but these changes have not affected cleanup levels, nor are they expected to significantly affect overall Site risk. Long-term monitoring data indicate that the groundwater plume is shrinking, contaminant concentrations are decreasing or are stable and that acceptable progress is being made towards meeting RAOs.

8.0 ISSUES

As of the date of this writing, there have been no significant problems or issues that prevent the response action from being protective of human health and the environmental upon completion.

9.0 RECOMMENDATIONS AND FOLLOW-UP ACTIONS

There were no issues affecting the protectiveness of the remedy requiring follow-up actions. However, there are recommendations not directly related to the protectiveness of the remedy that are presented here. These recommendation and follow-up actions include improved operation & maintenance (O&M) activities, better laboratory performance and a revised sampling plan to optimize the remedy.

For the O&M activities the focus of this recommendation is associated with the monitoring of the cap and its integrity based on the 2005 downchute failure. As part of the EPA annual inspection, the cap is reviewed. The RRDD uses an engineer to conduct

quarterly landfill inspections for compliance with Connecticut requirements. The RRDD has informed this engineer of the downchute issue to increase the awareness of the downchute conditions in reporting to the RRDD. The RRDD will also notify the EPA of a condition that may affect the integrity of the downchute.

Based on the decreasing size of the plume and COC concentrations, a revised sampling plan to optimize the remedy is recommended. This includes changes in wells to be sampled and the frequency of the sampling. As an example, the plume is now deeper downgradient in the monitoring well couplet MW-111. Currently, MNA parameters are sampled in the shallow well MW-111S and MW-111B; however, there are increased contaminant concentrations in the deeper well MW-111I (intermediate bedrock), which is not monitored for MNA parameters. Therefore, it is recommended that MW-111S no longer be monitored for MNA parameters, but well MW-111I will have the MNA parameters added to its suite of analyses.

Refer to Table 12 for a complete listing of recommended changes to sampling locations, rationale and frequency to optimize the remedy.

10.0 PROTECTIVENESS STATEMENT

This five-year review has found that the remedy is functioning as designed. The groundwater remedy is expected to be protective of human health and the environmental upon completion, when groundwater cleanup goals are achieved through MNA, which was estimated in the Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study (RI/FS) to occur in about 16 years. In the interim, exposure pathways that could result in unacceptable risks are being controlled and institutional controls are preventing exposure to, or the ingestion of, contaminated groundwater. Long-term protectiveness of the remedial action will be verified by obtaining additional groundwater samples to evaluate the contaminant plume extent and MNA progress. Because the Remedial Action at all OUs are protective, the Site is protective of human health and the environment.

11.0 NEXT REVIEW

The due date for the second five-year review is September 2013.

REFERENCES

Fuss & O'Neill 1992. RRDD#1 Landfill Closure Plan, Barkhamsted CT, September.

O'Brien & Gere Engineers, Inc. 1996. Remedial Investigation (RI) -Barkhamsted-New Hartford Landfill Superfund Site. February.

O'Brien & Gere Engineers, Inc. 1997. Remedial Action Plan for Non-Time Critical Removal Action, Barkhamsted-New Hartford Landfill Superfund Site.

O'Brien & Gere Engineers, Inc. 2001a. Feasibility Study-Barkhamsted-New Hartford Landfill Superfund Site. June.

O'Brien & Gere Engineers, Inc. 2001b. Operation and Maintenance manual-Barkhamsted Landfill Pleasant Valley, Connecticut Landfill Closure. October.

State of Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection 1990. Consent Order #SRD-072 between the State of Connecticut and the Regional Refuse Disposal District No. 1.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) 1991. Administrative Order on Consent. Docket #I-91 –1128 between the EPA, the State of Connecticut, and the PRP Group. October 4.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) 2001a. Comprehensive Five-Year Review Guidance. June.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) 2001b. EPA Superfund Record of Decision: Barkhamsted – New Hartford Landfill, EPA ID: CTD980732333, OU1, Barkhamsted, CT. EPA/OD/R01-01/001, September 28.

United States of America and State of Connecticut *v.* Regional Refuse Disposal District No. 1, et al. 2003. Consent Decree, U.S. District Court for the District of Connecticut. May 7.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA): OSWER Draft Guidance for Evaluating the Vapor Intrusion to Indoor Air Pathway from Groundwater and Soils (Subsurface Vapor Intrusion Guidance), dated November 2002, EPA530-D-02-004.

State of Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection: Draft Proposed Revisions to the Remediation Standard Regulations (RSR).

APPENDIX A

Landfill Inspection Checklist

Appendix A: Five-Year Review Site Inspection Checklist

I. SITE INFORMATION	
Site Name: Barkhamsted Landfill	**Date of Inspection:** 6/19/08, 6/25/08, 8/6/08
Location and Region: Barkhamsted, CT	**EPA ID:** CTD980732333
Agency, office, or company leading the five-year review: EPA-Region I	Weather/temperature: Clear / 85 F
Remedy Includes (Check all that apply)	
☒ Landfill cover/containment ☐ Access Controls ☒ Institutional Controls ☐ Groundwater pump and treatment ☐ Surface water collection and treatment	☒ Monitored Natural Attenuation ☐ Groundwater containment ☐ Vertical Barrier Walls ☐ Other
Attachments: ☐ Inspection team roster	☐ Site Map

II. INTERVIEWS (Check all that apply)

1. **O&M Site Manager**: Jim Hart — General Manager — 6/19/2008
(Name) (Title) (Date)
Interviewed Jim Hart at site office ☒ At office ☐ By phone Tel. No. _____
Problems, suggestions; ☐ Report attached

2. **O&M Site staff** :
(Name) (Title) (Date)

3. **Local regulatory authorities and response agencies** (i.e., State and Tribal offices, emergency response office, police department, office of public health or environmental health, zoning office, recorder of deeds, or other city and county offices, etc.) Fill in all that apply

Agency Town of Barkhamsted
Contact Donald Stein — 1st Selectman — 6/19/2008 — (860) 379-8285
(Name) (Title) (Date) (Phone No.)
Problems; suggestions; ☐ Report attached

Agency
Contact
(Name) (Title) (Date) (Phone No.)
Problems; suggestions; ☐ Report attached

Agency
Contact
(Name) (Title) (Date) (Phone No.)
Problems; suggestions; ☐ Report attached

Agency
Contact
(Name) (Title) (Date) (Phone No.)
Problems; suggestions; ☐ Report attached

Appendix A: Five-Year Review Site Inspection Checklist

4. **Other Interviews** (optional) ☐ Report attached.

Appendix A: Five-Year Review Site Inspection Checklist

III. ON-SITE DOCUMENTS & RECORDS VERIFIED (Check all that apply)			
1. **O&M Documents**			
☒ O&M Manual	☒ Readily Available	☐ Up to Date	☐ N/A
☒ As-built drawings	☒ Readily Available	☐ Up to Date	☐ N/A
☐ Maintenance Logs	☐ Readily Available	☐ Up to Date	☐ N/A
Remarks:			
2. **Site-Specific Health and Safety Plan**	☒ Readily Available	☐ Up to Date	☐ N/A
Contingency Plan /Emergency Response Plan	☒ Readily Available	☐ Up to Date	☐ N/A
Remarks:			
3. **O&M and OSHA Training Records**	☐ Readily Available	☐ Up to Date	☒ N/A
Remarks:			
4. **Permits and Service Agreements**			
☐ Air Discharge Permit	☐ Readily Available	☐ Up to Date	☒ N/A
☐ Effluent Discharge	☐ Readily Available	☐ Up to Date	☒ N/A
☐ Waste Disposal, POTW	☐ Readily Available	☐ Up to Date	☒ N/A
☐ Other permits	☐ Readily Available	☐ Up to Date	☒ N/A
Remarks:			
5. **Gas Generation Records**	☐ Readily Available	☐ Up to Date	☒ N/A
Remarks:			
6. **Settlement Monument Records**	☐ Readily Available	☐ Up to Date	☒ N/A
Remarks:			
7. **Groundwater Monitoring Records**	☒ Readily Available	☒ Up to Date	☐ N/A
Remarks:			
8. **Leachate Extraction Records**	☒ Readily Available	☒ Up to Date	☐ N/A
Remarks:			
9. **Discharge Compliance Records**	☐ Readily Available	☐ Up to Date	☒ N/A
☐ Air	☐ Readily Available	☐ Up to Date	☒ N/A
☐ Water (effluent)	☐ Readily Available	☐ Up to Date	☒ N/A
Remarks:			
10. **Daily Access/Security Logs**	☒ Readily Available	☐ Up to Date	☒ N/A
Remarks:			

Appendix A: Five-Year Review Site Inspection Checklist

IV. O&M COSTS

1. **O&M Organization**

☐ State in-house ☐ Contractor for State
☒ PRP in-house ☒ Contractor for PRP
☐ Federal Facility in-house ☐ Contractor for Federal Facility
☐ Other O&M costs not provided.

2. **O&M Cost Records**

☐ Readily Available ☐ Up to date
☐ Funding mechanism/agreement in place
Original O&M cost estimate ☐ Breakdown attached

Total annual cost by year for review period if available

From	_____ (Date)	To _____ (Date)	_____ (Total Cost)	☐ Breakdown attached
From	_____ (Date)	To _____ (Date)	_____ (Total Cost)	☐ Breakdown attached
From	_____ (Date)	To _____ (Date)	_____ (Total Cost)	☐ Breakdown attached
From	_____ (Date)	To _____ (Date)	_____ (Total Cost)	☐ Breakdown attached
From	_____ (Date)	To _____ (Date)	_____ (Total Cost)	☐ Breakdown attached

3. **Unanticipated or Unusually High O&M Costs During Review Period**

Describe costs and reasons:

V. ACCESS AND INSTITUTIONAL CONTROLS ☒ Applicable ☐ N/A

A. Fencing

1. **Fencing damaged** | ☐ Location shown on site map | ☐ Gates secured | ☒ N/A

Remarks:

B. Other Access Restrictions

2. **Signs and other security measures** | ☐ Location shown on site map | ☒ N/A

Remarks:

Appendix A: Five-Year Review Site Inspection Checklist

C. Institutional Controls (IC)

1. **Implementation and enforcement**

Site conditions imply ICs not properly implemented ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A
Site conditions imply ICs being fully enforced ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A

Type of monitoring (e.g., self-reporting, drive-by)
Frequency
Responsible party/agency CTDEP
Contact Maurice Hamel (Name) Supervisor, Rem. Div. (Title) 6/19/2008 (Date) (860) 424-3787 (Tel No.)
Reporting is up-to-date ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A
Reports are verified by the lead agency ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A
Specific requirements in deed or decision documents have been met ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
Violations have been reported ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A
Other problems or suggestions: ☐ Report attached
CTDEP Manages their ELURs Environmental Land Use Restrictions which are recorded on the deed.

2. Adequacy ☒ ICs are adequate ☐ ICs are inadequate ☐ N/A
Remarks

D. General

1. **Vandalism/trespassing** ☐ Location shown on site map ☒ No vandalism evident
Remarks:

2. **Land use changes on site** ☒ N/A
Remarks:

3. **Land use changes off site** ☒ N/A
Remarks:

VI. GENERAL SITE CONDITIONS

A. Roads ☒ Applicable ☐ N/A

1. **Roads damaged** ☐ Location shown on site map ☐ Roads Adequate ☐ N/A
Remarks: Good condition

B. **Other Site Conditions**
Remarks:

Appendix A: Five-Year Review Site Inspection Checklist

VII. LANDFILL COVERS ☒ Applicable ☐ N/A		
A. Landfill Surface		
1. **Settlement** (Low spots) Areal Extent Remarks:	☐ Location shown on site map Depth	☒ Settlement not evident
2. **Cracks** Lengths Remarks:	☐ Location shown on site map Widths	☒Cracking not evident Depths
3. **Erosion** Areal Extent Remarks: See Attached Report and Pictures	☒ Location shown on site map Depth	☐Erosion not evident
4. **Holes** Areal Extent Remarks:	☐ Location shown on site map Depth	☒Holes not evident
5. **Vegetative Cover** ☐ Grass ☒ Cover properly established ☒ No signs of stress ☐ Trees/Shrubs (indicate size and locations on a diagram) Remarks:		
6. **Alternative Cover** (armored rock, concrete, etc.) ☐ Applicable ☒ N/A Remarks:		
7. **Bulges** Areal Extent Remarks:	☐ Location shown on site map Height	☒Bulges not evident
8. **Wet Areas/Water Damage** ☒Wet Areas/water damage not evident ☐ Wet Areas ☐ Ponding ☐ Seeps ☐ Soft subgrade Remarks:	☐ Location shown on site map ☐ Location shown on site map ☐ Location shown on site map ☐ Location shown on site map	Areal Extent Areal Extent Areal Extent Areal Extent
9. **Slope Instability** ☐ Slides Areal Extent:	☐ Location shown on site map	☒No evidence of slope instability

Appendix A: Five-Year Review Site Inspection Checklist

Remarks:

Appendix A: Five-Year Review Site Inspection Checklist

B. Benches ☒ Applicable ☐ N/A
(Horizontally constructed mounds of earth placed across a steep landfill side slope to interrupt the slope in order to slow down the velocity of surface runoff and intercept and convey the runoff to a lined channel.)

1. **Flows Bypass Bench** ☐ Location shown on site map ☒ N/A or okay
Remarks:

2. **Bench Breached** ☐ Location shown on site map ☒ N/A or okay
Remarks:

3. **Bench Overtopped** ☐ Location shown on site map ☐ N/A or okay
Remarks:

C. Letdown Channels ☒ Applicable ☐ N/A
(Channel lined with erosion control mats, riprap, grout bags, or gabions that descend down the steep side slope of the cover and will allow the runoff water collected by the benches to move off the landfill cover without creating erosion gullies.)

1. **Settlement** ☐ Location shown on site map ☒ No evidence of settlement
Areal extent Depth
Remarks:

2. **Material Degradation** ☐ Location shown on site map ☒ No evidence of degradation
Areal extent Depth
Remarks:

3. **Erosion** ☐ Location shown on site map ☐ No evidence of erosion
Areal extent Depth
Remarks: See report attached

4. **Undercutting** ☐ Location shown on site map ☒ No evidence of undercutting
Areal extent Depth
Remarks:

5. **Obstructions** Type ☒ No obstructions
☐ Location shown on site map Areal extent
Remarks:

6. **Excessive Vegetative Growth** Type
☐ No evidence of excessive growth
☐ Vegetation in channels does not obstruct flow
☐ Location shown on site map Areal extent
Remarks: Weeds growing on gabion downchutes. Site manager was notified and weeds were

addressed

Appendix A: Five-Year Review Site Inspection Checklist

D. Cover Penetrations ☒ Applicable ☐ N/A

1. **Gas Vents** ☐ Active ☒ Passive
☐ Properly Secured/Locked ☐ Functioning ☐ Routinely sampled ☐ Good condition
☐ Evidence of leaking at penetration ☐ Needs maintenance ☐ N/A
Remarks:

2. **Gas Monitoring Probes**
☐ Properly Secured/Locked ☐ Functioning ☐ Routinely sampled ☐ Good condition
☐ Evidence of leaking at penetration ☐ Needs maintenance ☒ N/A
Remarks:

3. **Monitoring Wells** (within surface of landfill)
☒ Properly Secured/Locked ☒ Functioning ☒ Routinely sampled ☒ Good condition
☐ Evidence of leaking at penetration ☐ Needs maintenance ☐ N/A
Remarks:

4. **Leachate Extraction Wells**
☐ Properly Secured/Locked ☐ Functioning ☐ Routinely sampled ☐ Good condition
☐ Evidence of leaking at penetration ☐ Needs maintenance ☒ N/A
Remarks:

5. **Settlement Monuments**
☐ Located ☐ Routinely sampled ☒ N/A
Remarks:

E. Gas Collection and Treatment ☐ Applicable ☒ N/A

1. **Gas Treatment Facilities**
☐ Flaring ☐ Thermal destruction ☐ Collection for reuse
☐ Good condition ☐ Needs maintenance
Remarks:

2. **Gas Collection Wells, Manifolds and Piping**
☐ Good condition ☐ Needs maintenance
Remarks:

3. **Gas Monitoring Facilities** (e.g., gas monitoring of adjacent homes or buildings)
☐ Good condition ☐ Needs maintenance ☐ N/A
Remarks:

Appendix A: Five-Year Review Site Inspection Checklist

F. Cover Drainage Layer ☐ Applicable ☒ N/A
1. **Outlet Pipes Inspected** ☐ Functioning ☒ N/A
Remarks:

2. **Outlet Rock Inspected** ☐ Functioning ☒ N/A
Remarks:

G. Detention/Sediment Ponds ☐ Applicable ☒ N/A
1. **Siltation** Areal Extent Depth ☐ Siltation not evident
Remarks:

2. **Erosion** Areal Extent Depth ☐ Erosion not evident
Remarks:

3. **Outlet Works** ☐ Functioning ☒ N/A
Remarks:

4. **Dam** ☐ Functioning ☒ N/A
Remarks:

H. Retaining Walls ☐ Applicable ☒ N/A
1. **Deformations** ☐ Location shown on site map ☐ Deformation not evident
Horizontal displacement Vertical displacement
Rotational displacement
Remarks:

I. Perimeter Ditches/Off-Site Discharge ☐ Applicable ☒ N/A
1. **Siltation** ☐ Location shown on site map ☐ Siltation not evident
Areal extent Depth
Remarks:

2. **Vegetative Growth** ☐ Location shown on site map ☒ N/A
☐ Vegetation does not impede flow
Areal extent Type
Remarks:

3. **Erosion** ☐ Location shown on site map ☒ N/A
Areal extent Type
Remarks:

4. **Discharge Structure** ☐ Functioning ☒ N/A
Remarks:

Appendix A: Five-Year Review Site Inspection Checklist

Appendix A: Five-Year Review Site Inspection Checklist

VIII. VERTICAL BARRIER WALLS ☐ Applicable ☒ N/A			
1. **Settlement** ☐ Location shown on site map ☐ Settlement not evident Areal extent Type Remarks:			
2. **Performance Monitoring** Type of monitoring ☐ Performance not monitored Frequency ☐ Evidence of breaching Head differential Remarks:			
IX. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER REMEDIES ☐ Applicable ☒ N/A			
A. Groundwater Extraction Wells, Pumps and Pipelines ☐ Applicable ☒ N/A			
1. **Pumps, Wellhead Plumbing and Electrical** ☐ Good condition ☐ All required wells properly operating ☐ Needs maintenance ☐ N/A Remarks:			
2. **Extraction System Pipelines, Valves, Valve Boxes, and Other Appurtenances** ☐ Good condition ☐ Needs maintenance Remarks:			
3. **Spare Parts and Equipment** ☐ Readily Available ☐ Good condition ☐ Requires Upgrade ☐ Needs to be provided Remarks:			
B. Surface Water Collection Structures, Pumps and Pipelines ☐ Applicable ☒ N/A			
1. **Collection Structures, Pumps and Electrical** ☐ Good condition ☐ Needs maintenance Remarks:			
2. **Surface Water Collection System Pipelines, Valves, Valve Boxes, and Other Appurtenances** ☐ Good condition ☐ Needs maintenance Remarks:			
3. **Spare Parts and Equipment** ☐ Readily Available ☐ Good condition ☐ Requires Upgrade ☐ Needs to be provided Remarks:			

Appendix A: Five-Year Review Site Inspection Checklist

C. Treatment System ☐ Applicable ☒ N/A

1. **Treatment Train** (Check components that apply)
☐ Metals Removal ☐ Oil/water separation ☐ Bioremediation
☐ Air stripping ☐ Carbon adsorbers
☐ Filters
☐ Additive (e.g., chelation agent, flocculent)
☐ Others
☐ Good condition ☐ Needs maintenance
☐ Sampling ports properly marked and functional
☐ Sampling/maintenance log displayed and up to date
☐ Equipment properly identified
Quantity of groundwater treated annually
Quantity of surface water treated annually
Remarks:

2. **Electrical Enclosures and Panels** (properly rated and functional)
☒ N/A ☐ Good condition ☐ Needs maintenance
Remarks:

3. **Tanks, Vaults, Storage Vessels**
☐ N/A ☒ Good condition ☐ Proper Secondary containment ☐ Needs maintenance
Remarks:

4. **Discharge Structures and Appurtenances**
☒ N/A ☐ Good condition ☐ Needs maintenance
Remarks:

5. **Treatment Building(s)**
☒ N/A ☐ Good condition (esp. roof and doorways ☐ Needs repair
☐ Chemicals and equipment properly stored
Remarks:

6. **Monitoring Wells** (pump and treat remedy)
☒ Properly secured/locked ☒ Functioning ☒ Routinely sampled ☐ Good condition
☐ All required wells located ☐ Needs maintenance ☐ N/A
Remarks:

Appendix A: Five-Year Review Site Inspection Checklist

D. Monitoring Data

1. Monitoring Data

☒ Is routinely submitted on time ☒ Is of acceptable quality

2. Monitoring Data Suggests:

☒ Groundwater plume is effectively contained ☒ Contaminant concentrations are declining

Remarks:

E. Monitoring Natural Attenuation (MNA)

1. **Monitoring Wells** (MNA remedy)

☒ Properly secured/locked ☒ Functioning ☒ Routinely sampled ☐ Good condition

☒ All required wells located ☐ Needs maintenance ☐ N/A

Remarks:

X. OTHER REMEDIES

If there are remedies applied at the site which are not covered above, attach an inspection sheet describing the physical nature and condition of any facility associated with the remedy. An example would be soil vapor extraction.

XI. OVERALL OBSERVATIONS

A. Implementation of the Remedy

Describe issues and observations relating to whether the remedy is effective and functioning as designed. Begin with a brief statement of what the remedy is to accomplish (i.e., to contain contaminant plume, minimize infiltration and gas emission, etc.).

Remedy is to contain contaminants and plume coming from the landfill and remediate the plume to the compliance boundary.

Appendix A: Five-Year Review Site Inspection Checklist

B. Adequacy of O&M
Describe issues and observations related to the implementation and scope of the O&M procedures. In particular, discuss their relationship to the current and long-term protectiveness of the remedy. It was observed, after a rain storm that some of the surface water was discharging to the side of the downchute at the bottom of the slope rather than to and within the downchute channel. The side slope of the downchute at the bottom may need to be corrected to prevent side leakage. Small plants near the gas vents have been observed and should be removed to minimize the root penetration into the underlying low permeability layers.

APPENDIX B

Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements (ARARs)

APPENDIX B

ARARs Table

BARKHAMSTED-NEW HARTFORD SUPERFUND SITE, BARKHAMSTED, CONNECTICUT

POTENTIAL STATE AND FEDERAL CHEMICAL-SPECIFIC ARARs

ALTERNATIVE MM-2 (Management/Natural Attenuation)

Authority	Requirement	Status	Requirement Synopsis	Action Taken to Meet ARAR
GROUNDWATER				
Federal Requirements	Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs) 40 CFR §141.11 - 141.16	Relevant and Appropriate	MCLs have been promulgated for several common organic and inorganic contaminants. These levels regulate the concentration of contaminants in public drinking water supplies, but may also be considered relevant and appropriate for groundwater aquifers used for drinking water.	COPCs were compared to MCLs. MCLs were utilized to evaluate the clean-up criteria.

BARKHAMSTED-NEW HARTFORD SUPERFUND SITE, BARKHAMSTED, CONNECTICUT

POTENTIAL STATE AND FEDERAL CHEMICAL-SPECIFIC ARARs

ALTERNATIVE MM-2 (Management/Natural Attenuation)

Authority	Requirement	Status	Requirement Synopsis	Action Taken to Meet ARAR
	Maximum Contaminant Level Goals (MCLGs) 40 CFR §141.50-141.51	Relevant and Appropriate	MCLGs are health-based criteria to be considered for drinking water sources. MCLGs are available for several organic and inorganic contaminants. When non-zero MCLGs are available, they are generally used in lieu of MCLs as initial goals for the remedy.	When non-zero MCLGs are available, they are generally used in lieu of MCLs as initial goals for the remedy to be attained at the compliance boundary. A restriction on use of groundwater within the compliance boundary will be established and an appropriate monitoring program will be conducted until the groundwater concentrations are less than the MCLGs.

BARKHAMSTED-NEW HARTFORD SUPERFUND SITE, BARKHAMSTED, CONNECTICUT

POTENTIAL STATE AND FEDERAL CHEMICAL-SPECIFIC ARARs

ALTERNATIVE MM-2 (Management/Natural Attenuation)

Authority	Requirement	Status	Requirement Synopsis	Action Taken to Meet ARAR
State Requirements	Standards for Quality and Adequacy of Public Drinking Water RCSA §19-13-B101 through B102	Relevant and Appropriate	Regulations similar to the Safe Drinking Water Act where by standards for water quality in private water supply systems and standards for quality of public drinking water have been established.	These standards will be compared to federal standards. If the state standards are more stringent than the federal standards, then the state standards will be met by the remedy.

BARKHAMSTED-NEW HARTFORD SUPERFUND SITE, BARKHAMSTED, CONNECTICUT

POTENTIAL STATE AND FEDERAL CHEMICAL-SPECIFIC ARARs

ALTERNATIVE MM-2 (Management/Natural Attenuation)

Authority	Requirement	Status	Requirement Synopsis	Action Taken to Meet ARAR
	Remediation Standard Regulations RCSA §22a-133k- 1through 3	Applicable	Substances that are part of a release at a site must be remediated. In some cases, groundwater must be remediated to background concentrations. For other cases, as described in §22a-133k-3(d)(1) and (2), the regulations provide specific numeric clean up criteria for a wide variety of contaminants in groundwater, surface water and soil vapor. Any substance which is part of a release but does not have established criteria, criteria must be derived and approved by the Commissioner.	These standards will be compared to federal standards. If the state standards are more stringent than the federal standards, then the state standards will be met by the remedy. Under state standards, all substances in the groundwater plume will be remediated to background concentrations, unless conditions listed in §22a-133k-3(d)(1) and (2) are met.


State Requirements	Water Quality Standards CGS §22a-426	Applicable	Connecticut's Water Quality Standards were adopted under this statute. They establish specific numeric criteria, and anti-degradation policies for groundwater and surface water. The groundwater classification of the Site is GA and the state's goal is to restore the groundwater to a quality consistent with its use for drinking without treatment.	Remedial activities will be under taken in a manner which is consistent with the anti-degradation policy in the water quality standards. If any remedial activities occur that are regulated under these provisions, the use of engineering controls and best management practices may be required to prevent or minimize adverse impacts to the waters of the state.

APPENDIX C

Potentially Complete Exposure Pathways

Appendix C

Potentially complete exposure pathways (from USEPA, 2001)



* Exposure prevented by capping or institutional controls

X[a] Exposure only to media outside of cap

APPENDIX D

Vapor Intrusion Pathway Summary Page

VII. VAPOR INTRUSION PATHWAY SUMMARY PAGE

Facility Name: Barkhamsted Landfill Transfer Station Office.

Facility Address: Regional Refuse Disposal District No. 1
31 New Hartford Road
Pleasant Valley, CT 06063

Primary Screening Summary

☐ *Q1: Constituents of concern Identified?*

X *Yes*

_____ *No* (If NO, skip to the conclusion section below and check NO to indicate the pathway is *incomplete.)*

☐ *Q2: Currently inhabited buildings near subsurface contamination?*

X *Yes*

_____ *No*

Areas of future concern near subsurface contamination?

X *Yes*

_____ *No* (If NO, skip to the conclusion section below and check NO to indicate the pathway is *incomplete.)*

☐ *Q3: Immediate Actions Warranted?*

_____ *Yes*

X *No*

Secondary Screening Summary

☐ *Vapor source identified:*

X *Groundwater*

_____ *Soil*

_____ *Insufficient data*

☐ *Indoor air data available?*

_____ *Yes*

X *No*

☐ *Indoor air concentrations exceed target levels?*

_____ *Yes* Not applicable.

_____ *No*

☐ *Subsurface data evaluation: (Circle appropriate answers below)*

Medium	Q4 Levels Exceeded?	Q5 Levels Exceeded?	Data Indicates Pathway is Complete?
Groundwater	YES / (NO) / NA / INS	(YES)* / NO / NA / INS	YES / (NO) / INS
Soil Gas	YES / NO / NA / INS	YES / NO / NA / INS	YES / NO / INS

* In only one cross to downgradient well (MW-15).

NA = not applicable
INS = insufficient data available to make a determination

Site-Specific Summary

☐ *Have the nature and extent of subsurface contamination, potential preferential pathways and overlying building characteristics been adequately characterized to identify the most-likely-to-be-impacted buildings?*

_X_ *Yes*

_____ *No*

_____ *N/A*

EPA recommends that if a model was used, it be an appropriate and applicable model that represents the conceptual site model. If other means were used, document how you determined the potentially most impacted areas to sample. EPA recommends that predictive modeling can be used to support Current Human Exposures Under Control EI determinations without confirmatory sampling to support this determination. Current Human Exposures Under Control EI determinations are intended to reflect a reasonable conclusion by EPA or the State that current human exposures are under control with regard to the vapor intrusion pathway and current land use conditions. Therefore, if conducting evaluation for an EI determination, document that the **Pathway is Incomplete** and/or does not pose an unacceptable risk to human health for EI determinations.

☐ *Are you making an EI determination based on modeling and does the model prediction indicate that determination is expected to be adequately protective to support Current Human Exposures Under Control EI determinations?*

_____ *Yes*

_____ *No*

_X_ *N/A*

☐ *Do subslab vapor concentrations exceed target levels?*

_____ *Yes*

_____ *No*

_X_ *N/A*

☐ *Do indoor air concentrations exceed target levels?*

_____ *Yes* Not applicable.

_____ *No*

Conclusion

Is there a Complete Pathway for subsurface vapor intrusion to indoor air?

Below, check the appropriate conclusion for the Subsurface Vapor to Indoor Air Pathway evaluation and attach supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility.

__X__ NO - the "Subsurface Vapor Intrusion to Indoor Air Pathway" has been verified to be incomplete for the Barkhamsted Transfer Station Office. facility, EPA ID #_____, located at Barkhamsted, CT.
This determination is based on a review of site information, as suggested in this guidance, check as appropriate:

__X__ for current and reasonably expected conditions, or

_____ based on performance monitoring evaluations for engineered exposure controls. This determination may be re-evaluated, where appropriate, when the Agency/State becomes aware of any significant changes at the facility.

_____ YES –The "Subsurface Vapor to Indoor Air Pathway" is Complete. Engineered controls, avoidance actions, or removal actions taken include: _____

_____

_____

_____ UNKNOWN - More information is needed to make a determination.

Locations where References may be found:

Barkhamsted Five-Year Review Report - 2008.

_____

_____

_____

_____

Contact telephone and e-mail numbers:

(name) _____

(phone #) _____

(e-mail) _____

Table 1: Question 1 Summary Sheet.

CAS No.	Chemical	Is Chemical Sufficiently Toxic? [1]	Is Chemical Sufficiently Volatile? [2]	Check Here if Known or Reasonably Suspected To Be Present [3]
83329	Acenaphthene	YES	YES	
75070	Acetaldehyde	YES	YES	
67641	Acetone	YES	YES	
75058	Acetonitrile	YES	YES	
98862	Acetophenone	YES	YES	
107028	Acrolein	YES	YES	
107131	Acrylonitrile	YES	YES	
309002	Aldrin	YES	YES	
319846	alpha-HCH (alpha-BHC)	YES	YES	
62533	Aniline	YES	NO	NA
120127	Anthracene	NO	YES	NA
56553	Benz(a)anthracene	YES	NO	NA
100527	Benzaldehyde	YES	YES	
71432	Benzene	YES	YES	X
50328	Benzo(a)pyrene	YES	NO	NA
205992	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	YES	YES	
207089	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	NO	NO	NA
65850	Benzoic Acid	NO	NO	NA
100516	Benzyl alcohol	YES	NO	NA
100447	Benzylchloride	YES	YES	
91587	beta-Chloronaphthalene	YES	YES	
319857	beta-HCH (beta-BHC)	YES	NO	NA
92524	Biphenyl	YES	YES	
111444	Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	YES	YES	
108601	Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether	YES	YES	
117817	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	NO	NO	NA
542881	Bis(chloromethyl)ether	YES	YES	
75274	Bromodichloromethane	YES	YES	
75252	Bromoform	YES	YES	
106990	1,3-Butadiene	YES	YES	
71363	Butanol	YES	NO	NA
85687	Butyl benzyl phthalate	NO	NO	NA
86748	Carbazole	YES	NO	NA
75150	Carbon disulfide	YES	YES	
56235	Carbon tetrachloride	YES	YES	
57749	Chlordane	YES	YES	
126998	2-Chloro-1,3-butadiene (chloroprene)	YES	YES	
108907	Chlorobenzene	YES	YES	
109693	1-Chlorobutane	YES	YES	
124481	Chlorodibromomethane	YES	YES	
75456	Chlorodifluoromethane	YES	YES	
75003	Chloroethane (ethyl chloride)	YES	YES	X
67663	Chloroform	YES	YES	
95578	2-Chlorophenol	YES	YES	
75296	2-Chloropropane	YES	YES	
218019	Chrysene	YES	YES	
156592	cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	YES	YES	
123739	Crotonaldehyde (2-butenal)	YES	YES	
98828	Cumene	YES	YES	
72548	DDD	YES	NO	NA
72559	DDE	YES	YES	
50293	DDT	YES	NO	NA
53703	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	YES	NO	NA
132649	Dibenzofuran	YES	YES	
96128	1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	YES	YES	
106934	1,2-Dibromoethane (ethylene dibromide)	YES	YES	
541731	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	YES	YES	
95501	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	YES	YES	
106467	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	YES	YES	X
91941	3,3-Dichlorobenzidine	YES	NO	NA
75718	Dichlorodifluoromethane	YES	YES	

Table 1: Question 1 Summary Sheet

CAS No.	Chemical	Is Chemical Sufficiently Toxic? [1]	Is Chemical Sufficiently Volatile? [2]	Check Here If Known or Reasonably Suspected To Be Present [3]
75343	1,1-Dichloroethane	YES	YES	
107062	1,2-Dichloroethane	YES	YES	
75354	1,1-Dichloroethylene	YES	YES	
120832	2,4-Dichlorophenol	YES	NO	NA
78875	1,2-Dichloropropane	YES	YES	
542756	1,3-Dichloropropene	YES	YES	
60571	Dieldrin	YES	YES	
84662	Diethylphthalate	YES	NO	NA
105679	2,4-Dimethylphenol	YES	NO	NA X
131113	Dimethylphthalate	NA	NO	NA
84742	Di-n-butyl phthalate	NO	NO	NA
534521	4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol (4,6-dinitro-o-cresol)	YES	NO	NA
51285	2,4-Dinitrophenol	YES	NO	NA
121142	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	YES	NO	NA
606202	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	YES	NO	NA
117840	Di-n-octyl phthalate	NO	YES	NA
115297	Endosulfan	YES	YES	
72208	Endrin	YES	NO	NA
106898	Epichlorohydrin	YES	YES	
60297	Ethyl ether	YES	YES	
141786	Ethylacetate	YES	YES	
100414	Ethylbenzene	YES	YES	
75218	Ethylene oxide	YES	YES	
97632	Ethylmethacrylate	YES	YES	
206440	Fluoranthene	NO	YES	NA
86737	Fluorene	YES	YES	
110009	Furan	YES	YES	
58899	gamma-HCH (Lindane)	YES	YES	
76448	Heptachlor	YES	YES	
1024573	Heptachlor epoxide	YES	NO	NA
87683	Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene	YES	YES	
118741	Hexachlorobenzene	YES	YES	
77474	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	YES	YES	
67721	Hexachloroethane	YES	YES	
110543	Hexane	YES	YES	
74908	Hydrogen cyanide	YES	YES	
193395	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	NO	NO	NA
78831	Isobutanol	YES	YES	
78591	Isophorone	YES	NO	NA
7439976	Mercury (elemental)	YES	YES	
126987	Methacrylonitrile	YES	YES	
72435	Methoxychlor	YES	YES	
79209	Methyl acetate	YES	YES	
96333	Methyl acrylate	YES	YES	
74839	Methyl bromide	YES	YES	
74873	Methyl chloride (chloromethane)	YES	YES	
108872	Methylcyclohexane	YES	YES	
74953	Methylene bromide	YES	YES	
75092	Methylene chloride	YES	YES	
78933	Methylethylketone (2-butanone)	YES	YES	
108101	Methylisobutylketone	YES	YES	
80626	Methylmethacrylate	YES	YES	
91576	2-Methylnaphthalene	YES	YES	
108394	3-Methylphenol (m-cresol)	YES	NO	NA
95487	2-Methylphenol (o-cresol)	YES	NO	NA
106455	4-Methylphenol (p-cresol)	YES	NO	NA
99081	m-Nitrotoluene	YES	NO	NA
1634044	MTBE	YES	YES	
108383	m-Xylene	YES	YES	
91203	Naphthalene	YES	YES	
104518	n-Butylbenzene	YES	YES	

Table 1: Question 1 Summary Sheet.

CAS No.	Chemical	Is Chemical Sufficiently Toxic? [1]	Is Chemical Sufficiently Volatile? [2]	Check Here if Known or Reasonably Suspected To Be Present [3]
98953	Nitrobenzene	YES	YES	
100027	4-Nitrophenol	YES	NO	NA
79469	2-Nitropropane	YES	YES	
924163	N-Nitroso-di-n-butylamine	YES	YES	
621647	N-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine	YES	NO	NA
86306	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	YES	NO	NA
103651	n-Propylbenzene	YES	YES	
88722	o-Nitrotoluene	YES	YES	
95476	o-Xylene	YES	YES	
106478	p-Chloroaniline	YES	NO	NA
87865	Pentachlorophenol	YES	NO	NA
108952	Phenol	YES	NO	NA
99990	p-Nitrotoluene	YES	NO	NA
106423	p-Xylene	YES	YES	
129000	Pyrene	YES	YES	
110861	Pyridine	YES	NO	NA
135988	sec-Butylbenzene	YES	YES	
100425	Styrene	YES	YES	
98066	tert-Butylbenzene	YES	YES	
630206	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	YES	YES	
79345	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	YES	YES	
127184	Tetrachloroethylene	YES	YES	
108883	Toluene	YES	YES	X
8001352	Toxaphene	YES	NO	NA
156605	trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	YES	YES	
76131	1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	YES	YES	
120821	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	YES	YES	
79005	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	YES	YES	
71556	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	YES	YES	
79016	Trichloroethylene	YES	YES	
75694	Trichlorofluoromethane	YES	YES	
95954	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	YES	NO	NA
88062	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	YES	NO	NA
96184	1,2,3-Trichloropropane	YES	YES	
95636	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	YES	YES	
108678	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	YES	YES	
108054	Vinyl acetate	YES	YES	
75014	Vinyl chloride (chloroethene)	YES	YES	

[1] A chemical is considered sufficiently toxic if the vapor concentration of the pure component (see Appendix D) poses an incremental lifetime cancer risk greater than 10^{-6} or a non-cancer hazard index greater than 1.

[2] A chemical is considered sufficiently volatile if its Henry's Law Constant is 1×10^{-5} atm-m^3/mol or greater (US EPA, 1991).

[3] Users should check off compounds that meet the criteria for toxicity and volatility and are known or reasonably suspected to be present.

Table 2a: Question 4 Generic Screening Levels and Summary Sheet [1]
Risk = 1 x 10^{-4}

CAS No.	Chemical	Compounds with Provisional Toxicity Data Extrapolated From Oral Sources	Basis of Target Concentration C=cancer risk NC=noncancer risk	Target Indoor Air Concentration to Satisfy Both the Prescribed Risk Level and the Target Hazard Index ($R=10^{-4}$, HI=1) C_{target} (ug/m^3)	($ppbv$)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Indoor Air Concentration [if available] (specify units)	Target Shallow Soil Gas Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor=0.1 $C_{soil\ gas}$ (ug/m^3)	($ppbv$)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Shallow Soil Gas Concentration [if available] (specify units)	Target Deep Soil Gas Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor=0.01 $C_{soil\ gas}$ (ug/m^3)	($ppbv$)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Deep Soil Gas Concentration [if available] (specify units)	Target Groundwater Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor = 0.001 and Partitioning Across the Water Table Obeys Henry's Law C_{gw} (ug/L)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Groundwater Concentration [if available] (specify units)
83329	Acenaphthene	X	NC	2.1E+02	3.3E+01		2.1E+03	3.3E+02		2.1E+04	3.3E+03		--	
75070	Acetaldehyde		NC	9.0E+00	5.0E+00		9.0E+01	5.0E+01		9.0E+02	5.0E+02		2.8E+03	
67641	Acetone	X	NC	3.5E+02	1.5E+02		3.5E+03	1.5E+03		3.5E+04	1.5E+04		2.2E+05	
75058	Acetonitrile		NC	6.0E+01	3.6E+01		6.0E+02	3.6E+02		6.0E+03	3.6E+03		4.2E+04	
98862	Acetophenone	X	NC	3.5E+02	7.1E+01		3.5E+03	7.1E+02		3.5E+04	7.1E+03		8.0E+05	
107028	Acrolein		NC	2.0E-02	8.7E-03		2.0E-01	8.7E-02		2.0E+00	8.7E-01		4.0E+00	
107131	Acrylonitrile		NC	2.0E+00	9.2E-01		2.0E+01	9.2E+00		2.0E+02	9.2E+01		4.7E+02	
309002	Aldrin		C	5.0E-02	3.3E-03		5.0E-01	3.3E-02		5.0E+00	3.3E-01		7.1E+00	
319846	alpha-HCH (alpha-BHC)		C	1.4E-01	1.1E-02		1.4E+00	1.1E-01		1.4E+01	1.1E+00		3.1E+02	
100527	Benzaldehyde	X	NC	3.5E+02	8.1E+01		3.5E+03	8.1E+02		3.5E+04	8.1E+03		3.6E+05	
71432	Benzene		C	3.1E+01	9.8E+00		3.1E+02	9.8E+01		3.1E+03	9.8E+02		1.4E+02	13.7 ug/L - * highest conc.
205992	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	X	C	1.2E+00	1.1E-01		--	--		--	--		--	
100447	Benzylchloride	X	C	5.0E+00	9.7E-01		5.0E+01	9.7E+00		5.0E+02	9.7E+01		3.0E+02	
91587	beta-Chloronaphthalene	X	NC	2.8E+02	4.2E+01		2.8E+03	4.2E+02		2.8E+04	4.2E+03		--	
92524	Biphenyl	X	NC	1.8E+02	2.8E+01		1.8E+03	2.8E+02		1.8E+04	2.8E+03		--	
111444	Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether		C	7.4E-01	1.3E-01		7.4E+00	1.3E+00		7.4E+01	1.3E+01		1.0E+03	
108601	Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether		C	2.4E+01	3.5E+00		2.4E+02	3.5E+01		2.4E+03	3.5E+02		5.1E+03	
542881	Bis(chloromethyl)ether		C	3.9E-03	8.4E-04		3.9E-02	8.4E-03		3.9E-01	8.4E-02		4.5E-01	
75274	Bromodichloromethane	X	C	1.4E+01	2.1E+00		1.4E+02	2.1E+01		1.4E+03	2.1E+02		2.1E+02	
75252	Bromoform		C	2.2E+02	2.1E+01		2.2E+03	2.1E+02		2.2E+04	2.1E+03		8.3E-01	
106990	1,3-Butadiene		C	8.7E-01	3.9E-01		8.7E+00	3.9E+00		8.7E+01	3.9E+01		2.9E-01	
75150	Carbon disulfide		NC	7.0E+02	2.2E+02		7.0E+03	2.2E+03		7.0E+04	2.2E+04		5.6E+02	
56235	Carbon tetrachloride		C	1.6E+01	2.6E+00		1.6E+02	2.6E+01		1.6E+03	2.6E+02		1.3E+01	
57749	Chlordane		NC	7.0E-01	4.2E-02		7.0E+00	4.2E-01		7.0E+01	4.2E+00		--	
126998	2-Chloro-1,3-butadiene (chloroprene)		NC	7.0E+00	1.9E+00		7.0E+01	1.9E+01		7.0E+02	1.9E+02		1.4E+01	
108907	Chlorobenzene		NC	6.0E+01	1.3E+01		6.0E+02	1.3E+02		6.0E+03	1.3E+03		3.9E+02	
109693	1-Chlorobutane	X	NC	1.4E+03	3.7E+02		1.4E+04	3.7E+03		1.4E+05	3.7E+04		2.0E+03	
124481	Chlorodibromomethane	X	C	1.0E+01	1.2E+00		1.0E+02	1.2E+01		1.0E+03	1.2E+02		3.2E+02	
75456	Chlorodifluoromethane		NC	5.0E+04	1.4E+04		5.0E+05	1.4E+05		--	--		--	
75003	Chloroethane (ethyl chloride)		NC	1.0E+04	3.8E+03		1.0E+05	3.8E+04		1.0E+06	3.8E+05		2.8E+04	9.17 ug/L
67663	Chloroform		C	1.1E+01	2.2E+00		1.1E+02	2.2E+01		1.1E+03	2.2E+02		8.0E+01 [1]	
95578	2-Chlorophenol	X	NC	1.8E+01	3.3E+00		1.8E+02	3.3E+01		1.8E+03	3.3E+02		1.1E+03	
75296	2-Chloropropane		NC	1.0E+02	3.2E+01		1.0E+03	3.2E+02		1.0E+04	3.2E+03		1.7E+02	
218019	Chrysene	X	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
156592	cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	X	NC	3.5E+01	8.8E+00		3.5E+02	8.8E+01		3.5E+03	8.8E+02		2.1E+02	
123739	Crotonaldehyde (2-butenal)	X	C	4.5E-01	1.6E-01		4.5E+00	1.6E+00		4.5E+01	1.6E+01		5.6E+02	
98828	Cumene		NC	4.0E+02	8.1E+01		4.0E+03	8.1E+02		4.0E+04	8.1E+03		8.4E+00	

* The highest concentration is noted for wells MW-15, S-3 and 45.

Table 2a: Question 4 Generic Screening Levels and Summary Sheet [1]
Risk = 1 x 10^{-6}

CAS No.	Chemical	Compounds with Provisional Toxicity Data Extrapolated From Oral Sources	Basis of Target Concentration C=cancer risk NC=noncancer risk	Target Indoor Air Concentration to Satisfy Both the Prescribed Risk Level and the Target Hazard Index (R=10^{-6}, HI=1) C_{target} (ug/m^3)	(ppbv)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Indoor Air Concentration [if available] (specify units)	Target Shallow Soil Gas Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor=0.1 $C_{soil\text{-}gas}$ (ug/m^3)	(ppbv)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Shallow Soil Gas Concentration [if available] (specify units)	Target Deep Soil Gas Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor=0.01 $C_{soil\text{-}gas}$ (ug/m^3)	(ppbv)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Deep Soil Gas Concentration [if available] (specify units)	Target Groundwater Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor = 0.001 and Partitioning Across the Water Table Obeys Henry's Law C_{gw} (ug/L)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Groundwater Concentration [if available] (specify units)
72559	DDE	X	C	2.5E+00	1.9E-01		2.5E+01	1.9E+00		--	--		--	
132649	Dibenzofuran	X	NC	1.4E+01	2.0E+00		1.4E+02	2.0E+01		1.4E+03	2.0E+02		--	
96128	1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane		NC	2.0E-01	2.1E-02		2.0E+00	2.1E-01		2.0E+01	2.1E+00		3.3E+01	
106934	1,2-Dibromoethane (ethylene dibromide)		NC	2.0E-01	2.6E-02		2.0E+00	2.6E-01		2.0E+01	2.6E+00		6.6E+00	
541731	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	X	NC	1.1E+02	1.7E+01		1.1E+03	1.7E+02		1.1E+04	1.7E+03		8.3E+02	
95501	1,2-Dichlorobenzene		NC	2.0E+02	3.3E+01		2.0E+03	3.3E+02		2.0E+04	3.3E+03		2.6E+03	
106467	1,4-Dichlorobenzene		NC	8.0E+02	1.3E+02		8.0E+03	1.3E+03		8.0E+04	1.3E+04		8.2E+03	6.1 ug/L
75718	Dichlorodifluoromethane		NC	2.0E+02	4.0E+01		2.0E+03	4.0E+02		2.0E+04	4.0E+03		1.4E+01	
75343	1,1-Dichloroethane		NC	5.0E+02	1.2E+02		5.0E+03	1.2E+03		5.0E+04	1.2E+04		2.2E+03	
107062	1,2-Dichloroethane		C	9.4E+00	2.3E+00		9.4E+01	2.3E+01		9.4E+02	2.3E+02		2.3E+02	
75354	1,1-Dichloroethylene		NC	2.0E+02	5.0E+01		2.0E+03	5.0E+02		2.0E+04	5.0E+03		1.8E+02	
78875	1,2-Dichloropropane		NC	4.0E+00	8.7E-01		4.0E+01	8.7E+00		4.0E+02	8.7E+01		3.5E+01	
542756	1,3-Dichloropropene		NC	2.0E+01	4.4E+00		2.0E+02	4.4E+01		2.0E+03	4.4E+02		2.8E+01	
60571	Dieldrin		C	5.3E-02	3.4E-03		5.3E-01	3.4E-02		5.3E+00	3.4E-01		8.6E+01	
115297	Endosulfan	X	NC	2.1E+01	1.3E+00		2.1E+02	1.3E+01		--	--		--	
106898	Epichlorohydrin		NC	1.0E+00	2.6E-01		1.0E+01	2.6E+00		1.0E+02	2.6E+01		8.0E+02	
60297	Ethyl ether	X	NC	7.0E+02	2.3E+02		7.0E+03	2.3E+03		7.0E+04	2.3E+04		5.2E+02	
141786	Ethylacetate	X	NC	3.2E+03	8.7E+02		3.2E+04	8.7E+03		3.2E+05	8.7E+04		5.6E+05	
100414	Ethylbenzene		C	2.2E+02	5.1E+01		2.2E+03	5.1E+02		2.2E+04	5.1E+03		7.0E+02 [1]	
75218	Ethylene oxide		C	2.4E+00	1.4E+00		2.4E+01	1.4E+01		2.4E+02	1.4E+02		1.1E+02	
97632	Ethylmethacrylate	X	NC	3.2E+02	6.8E+01		3.2E+03	6.8E+02		3.2E+04	6.8E+03		9.1E+03	
86737	Fluorene	X	NC	1.4E+02	2.1E+01		1.4E+03	2.1E+02		--	--		--	
110009	Furan	X	NC	3.5E+00	1.3E+00		3.5E+01	1.3E+01		3.5E+02	1.3E+02		1.6E+01	
58899	gamma-HCH (Lindane)	X	C	6.6E-01	5.5E-02		6.6E+00	5.5E-01		6.6E+01	5.5E+00		1.1E+03	
76448	Heptachlor		C	1.9E-01	1.2E-02		1.9E+00	1.2E-01		1.9E+01	1.2E+00		4.0E-01 [1]	
87683	Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene		C	1.1E+01	1.0E+00		1.1E+02	1.0E+01		1.1E+03	1.0E+02		3.3E+01	
118741	Hexachlorobenzene		C	5.3E-01	4.5E-02		5.3E+00	4.5E-01		5.3E+01	4.5E+00		--	
77474	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene		NC	2.0E-01	1.8E-02		2.0E+00	1.8E-01		2.0E+01	1.8E+00		5.0E+01 [1]	
67721	Hexachloroethane		C	6.1E+01	6.3E+00		6.1E+02	6.3E+01		6.1E+03	6.3E+02		3.8E+02	
110543	Hexane		NC	2.0E+02	5.7E+01		2.0E+03	5.7E+02		2.0E+04	5.7E+03		2.9E+00	
74908	Hydrogen cyanide		NC	3.0E+00	2.7E+00		3.0E+01	2.7E+01		3.0E+02	2.7E+02		5.5E+02	
78831	Isobutanol	X	NC	1.1E+03	3.5E+02		1.1E+04	3.5E+03		1.1E+05	3.5E+04		2.2E+06	
7439976	Mercury (elemental)		NC	3.0E-01	3.7E-02		3.0E+00	3.7E-01		3.0E+01	3.7E+00		6.8E-01	
126987	Methacrylonitrile		NC	7.0E-01	2.6E-01		7.0E+00	2.6E+00		7.0E+01	2.6E+01		6.9E+01	
72435	Methoxychlor	X	NC	1.8E+01	1.2E+00		--	--		--	--		--	
79209	Methyl acetate	X	NC	3.5E+03	1.2E+03		3.5E+04	1.2E+04		3.5E+05	1.2E+05		7.2E+05	
96333	Methyl acrylate	X	NC	1.1E+02	3.0E+01		1.1E+03	3.0E+02		1.1E+04	3.0E+03		1.4E+04	

Table 2a: Question 4 Generic Screening Levels and Summary Sheet [1]
Risk = 1 x 10^{-4}

CAS No.	Chemical	Compounds with Provisional Toxicity Data Extrapolated From Oral Sources	Basis of Target Concentration C=cancer risk NC=noncancer risk	Target Indoor Air Concentration to Satisfy Both the Prescribed Risk Level and the Target Hazard Index (R=10^{-4}, HI=1) C_{target} (ug/m^3)	C_{target} (ppbv)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Indoor Air Concentration (if available) (specify units)	Target Shallow Soil Gas Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor=0.1 $C_{soil-gas}$ (ug/m^3)	$C_{soil-gas}$ (ppbv)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Shallow Soil Gas Concentration (if available) (specify units)	Target Deep Soil Gas Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor=0.01 $C_{soil-gas}$ (ug/m^3)	$C_{soil-gas}$ (ppbv)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Deep Soil Gas Concentration (if available) (specify units)	Target Groundwater Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor = 0.001 and Partitioning Across the Water Table Obeys Henry's Law C_{gw} (ug/L)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Groundwater Concentration (if available) (specify units)
74839	Methyl bromide		NC	5.0E+00	1.3E+00		5.0E+01	1.3E+01		5.0E+02	1.3E+02		2.0E+01	
74873	Methyl chloride (chloromethane)		NC	9.0E+01	4.4E+01		9.0E+02	4.4E+02		9.0E+03	4.4E+03		2.5E+02	
108872	Methylcyclohexane		NC	3.0E+03	7.5E+02		3.0E+04	7.5E+03		3.0E+05	7.5E+04		7.1E+02	
74953	Methylene bromide	X	NC	3.5E+01	4.9E+00		3.5E+02	4.9E+01		3.5E+03	4.9E+02		9.9E+02	
75092	Methylene chloride		C	5.2E+02	1.5E+02		5.2E+03	1.5E+03		5.2E+04	1.5E+04		5.8E+03	
78933	Methylethylketone (2-butanone)		NC	1.0E+03	3.4E+02		1.0E+04	3.4E+03		1.0E+05	3.4E+04		4.4E+05	
108101	Methylisobutylketone		NC	8.0E+01	2.0E+01		8.0E+02	2.0E+02		8.0E+03	2.0E+03		1.4E+04	
80626	Methylmethacrylate		NC	7.0E+02	1.7E+02		7.0E+03	1.7E+03		7.0E+04	1.7E+04		5.1E+04	
91576	2-Methylnaphthalene	X	NC	7.0E+01	1.2E+01		7.0E+02	1.2E+02		7.0E+03	1.2E+03		3.3E+03	
1634044	MTBE		NC	3.0E+03	8.3E+02		3.0E+04	8.3E+03		3.0E+05	8.3E+04		1.2E+05	
108383	m-Xylene	X	NC	7.0E+03	1.6E+03		7.0E+04	1.6E+04		7.0E+05	1.6E+05		2.3E+04	
91203	Naphthalene		NC	3.0E+00	5.7E-01		3.0E+01	5.7E+00		3.0E+02	5.7E+01		1.5E+02	
104518	n-Butylbenzene	X	NC	1.4E+02	2.6E+01		1.4E+03	2.6E+02		1.4E+04	2.6E+03		2.6E+02	
98953	Nitrobenzene		NC	2.0E+00	4.0E-01		2.0E+01	4.0E+00		2.0E+02	4.0E+01		2.0E+03	
79469	2-Nitropropane		C	9.0E-02	2.5E-02		9.0E-01	2.5E-01		9.0E+00	2.5E+00		1.8E+01	
924163	N-Nitroso-di-n-butylamine		C	1.5E-01	2.4E-02		1.5E+00	2.4E-01		1.5E+01	2.4E+00		1.2E+01	
103651	n-Propylbenzene	X	NC	1.4E+02	2.8E+01		1.4E+03	2.8E+02		1.4E+04	2.8E+03		3.2E+02	
88722	o-Nitrotoluene	X	NC	3.5E+01	6.2E+00		3.5E+02	6.2E+01		3.5E+03	6.2E+02		6.8E+04	
95476	o-Xylene	X	NC	7.0E+03	1.6E+03		7.0E+04	1.6E+04		7.0E+05	1.6E+05		3.3E+04	
106423	p-Xylene	X	NC	7.0E+03	1.6E+03		7.0E+04	1.6E+04		7.0E+05	1.6E+05		2.2E+04	
129000	Pyrene	X	NC	1.1E+02	1.3E+01		**	**		**	**		**	
135988	sec-Butylbenzene	X	NC	1.4E+02	2.6E+01		1.4E+03	2.6E+02		1.4E+04	2.6E+03		2.5E+02	
100425	Styrene		NC	1.0E+03	2.3E+02		1.0E+04	2.3E+03		1.0E+05	2.3E+04		8.8E+03	
98066	tert-Butylbenzene	X	NC	1.4E+02	2.6E+01		1.4E+03	2.6E+02		1.4E+04	2.6E+03		2.9E+02	
630206	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane		C	3.3E+01	4.8E+00		3.3E+02	4.8E+01		3.3E+03	4.8E+02		3.3E+02	
79345	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		C	4.2E+00	6.1E-01		4.2E+01	6.1E+00		4.2E+02	6.1E+01		3.0E+02	
127184	Tetrachloroethylene		C	8.1E+01	1.2E+01		8.1E+02	1.2E+02		8.1E+03	1.2E+03		1.1E+02	
108883	Toluene		NC	4.0E+02	1.1E+02		4.0E+03	1.1E+03		4.0E+04	1.1E+04		1.5E+03	12 ug/L
156605	trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	X	NC	7.0E+01	1.8E+01		7.0E+02	1.8E+02		7.0E+03	1.8E+03		1.8E+02	
76131	1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane		NC	3.0E+04	3.9E+03		3.0E+05	3.9E+04		3.0E+06	3.9E+05		1.5E+03	
120821	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		NC	2.0E+02	2.7E+01		2.0E+03	2.7E+02		2.0E+04	2.7E+03		3.4E+03	
79005	1,1,2-Trichloroethane		C	1.5E+01	2.8E+00		1.5E+02	2.8E+01		1.5E+03	2.8E+02		4.1E+02	
71556	1,1,1-Trichloroethane		NC	2.2E+03	4.0E+02		2.2E+04	4.0E+03		2.2E+05	4.0E+04		3.1E+03	
79016	Trichloroethylene [11]	X	C	2.2E+00	4.1E-01		2.2E+01	4.1E+00		2.2E+02	4.1E+01		5.3E+00	
75694	Trichlorofluoromethane		NC	7.0E+02	1.2E+02		7.0E+03	1.2E+03		7.0E+04	1.2E+04		1.8E+02	
96184	1,2,3-Trichloropropane		NC	4.9E+00	8.1E-01		4.8E+01	8.1E+00		4.9E+02	8.1E+01		2.9E+02	
95636	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene		NC	6.0E+00	1.2E+00		6.0E+01	1.2E+01		6.0E+02	1.2E+02		2.4E+01	

Table 2a: Question 4 Generic Screening Levels and Summary Sheet [1]

Risk = 1 x 10^{-4}

CAS No.	Chemical	Compounds with Provisional Toxicity Data Extrapolated From Oral Sources	Basis of Target Concentration C=cancer risk NC=noncancer risk	Target Indoor Air Concentration to Satisfy Both the Prescribed Risk Level and the Target Hazard Index [R=10^{-4}, Hi=1] C_{target} (ug/m^3)	(ppbv)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Indoor Air Concentration [if available] (specify units)	Target Shallow Soil Gas Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor=0.1 $C_{soil-gas}$ (ug/m^3)	(ppbv)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Shallow Soil Gas Concentration [if available] (specify units)	Target Deep Soil Gas Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor=0.01 $C_{soil-gas}$ (ug/m^3)	(ppbv)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Deep Soil Gas Concentration [if available] (specify units)	Target Groundwater Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor = 0.001 and Partitioning Across the Water Table Obeys Henry's Law C_{gw} (ug/L)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Groundwater Concentration [if available] (specify units)
108678	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene		NC	6.0E+00	1.2E+00		6.0E+01	1.2E+01		6.0E+02	1.2E+02		2.5E+01	
108054	Vinyl acetate		NC	2.0E+02	5.7E+01		2.0E+03	5.7E+02		2.0E+04	5.7E+03		9.6E+03	
75014	Vinyl chloride (chloroethene)		C	2.8E+01	1.1E+01		2.8E+02	1.1E+02		2.8E+03	1.1E+03		2.5E+01	

[1] AF = 0.1 for Shallow Soil Gas Target Concentration
AF = 0.01 for Deep Soil Gas Target Concentration
AF = 0.001 for Groundwater Target Concentration

* Health-based target breathing concentration exceeds maximum possible chemical vapor concentration (pathway incomplete)

** Target soil gas concentration exceeds maximum possible vapor concentration (pathway incomplete)

† The target groundwater concentration is the MCL. (The MCL for chloroform is the MCL for total Trihalomethanes. The MCL listed for m-Xylene, o-Xylene, and p-Xylene is the MCL for total Xylenes.)

†† The target concentration for trichloroethylene is based on the upper bound cancer slope factor identified in EPA's draft risk assessment for trichloroethylene (US EPA, 2001). The slope factor is based on state-of-the-art methodology, however the TCE assessment is still undergoing review. As a result, the slope factor and the target concentration values for TCE may be revised further. (See Appendix D.)

Table 2b: Question 4 Generic Screening Levels and Summary Sheet [1]
Risk = 1 x 10^{-5}

CAS No.	Chemical	Compounds with Provisional Toxicity Data Extrapolated From Oral Sources	Basis of Target Concentration C=cancer risk NC=noncancer risk	Target Indoor Air Concentration to Satisfy Both the Prescribed Risk Level and the Target Hazard Index (R=10^{-5}, HI=1) C_{target} (ug/m3)	(ppbv)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Indoor Air Concentration [if available] (specify units)	Target Shallow Soil Gas Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor=0.1 $C_{soil-gas}$ (ug/m3)	(ppbv)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Shallow Soil Gas Concentration [if available] (specify units)	Target Deep Soil Gas Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor=0.01 $C_{soil-gas}$ (ug/m3)	(ppbv)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Deep Soil Gas Concentration [if available] (specify units)	Target Groundwater Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor = 0.001 and Partitioning Across the Water Table Obeys Henry's Law C_{gw} (ug/L)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Groundwater Concentration [if available] (specify units)
83329	Acenaphthene	X	NC	2.1E+02	3.3E+01		2.1E+03	3.3E+02		2.1E+04	3.3E+03		**	
75070	Acetaldehyde		NC	9.0E+00	5.0E+00		9.0E+01	5.0E+01		9.0E+02	5.0E+02		2.8E+03	
67641	Acetone	X	NC	3.5E+02	1.5E+02		3.5E+03	1.5E+03		3.5E+04	1.5E+04		2.2E+05	
75058	Acetonitrile		NC	6.0E+01	3.6E+01		6.0E+02	3.6E+02		6.0E+03	3.6E+03		4.2E+04	
98862	Acetophenone	X	NC	3.5E+02	7.1E+01		3.5E+03	7.1E+02		3.5E+04	7.1E+03		8.0E+05	
107028	Acrolein		NC	2.0E-02	8.7E-03		2.0E-01	8.7E-02		2.0E+00	8.7E-01		4.0E+00	
107131	Acrylonitrile		C	3.6E-01	1.7E-01		3.6E+00	1.7E+00		3.6E+01	1.7E+01		8.5E+01	
309002	Aldrin		C	5.0E-03	3.3E-04		5.0E-02	3.3E-03		5.0E-01	3.3E-02		7.1E-01	
319846	alpha-HCH (alpha-BHC)		C	1.4E-02	1.1E-03		1.4E-01	1.1E-02		1.4E+00	1.1E-01		3.1E+01	
100527	Benzaldehyde	X	NC	3.5E+02	8.1E+01		3.5E+03	8.1E+02		3.5E+04	8.1E+03		3.6E+05	
71432	Benzene		C	3.1E+00	9.8E-01		3.1E+01	9.8E+00		3.1E+02	9.8E+01		1.4E+01	13.7 ug/L *
205992	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	X	C	1.2E-01	1.1E-02		1.2E+00	1.1E-01		**	**		**	
100447	Benzylchloride	X	C	5.0E-01	9.7E-02		5.0E+00	9.7E-01		5.0E+01	9.7E+00		3.0E+01	
91587	beta-Chloronaphthalene	X	NC	2.8E+02	4.2E+01		2.8E+03	4.2E+02		2.8E+04	4.2E+03		**	
92524	Biphenyl	X	NC	1.8E+02	2.8E+01		1.8E+03	2.8E+02		1.8E+04	2.8E+03		**	
111444	Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether		C	7.4E-02	1.3E-02		7.4E-01	1.3E-01		7.4E+00	1.3E+00		1.0E+02	
108601	Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether		C	2.4E+00	3.5E-01		2.4E+01	3.5E+00		2.4E+02	3.5E+01		5.1E+02	
542881	Bis(chloromethyl)ether		C	3.9E-04	8.4E-05		3.9E-03	8.4E-04		3.9E-02	8.4E-03		4.5E-02	
75274	Bromodichloromethane	X	C	1.4E+00	2.1E-01		1.4E+01	2.1E+00		1.4E+02	2.1E+01		2.1E+01	
75252	Bromoform		C	2.2E+01	2.1E+00		2.2E+02	2.1E+01		2.2E+03	2.1E+02		8.3E-02	
106990	1,3-Butadiene		C	8.7E-02	3.9E-02		8.7E-01	3.9E-01		8.7E+00	3.9E+00		2.9E-02	
75150	Carbon disulfide		NC	7.0E+02	2.2E+02		7.0E+03	2.2E+03		7.0E+04	2.2E+04		5.6E+02	
56235	Carbon tetrachloride		C	1.6E+00	2.6E-01		1.6E+01	2.6E+00		1.6E+02	2.6E+01		5.0E+00 [1]	
57749	Chlordane		C	2.4E-01	1.5E-02		2.4E+00	1.5E-01		2.4E+01	1.5E+00		**	
126998	2-Chloro-1,3-butadiene (chloroprene)		NC	7.0E+00	1.9E+00		7.0E+01	1.9E+01		7.0E+02	1.9E+02		1.4E+01	
108907	Chlorobenzene		NC	6.0E+01	1.3E+01		6.0E+02	1.3E+02		6.0E+03	1.3E+03		3.9E+02	
109693	1-Chlorobutane	X	NC	1.4E+03	3.7E+02		1.4E+04	3.7E+03		1.4E+05	3.7E+04		2.0E+03	
124481	Chlorodibromomethane	X	C	1.0E+00	1.2E-01		1.0E+01	1.2E+00		1.0E+02	1.2E+01		3.2E+01	
75456	Chlorodifluoromethane		NC	5.0E+04	1.4E+04		5.0E+05	1.4E+05		**	**		**	
75003	Chloroethane (ethyl chloride)		NC	1.0E+04	3.8E+03		1.0E+05	3.8E+04		1.0E+06	3.8E+05		2.8E+04	9.17 ug/L
67663	Chloroform		C	1.1E+00	2.2E-01		1.1E+01	2.2E+00		1.1E+02	2.2E+01		8.0E+01 [1]	
95578	2-Chlorophenol	X	NC	1.8E+01	3.3E+00		1.8E+02	3.3E+01		1.8E+03	3.3E+02		1.1E+03	
75296	2-Chloropropane		NC	1.0E+02	3.2E+01		1.0E+03	3.2E+02		1.0E+04	3.2E+03		1.7E+02	
218019	Chrysene	X	C	1.2E+01	1.2E+00		**	**		**	**		**	
156592	cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	X	NC	3.5E+01	8.8E+00		3.5E+02	8.8E+01		3.5E+03	8.8E+02		2.1E+02	
123739	Crotonaldehyde (2-butenal)	X	C	4.5E-02	1.6E-02		4.5E-01	1.6E-01		4.5E+00	1.6E+00		5.6E+01	
98828	Cumene		NC	4.0E+02	8.1E+01		4.0E+03	8.1E+02		4.0E+04	8.1E+03		8.4E+00	

* The highest concentration is noted for wells MW-15, S-3 and 45.

Table 2b: Question 4 Generic Screening Levels and Summary Sheet [1]
Risk = 1 x 10^{-5}

CAS No.	Chemical	Compounds with Provisional Toxicity Data Extrapolated From Oral Sources	Basis of Target Concentration C=cancer risk NC=noncancer risk	Target Indoor Air Concentration to Satisfy Both the Prescribed Risk Level and the Target Hazard Index ($R=10^{-5}$, HI=1) C_{target} (ug/m3)	(C_{target} (ppbv)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Indoor Air Concentration (if available) (specify units)	Target Shallow Soil Gas Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor=0.1 $C_{soil-gas}$ (ug/m3)	($C_{soil-gas}$ (ppbv)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Shallow Soil Gas Concentration (if available) (specify units)	Target Deep Soil Gas Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor=0.01 $C_{soil-gas}$ (ug/m3)	($C_{soil-gas}$ (ppbv)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Deep Soil Gas Concentration (if available) (specify units)	Target Groundwater Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor = 0.001 and Partitioning Across the Water Table Obeys Henry's Law C_{gw} (ug/L)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Groundwater Concentration (if available) (specify units)
72559	DDE	X	C	2.5E-01	1.8E-02		2.5E+00	1.9E-01		2.5E+01	1.9E+00		**	
132649	Dibenzofuran	X	NC	1.4E+01	2.0E+00		1.4E+02	2.0E+01		1.4E+03	2.0E+02		**	
96128	1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane		NC	2.0E-01	2.1E-02		2.0E+00	2.1E-01		2.0E+01	2.1E+00		3.3E+01	
106934	1,2-Dibromoethane (ethylene dibromide)		C	1.1E-01	1.4E-02		1.1E+00	1.4E-01		1.1E+01	1.4E+00		3.6E+00	
541731	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	X	NC	1.1E+02	1.7E+01		1.1E+03	1.7E+02		1.1E+04	1.7E+03		8.3E+02	
95501	1,2-Dichlorobenzene		NC	2.0E+02	3.3E+01		2.0E+03	3.3E+02		2.0E+04	3.3E+03		2.6E+03	
106467	1,4-Dichlorobenzene		NC	8.0E+02	1.3E+02		8.0E+03	1.3E+03		8.0E+04	1.3E+04		8.2E+03	6.1 ug/L
75718	Dichlorodifluoromethane		NC	2.0E+02	4.0E+01		2.0E+03	4.0E+02		2.0E+04	4.0E+03		1.4E+01	
75343	1,1-Dichloroethane		NC	5.0E+02	1.2E+02		5.0E+03	1.2E+03		5.0E+04	1.2E+04		2.2E+03	
107062	1,2-Dichloroethane		C	9.4E-01	2.3E-01		9.4E+00	2.3E+00		9.4E+01	2.3E+01		2.3E+01	
75354	1,1-Dichloroethylene		NC	2.0E+02	5.0E+01		2.0E+03	5.0E+02		2.0E+04	5.0E+03		1.9E+02	
78875	1,2-Dichloropropane		NC	4.0E+00	8.7E-01		4.0E+01	8.7E+00		4.0E+02	8.7E+01		3.5E+01	
542756	1,3-Dichloropropene		C	6.1E+00	1.3E+00		6.1E+01	1.3E+01		6.1E+02	1.3E+02		8.4E+00	
60571	Dieldrin		C	5.3E-03	3.4E-04		5.3E-02	3.4E-03		5.3E-01	3.4E-02		8.6E+00	
115297	Endosulfan	X	NC	2.1E+01	1.3E+00		2.1E+02	1.3E+01		**	**		**	
106898	Epichlorohydrin		NC	1.0E+00	2.6E-01		1.0E+01	2.6E+00		1.0E+02	2.6E+01		8.0E+02	
60297	Ethyl ether	X	NC	7.0E+02	2.3E+02		7.0E+03	2.3E+03		7.0E+04	2.3E+04		5.2E+02	
141786	Ethylacetate	X	NC	3.2E+03	8.7E+02		3.2E+04	8.7E+03		3.2E+05	8.7E+04		5.6E+05	
100414	Ethylbenzene		C	2.2E+01	5.1E+00		2.2E+02	5.1E+01		2.2E+03	5.1E+02		7.0E+02 [1]	
75218	Ethylene oxide		C	2.4E-01	1.4E-01		2.4E+00	1.4E+00		2.4E+01	1.4E+01		1.1E+01	
97632	Ethylmethacrylate	X	NC	3.2E+02	6.8E+01		3.2E+03	6.8E+02		3.2E+04	6.8E+03		9.1E+03	
86737	Fluorene	X	NC	1.4E+02	2.1E+01		1.4E+03	2.1E+02		**	**		**	
110009	Furan	X	NC	3.5E+00	1.3E+00		3.5E+01	1.3E+01		3.5E+02	1.3E+02		1.6E+01	
58899	gamma-HCH (Lindane)	X	C	6.6E-02	5.5E-03		6.6E-01	5.5E-02		6.6E+00	5.5E-01		1.1E+02	
76448	Heptachlor		C	1.9E-02	1.2E-03		1.9E-01	1.2E-02		1.9E+00	1.2E-01		4.0E-01 [1]	
87683	Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene		C	1.1E+00	1.0E-01		1.1E+01	1.0E+00		1.1E+02	1.0E+01		3.3E+00	
118741	Hexachlorobenzene		C	5.3E-02	4.5E-03		5.3E-01	4.5E-02		5.3E+00	4.5E-01		1.0E+00 [1]	
77474	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene		NC	2.0E-01	1.8E-02		2.0E+00	1.8E-01		2.0E+01	1.8E+00		6.0E+01 [1]	
67721	Hexachloroethane		C	6.1E+00	6.3E-01		6.1E+01	6.3E+00		6.1E+02	6.3E+01		3.8E+01	
110543	Hexane		NC	2.0E+02	5.7E+01		2.0E+03	5.7E+02		2.0E+04	5.7E+03		2.9E+00	
74908	Hydrogen cyanide		NC	3.0E+00	2.7E+00		3.0E+01	2.7E+01		3.0E+02	2.7E+02		5.5E+02	
78831	Isobutanol	X	NC	1.1E+03	3.5E+02		1.1E+04	3.5E+03		1.1E+05	3.5E+04		2.2E+06	
7439976	Mercury (elemental)		NC	3.0E-01	3.7E-02		3.0E+00	3.7E-01		3.0E+01	3.7E+00		6.8E-01	
126987	Methacrylonitrile		NC	7.0E-01	2.6E-01		7.0E+00	2.6E+00		7.0E+01	2.6E+01		6.8E+01	
72435	Methoxychlor	X	NC	1.8E+01	1.2E+00		**	**		**	**		**	
79209	Methyl acetate	X	NC	3.5E+03	1.2E+03		3.5E+04	1.2E+04		3.5E+05	1.2E+05		7.2E+05	
96333	Methyl acrylate	X	NC	1.1E+02	3.0E+01		1.1E+03	3.0E+02		1.1E+04	3.0E+03		1.4E+04	

Table 2b: Question 4 Generic Screening Levels and Summary Sheet [1]
Risk = 1 x 10^{-5}

CAS No.	Chemical	Compounds with Provisional Toxicity Data Extrapolated From Oral Sources	Basis of Target Concentration C=cancer risk NC=noncancer risk	Target Indoor Air Concentration to Satisfy Both the Prescribed Risk Level and the Target Hazard Index [R=10^{-5}, HI=1] C_{target} (ug/m3)	C_{target} (ppbv)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Indoor Air Concentration [if available] (specify units)	Target Shallow Soil Gas Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor=0.1 $C_{soil\text{-}gas}$ (ug/m3)	(ppbv)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Shallow Soil Gas Concentration [if available] (specify units)	Target Deep Soil Gas Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor=0.01 $C_{soil\text{-}gas}$ (ug/m3)	(ppbv)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Deep Soil Gas Concentration [if available] (specify units)	Target Groundwater Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor = 0.001 and Partitioning Across the Water Table Obeys Henry's Law C_{gw} (ug/L)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Groundwater Concentration [if available] (specify units)
74839	Methyl bromide		NC	5.0E+00	1.3E+00		5.0E+01	1.3E+01		5.0E+02	1.3E+02		2.0E+01	
74873	Methyl chloride (chloromethane)		C	2.4E+01	1.2E+01		2.4E+02	1.2E+02		2.4E+03	1.2E+03		6.7E+01	
108872	Methylcyclohexane		NC	3.0E+03	7.5E+02		3.0E+04	7.5E+03		3.0E+05	7.5E+04		7.1E+02	
74953	Methylene bromide	X	NC	3.5E+01	4.9E+00		3.5E+02	4.9E+01		3.5E+03	4.9E+02		9.9E+02	
75092	Methylene chloride		C	5.2E+01	1.5E+01		5.2E+02	1.5E+02		5.2E+03	1.5E+03		5.8E+02	
78933	Methylethylketone (2-butanone)		NC	1.0E+03	3.4E+02		1.0E+04	3.4E+03		1.0E+05	3.4E+04		4.4E+05	
108101	Methylisobutylketone		NC	8.0E+01	2.0E+01		8.0E+02	2.0E+02		8.0E+03	2.0E+03		1.4E+04	
80626	Methylmethacrylate		NC	7.0E+02	1.7E+02		7.0E+03	1.7E+03		7.0E+04	1.7E+04		5.1E+04	
91576	2-Methylnaphthalene	X	NC	7.0E+01	1.2E+01		7.0E+02	1.2E+02		7.0E+03	1.2E+03		3.3E+03	
1634044	MTBE		NC	3.0E+03	8.3E+02		3.0E+04	8.3E+03		3.0E+05	8.3E+04		1.2E+05	
108383	m-Xylene	X	NC	7.0E+03	1.6E+03		7.0E+04	1.6E+04		7.0E+05	1.6E+05		2.3E+04	
91203	Naphthalene		NC	3.0E+00	5.7E-01		3.0E+01	5.7E+00		3.0E+02	5.7E+01		1.5E+02	
104518	n-Butylbenzene	X	NC	1.4E+02	2.6E+01		1.4E+03	2.6E+02		1.4E+04	2.6E+03		2.6E+02	
98953	Nitrobenzene		NC	2.0E+00	4.0E-01		2.0E+01	4.0E+00		2.0E+02	4.0E+01		2.0E+03	
79469	2-Nitropropane		C	9.0E-03	2.5E-03		8.0E-02	2.5E-02		9.0E-01	2.5E-01		1.8E+00	
924163	N-Nitroso-di-n-butylamine		C	1.5E-02	2.4E-03		1.5E-01	2.4E-02		1.5E+00	2.4E-01		1.2E+00	
103651	n-Propylbenzene	X	NC	1.4E+02	2.8E+01		1.4E+03	2.8E+02		1.4E+04	2.8E+03		3.2E+02	
88722	o-Nitrotoluene	X	NC	3.5E+01	6.2E+00		3.5E+02	6.2E+01		3.5E+03	6.2E+02		6.8E+04	
95476	o-Xylene	X	NC	7.0E+03	1.6E+03		7.0E+04	1.6E+04		7.0E+05	1.6E+05		3.3E+04	
106423	p-Xylene	X	NC	7.0E+03	1.6E+03		7.0E+04	1.6E+04		7.0E+05	1.6E+05		2.2E+04	
129000	Pyrene	X	NC	1.1E+02	1.3E+01		--	--		--	--		--	
135988	sec-Butylbenzene	X	NC	1.4E+02	2.6E+01		1.4E+03	2.6E+02		1.4E+04	2.6E+03		2.5E+02	
100425	Styrene		NC	1.0E+03	2.3E+02		1.0E+04	2.3E+03		1.0E+05	2.3E+04		8.9E+03	
98066	tert-Butylbenzene	X	NC	1.4E+02	2.6E+01		1.4E+03	2.6E+02		1.4E+04	2.6E+03		2.9E+02	
630206	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane		C	3.3E+00	4.8E-01		3.3E+01	4.8E+00		3.3E+02	4.8E+01		3.3E+01	
79345	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		C	4.2E-01	6.1E-02		4.2E+00	6.1E-01		4.2E+01	6.1E+00		3.0E+01	
127184	Tetrachloroethylene		C	8.1E+00	1.2E+00		8.1E+01	1.2E+01		8.1E+02	1.2E+02		1.1E+01	
108883	Toluene		NC	4.0E+02	1.1E+02		4.0E+03	1.1E+03		4.0E+04	1.1E+04		1.5E+03	12 ug/L
156605	trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	X	NC	7.0E+01	1.8E+01		7.0E+02	1.8E+02		7.0E+03	1.8E+03		1.8E+02	
76131	1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane		NC	3.0E+04	3.9E+03		3.0E+05	3.9E+04		3.0E+06	3.9E+05		1.5E+03	
120821	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		NC	2.0E+02	2.7E+01		2.0E+03	2.7E+02		2.0E+04	2.7E+03		3.4E+03	
79005	1,1,2-Trichloroethane		C	1.5E+00	2.8E-01		1.5E+01	2.8E+00		1.5E+02	2.8E+01		4.1E+01	
71556	1,1,1-Trichloroethane		NC	2.2E+03	4.0E+02		2.2E+04	4.0E+03		2.2E+05	4.0E+04		3.1E+03	
79016	Trichloroethylene [11]	X	C	2.2E-01	4.1E-02		2.2E+00	4.1E-01		2.2E+01	4.1E+00		5.0E+00 [1]	
75694	Trichlorofluoromethane		NC	7.0E+02	1.2E+02		7.0E+03	1.2E+03		7.0E+04	1.2E+04		1.8E+02	
96184	1,2,3-Trichloropropane		NC	4.9E+00	8.1E-01		4.9E+01	8.1E+00		4.9E+02	8.1E+01		2.8E+02	
95636	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene		NC	6.0E+00	1.2E+00		6.0E+01	1.2E+01		6.0E+02	1.2E+02		2.4E+01	

Table 2b: Question 4 Generic Screening Levels and Summary Sheet [1]
Risk = 1 x 10^{-4}

CAS No.	Chemical	Compounds with Provisional Toxicity Data Extrapolated From Oral Sources	Basis of Target Concentration C=cancer risk NC=noncancer risk	Target Indoor Air Concentration to Satisfy Both the Prescribed Risk Level and the Target Hazard Index ($R=10^{-5}$, HI=1) C_{target} (ug/m3)	(C_{target}) (ppbv)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Indoor Air Concentration [if available] (specify units)	Target Shallow Soil Gas Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor=0.1 $C_{soil-gas}$ (ug/m3)	($C_{soil-gas}$) (ppbv)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Shallow Soil Gas Concentration [if available] (specify units)	Target Deep Soil Gas Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor=0.01 $C_{soil-gas}$ (ug/m3)	($C_{soil-gas}$) (ppbv)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Deep Soil Gas Concentration [if available] (specify units)	Target Groundwater Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor = 0.001 and Partitioning Across the Water Table Obeys Henry's Law C_{gw} (ug/L)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Groundwater Concentration [if available] (specify units)
108678	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene		NC	6.0E+00	1.2E+00		6.0E+01	1.2E+01		6.0E+02	1.2E+02		2.5E+01	
108054	Vinyl acetate		NC	2.0E+02	5.7E+01		2.0E+03	5.7E+02		2.0E+04	5.7E+03		9.6E+03	
75014	Vinyl chloride (chloroethene)		C	2.8E+00	1.1E+00		2.8E+01	1.1E+01		2.8E+02	1.1E+02		2.5E+00	

[1] AF = 0.1 for Shallow Soil Gas Target Concentration
AF = 0.01 for Deep Soil Gas Target Concentration
AF = 0.001 for Groundwater Target Concentration

* Health-based target breathing concentration exceeds maximum possible chemical vapor concentration (pathway incomplete)

** Target soil gas concentration exceeds maximum possible vapor concentration (pathway incomplete)

† The target groundwater concentration is the MCL. (The MCL for chloroform is the MCL for total Trihalomethanes. The MCL listed for m-Xylene, o-Xylene, and p-Xylene is the MCL for total Xylenes.)

†† The target concentration for trichloroethylene is based on the upper bound cancer slope factor identified in EPA's draft risk assessment for trichloroethylene (US EPA, 2001). The slope factor is based on state-of-the-art methodology, however the TCE assessment is still undergoing review. As a result, the slope factor and the target concentration values for TCE may be revised further. (See Appendix D.)

Table 2c: Question 4 Generic Screening Levels and Summary Sheet [1]
Risk = 1 x 10^{-5}

CAS No.	Chemical	Compounds with Provisional Toxicity Data Extrapolated From Oral Sources	Basis of Target Concentration C=cancer risk NC=noncancer risk	Target Indoor Air Concentration to Satisfy Both the Prescribed Risk Level and the Target Hazard Index (R=10^{-5}, HI=1) C_{target} (ug/m3)	C_{target} (ppbv)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Indoor Air Concentration (if available) (specify units)	Target Shallow Gas Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor=0.1 $C_{soil-gas}$ (ug/m3)	$C_{soil-gas}$ (ppbv)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Shallow Soil Gas Concentration (if available) (specify units)	Target Deep Soil Gas Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor=0.01 $C_{soil-gas}$ (ug/m3)	$C_{soil-gas}$ (ppbv)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Deep Soil Gas Concentration (if available) (specify units)	Target Groundwater Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor = 0.001 and Partitioning Across the Water Table Obeys Henry's Law C_{gw} (ug/L)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Groundwater Concentration (if available) (specify units)
83329	Acenaphthene	X	NC	2.1E+02	3.3E+01		2.1E+03	3.3E+02		2.1E+04	3.3E+03		**	
75070	Acetaldehyde		C	1.1E+00	6.1E-01		1.1E+01	6.1E+00		1.1E+02	6.1E+01		3.4E+02	
67641	Acetone	X	NC	3.5E+02	1.5E+02		3.5E+03	1.5E+03		3.5E+04	1.5E+04		2.2E+05	
75058	Acetonitrile		NC	6.0E+01	3.6E+01		6.0E+02	3.6E+02		6.0E+03	3.6E+03		4.2E+04	
98862	Acetophenone	X	NC	3.5E+02	7.1E+01		3.5E+03	7.1E+02		3.5E+04	7.1E+03		8.0E+05	
107028	Acrolein		NC	2.0E-02	8.7E-03		2.0E-01	8.7E-02		2.0E+00	8.7E-01		4.0E+00	
107131	Acrylonitrile		C	3.6E-02	1.7E-02		3.6E-01	1.7E-01		3.6E+00	1.7E+00		8.5E+00	
309002	Aldrin		C	5.0E-04	3.3E-05		5.0E-03	3.3E-04		5.0E-02	3.3E-03		7.1E-02	
319846	alpha-HCH (alpha-BHC)		C	1.4E-03	1.1E-04		1.4E-02	1.1E-03		1.4E-01	1.1E-02		3.1E+00	
100527	Benzaldehyde	X	NC	3.5E+02	8.1E+01		3.5E+03	8.1E+02		3.5E+04	8.1E+03		3.6E+05	
71432	Benzene		C	3.1E-01	9.8E-02		3.1E+00	9.8E-01		3.1E+01	9.8E+00		5.0E+00 [1]	13.7 ug/L *
205992	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	X	C	1.2E-02	1.1E-03		1.2E-01	1.1E-02		1.2E+00	1.1E-01		**	
100447	Benzylchloride	X	C	5.0E-02	9.7E-03		5.0E-01	9.7E-02		5.0E+00	9.7E-01		3.0E+00	
91587	beta-Chloronaphthalene	X	NC	2.8E+02	4.2E+01		2.8E+03	4.2E+02		2.8E+04	4.2E+03		**	
92524	Biphenyl	X	NC	1.8E+02	2.8E+01		1.8E+03	2.8E+02		1.8E+04	2.8E+03		**	
111444	Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether		C	7.4E-03	1.3E-03		7.4E-02	1.3E-02		7.4E-01	1.3E-01		1.0E+01	
108601	Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether		C	2.4E-01	3.5E-02		2.4E+00	3.5E-01		2.4E+01	3.5E+00		5.1E+01	
542881	Bis(chloromethyl)ether		C	3.9E-06	8.4E-06		3.9E-04	8.4E-05		3.9E-03	8.4E-04		4.5E-03	
75274	Bromodichloromethane	X	C	1.4E-01	2.1E-02		1.4E+00	2.1E-01		1.4E+01	2.1E+00		2.1E+00	
75252	Bromoform		C	2.2E+00	2.1E-01		2.2E+01	2.1E+00		2.2E+02	2.1E+01		8.3E-03	
106990	1,3-Butadiene		C	8.7E-03	3.9E-03		8.7E-02	3.9E-02		8.7E-01	3.9E-01		2.9E-03	
75150	Carbon disulfide		NC	7.0E+02	2.2E+02		7.0E+03	2.2E+03		7.0E+04	2.2E+04		5.6E+02	
56235	Carbon tetrachloride		C	1.6E-01	2.6E-02		1.6E+00	2.6E-01		1.6E+01	2.6E+00		5.0E+00 [1]	
57749	Chlordane		C	2.4E-02	1.5E-03		2.4E-01	1.5E-02		2.4E+00	1.5E-01		1.2E+01	
126998	2-Chloro-1,3-butadiene (chloroprene)		NC	7.0E+00	1.9E+00		7.0E+01	1.9E+01		7.0E+02	1.9E+02		1.4E+01	
108907	Chlorobenzene		NC	6.0E+01	1.3E+01		6.0E+02	1.3E+02		6.0E+03	1.3E+03		3.9E+02	
109693	1-Chlorobutane	X	NC	1.4E+03	3.7E+02		1.4E+04	3.7E+03		1.4E+05	3.7E+04		2.0E+03	
124481	Chlorodibromomethane	X	C	1.0E-01	1.2E-02		1.0E+00	1.2E-01		1.0E+01	1.2E+00		3.2E+00	
75456	Chlorodifluoromethane		NC	5.0E+04	1.4E+04		5.0E+05	1.4E+05		**	**		**	
75003	Chloroethane (ethyl chloride)		NC	1.0E+04	3.8E+03		1.0E+05	3.8E+04		1.0E+06	3.8E+05		2.8E+04	9.17 ug/L
67663	Chloroform		C	1.1E-01	2.2E-02		1.1E+00	2.2E-01		1.1E+01	2.2E+00		6.0E+01 [1]	
95578	2-Chlorophenol	X	NC	1.8E+01	3.3E+00		1.8E+02	3.3E+01		1.8E+03	3.3E+02		1.1E+03	
75296	2-Chloropropane		NC	1.0E+02	3.2E+01		1.0E+03	3.2E+02		1.0E+04	3.2E+03		1.7E+02	
218019	Chrysene	X	C	1.2E+00	1.2E-01		1.2E+01	1.2E+00		**	**		**	
156592	cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	X	NC	3.5E+01	8.8E+00		3.5E+02	8.8E+01		3.5E+03	8.8E+02		2.1E+02	
123739	Crotonaldehyde (2-butenal)	X	C	4.5E-03	1.6E-03		4.5E-02	1.6E-02		4.5E-01	1.6E-01		5.6E+00	
98828	Cumene		NC	4.0E+02	8.1E+01		4.0E+03	8.1E+02		4.0E+04	8.1E+03		8.4E+00	

* 13.7 ug/L - 15-cross gradient
3.1 ug/L - 5-3 upgradient
6.39 ug/L - 45 downgradient

* The highest concentration is noted for wells MW-15, 5-3 and 45.

Table 2c: Question 4 Generic Screening Levels and Summary Sheet [1]
Risk = 1 x 10^{-6}

CAS No.	Chemical	Compounds with Provisional Toxicity Data Extrapolated From Oral Sources	Basis of Target Concentration C=cancer risk NC=noncancer risk	Target Indoor Air Concentration to Satisfy Both the Prescribed Risk Level and the Target Hazard Index [R=10^{-6}, HI=1] C_{target} (ug/m3)	(ppbv)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Indoor Air Concentration [if available] (specify units)	Target Shallow Gas Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor=0.1 $C_{soil-gas}$ (ug/m3)	(ppbv)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Shallow Soil Gas Concentration [if available] (specify units)	Target Deep Soil Gas Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor=0.01 $C_{soil-gas}$ (ug/m3)	(ppbv)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Deep Soil Gas Concentration [if available] (specify units)	Target Groundwater Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor = 0.001 and Partitioning Across the Water Table Obeys Henry's Law C_{gw} (ug/L)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Groundwater Concentration [if available] (specify units)
72559	DDE	X	C	2.5E-02	1.9E-03		2.5E-01	1.9E-02		2.5E+00	1.9E-01		2.9E+01	
132649	Dibenzofuran	X	NC	1.4E+01	2.0E+00		1.4E+02	2.0E+01		1.4E+03	2.0E+02		--	
96128	1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane		NC	2.0E-01	2.1E-02		2.0E+00	2.1E-01		2.0E+01	2.1E+00		3.3E+01	
106934	1,2-Dibromoethane (ethylene dibromide)		C	1.1E-02	1.4E-03		1.1E-01	1.4E-02		1.1E+00	1.4E-01		3.6E-01	
541731	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	X	NC	1.1E+02	1.7E+01		1.1E+03	1.7E+02		1.1E+04	1.7E+03		8.3E+02	
95501	1,2-Dichlorobenzene		NC	2.0E+02	3.3E+01		2.0E+03	3.3E+02		2.0E+04	3.3E+03		2.6E+03	
106467	1,4-Dichlorobenzene		NC	8.0E+02	1.3E+02		8.0E+03	1.3E+03		8.0E+04	1.3E+04		8.2E+03	6.1 ug/L
75718	Dichlorodifluoromethane		NC	2.0E+02	4.0E+01		2.0E+03	4.0E+02		2.0E+04	4.0E+03		1.4E+01	
75343	1,1-Dichloroethane		NC	5.0E+02	1.2E+02		5.0E+03	1.2E+03		5.0E+04	1.2E+04		2.2E+03	
107062	1,2-Dichloroethane		C	9.4E-02	2.3E-02		9.4E-01	2.3E-01		9.4E+00	2.3E+00		5.0E+00 [1]	
75354	1,1-Dichloroethylene		NC	2.0E+02	5.0E+01		2.0E+03	5.0E+02		2.0E+04	5.0E+03		1.9E+02	
78875	1,2-Dichloropropane		NC	4.0E+00	8.7E-01		4.0E+01	8.7E+00		4.0E+02	8.7E+01		3.5E+01	
542756	1,3-Dichloropropene		C	6.1E-01	1.3E-01		6.1E+00	1.3E+00		6.1E+01	1.3E+01		8.4E-01	
60571	Dieldrin		C	5.3E-04	3.4E-05		5.3E-03	3.4E-04		5.3E-02	3.4E-03		8.6E-01	
115297	Endosulfan	X	NC	2.1E+01	1.3E+00		2.1E+02	1.3E+01		--	--		--	
106898	Epichlorohydrin		NC	1.0E+00	2.6E-01		1.0E+01	2.6E+00		1.0E+02	2.6E+01		8.0E+02	
60297	Ethyl ether	X	NC	7.0E+02	2.3E+02		7.0E+03	2.3E+03		7.0E+04	2.3E+04		5.2E+02	
141786	Ethylacetate	X	NC	3.2E+03	8.7E+02		3.2E+04	8.7E+03		3.2E+05	8.7E+04		5.6E+05	
100414	Ethylbenzene		C	2.2E+00	5.1E-01		2.2E+01	5.1E+00		2.2E+02	5.1E+01		7.0E+02 [1]	
75218	Ethylene oxide		C	2.4E-02	1.4E-02		2.4E-01	1.4E-01		2.4E+00	1.4E+00		1.1E+00	
97632	Ethylmethacrylate	X	NC	3.2E+02	6.8E+01		3.2E+03	6.8E+02		3.2E+04	6.8E+03		9.1E+03	
86737	Fluorene	X	NC	1.4E+02	2.1E+01		1.4E+03	2.1E+02		--	--		--	
110009	Furan	X	NC	3.5E+00	1.3E+00		3.5E+01	1.3E+01		3.5E+02	1.3E+02		1.6E+01	
58899	gamma-HCH (Lindane)	X	C	6.6E-03	5.5E-04		6.6E-02	5.5E-03		6.6E-01	5.5E-02		1.1E+01	
76448	Heptachlor		C	1.9E-03	1.2E-04		1.9E-02	1.2E-03		1.9E-01	1.2E-02		4.0E-01 [1]	
87683	Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene		C	1.1E-01	1.0E-02		1.1E+00	1.0E-01		1.1E+01	1.0E+00		3.3E-01	
118741	Hexachlorobenzene		C	5.3E-03	4.5E-04		5.3E-02	4.5E-03		5.3E-01	4.5E-02		1.0E+00 [1]	
77474	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene		NC	2.0E-01	1.8E-02		2.0E+00	1.8E-01		2.0E+01	1.8E+00		5.0E+01 [1]	
67721	Hexachloroethane		C	6.1E-01	6.3E-02		6.1E+00	6.3E-01		6.1E+01	6.3E+00		3.8E+00	
110543	Hexane		NC	2.0E+02	5.7E+01		2.0E+03	5.7E+02		2.0E+04	5.7E+03		2.8E+00	
74908	Hydrogen cyanide		NC	3.0E+00	2.7E+00		3.0E+01	2.7E+01		3.0E+02	2.7E+02		5.5E+02	
78831	Isobutanol	X	NC	1.1E+03	3.5E+02		1.1E+04	3.5E+03		1.1E+05	3.5E+04		2.2E+06	
7439976	Mercury (elemental)		NC	3.0E-01	3.7E-02		3.0E+00	3.7E-01		3.0E+01	3.7E+00		6.8E-01	
126987	Methacrylonitrile		NC	7.0E-01	2.6E-01		7.0E+00	2.6E+00		7.0E+01	2.6E+01		6.9E+01	
72435	Methoxychlor	X	NC	1.8E+01	1.2E+00		--	--		--	--		--	
79209	Methyl acetate	X	NC	3.5E+03	1.2E+03		3.5E+04	1.2E+04		3.5E+05	1.2E+05		7.2E+05	
96333	Methyl acrylate	X	NC	1.1E+02	3.0E+01		1.1E+03	3.0E+02		1.1E+04	3.0E+03		1.4E+04	

Table 2c: Question 4 Generic Screening Levels and Summary Sheet [1]
Risk = 1 x 10^{-4}

CAS No.	Chemical	Compounds with Provisional Toxicity Data Extrapolated From Oral Sources	Basis of Target Concentration C=cancer risk NC=noncancer risk	Target Indoor Air Concentration to Satisfy Both the Prescribed Risk Level and the Target Hazard Index ($R=10^{-4}$, HI=1) C_{target} (ug/m3)	(C_{target} (ppbv)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Indoor Air Concentration (if available) (specify units)	Target Shallow Gas Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor=0.1 $C_{soil-gas}$ (ug/m3)	($C_{soil-gas}$ (ppbv)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Shallow Soil Gas Concentration (if available) (specify units)	Target Deep Soil Gas Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor=0.01 $C_{soil-gas}$ (ug/m3)	($C_{soil-gas}$ (ppbv)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Deep Soil Gas Concentration (if available) (specify units)	Target Groundwater Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor = 0.001 and Partitioning Across the Water Table Obeys Henry's Law C_{gw} (ug/L)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Groundwater Concentration (if available) (specify units)
74839	Methyl bromide		NC	5.0E+00	1.3E+00		5.0E+01	1.3E+01		5.0E+02	1.3E+02		2.0E+01	
74873	Methyl chloride (chloromethane)		C	2.4E+00	1.2E+00		2.4E+01	1.2E+01		2.4E+02	1.2E+02		8.7E+00	
108872	Methylcyclohexane		NC	3.0E+03	7.5E+02		3.0E+04	7.5E+03		3.0E+05	7.5E+04		7.1E+02	
74953	Methylene bromide	X	NC	3.5E+01	4.9E+00		3.5E+02	4.9E+01		3.5E+03	4.9E+02		9.9E+02	
75092	Methylene chloride		C	5.2E+00	1.5E+00		5.2E+01	1.5E+01		5.2E+02	1.5E+02		5.8E+01	
78933	Methylethylketone (2-butanone)		NC	1.0E+03	3.4E+02		1.0E+04	3.4E+03		1.0E+05	3.4E+04		4.4E+05	
108101	Methylisobutylketone		NC	8.0E+01	2.0E+01		8.0E+02	2.0E+02		8.0E+03	2.0E+03		1.4E+04	
80626	Methylmethacrylate		NC	7.0E+02	1.7E+02		7.0E+03	1.7E+03		7.0E+04	1.7E+04		5.1E+04	
91576	2-Methylnaphthalene	X	NC	7.0E+01	1.2E+01		7.0E+02	1.2E+02		7.0E+03	1.2E+03		3.3E+03	
1634044	MTBE		NC	3.0E+03	8.3E+02		3.0E+04	8.3E+03		3.0E+05	8.3E+04		1.2E+05	
108383	m-Xylene	X	NC	7.0E+03	1.6E+03		7.0E+04	1.6E+04		7.0E+05	1.6E+05		2.3E+04	
91203	Naphthalene		NC	3.0E+00	5.7E-01		3.0E+01	5.7E+00		3.0E+02	5.7E+01		1.5E+02	
104518	n-Butylbenzene	X	NC	1.4E+02	2.6E+01		1.4E+03	2.6E+02		1.4E+04	2.6E+03		2.6E+02	
98953	Nitrobenzene		NC	2.0E+00	4.0E-01		2.0E+01	4.0E+00		2.0E+02	4.0E+01		2.0E+03	
79469	2-Nitropropane		C	9.0E-04	2.5E-04		9.0E-03	2.5E-03		9.0E-02	2.5E-02		1.8E-01	
924163	N-N-traso-di-n-butylamine		C	1.5E-03	2.4E-04		1.5E-02	2.4E-03		1.5E-01	2.4E-02		1.2E-01	
103651	n-Propylbenzene	X	NC	1.4E+02	2.8E+01		1.4E+03	2.8E+02		1.4E+04	2.8E+03		3.2E+02	
88722	o-Nitrotoluene	X	NC	3.5E+01	6.2E+00		3.5E+02	6.2E+01		3.5E+03	6.2E+02		6.8E+04	
95476	o-Xylene	X	NC	7.0E+03	1.6E+03		7.0E+04	1.6E+04		7.0E+05	1.6E+05		3.3E+04	
106423	p-Xylene	X	NC	7.0E+03	1.6E+03		7.0E+04	1.6E+04		7.0E+05	1.6E+05		2.2E+04	
129000	Pyrene	X	NC	1.1E+02	1.3E+01		--	--		--	--		--	
135988	sec-Butylbenzene	X	NC	1.4E+02	2.6E+01		1.4E+03	2.6E+02		1.4E+04	2.6E+03		2.5E+02	
100425	Styrene		NC	1.0E+03	2.3E+02		1.0E+04	2.3E+03		1.0E+05	2.3E+04		8.9E+03	
98066	tert-Butylbenzene	X	NC	1.4E+02	2.6E+01		1.4E+03	2.6E+02		1.4E+04	2.6E+03		2.9E+02	
630206	1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane		C	3.3E-01	4.8E-02		3.3E+00	4.8E-01		3.3E+01	4.8E+00		3.3E+00	
79345	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		C	4.2E-02	6.1E-03		4.2E-01	6.1E-02		4.2E+00	6.1E-01		3.0E+00	
127184	Tetrachloroethylene		C	8.1E-01	1.2E-01		8.1E+00	1.2E+00		8.1E+01	1.2E+01		5.0E+00 [1]	
108883	Toluene		NC	4.0E+02	1.1E+02		4.0E+03	1.1E+03		4.0E+04	1.1E+04		1.5E+03	12 ug/L
156605	trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	X	NC	7.0E+01	1.8E+01		7.0E+02	1.8E+02		7.0E+03	1.8E+03		1.8E+02	
76131	1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane		NC	3.0E+04	3.9E+03		3.0E+05	3.9E+04		3.0E+06	3.9E+05		1.5E+03	
120821	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		NC	2.0E+02	2.7E+01		2.0E+03	2.7E+02		2.0E+04	2.7E+03		3.4E+03	
79005	1,1,2-Trichloroethane		C	1.5E-01	2.8E-02		1.5E+00	2.8E-01		1.5E+01	2.8E+00		6.0E+00 [1]	
71556	1,1,1-Trichloroethane		NC	2.2E+03	4.0E+02		2.2E+04	4.0E+03		2.2E+05	4.0E+04		3.1E+03	
79016	Trichloroethylene [11]	X	C	2.2E-02	4.1E-03		2.2E-01	4.1E-02		2.2E+00	4.1E-01		5.0E+00 [1]	
75694	Trichlorofluoromethane		NC	7.0E+02	1.2E+02		7.0E+03	1.2E+03		7.0E+04	1.2E+04		1.8E+02	
96184	1,2,3-Trichloropropane		NC	4.9E+00	8.1E-01		4.9E+01	8.1E+00		4.9E+02	8.1E+01		2.9E+02	
95636	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene		NC	6.0E+00	1.2E+00		6.0E+01	1.2E+01		6.0E+02	1.2E+02		2.4E+01	


Table 2c: Question 4 Generic Screening Levels and Summary Sheet [1]
Risk = 1 x 10^{-6}


CAS No.	Chemical	Compounds with Provisional Toxicity Data Extrapolated From Oral Sources	Basis of Target Concentration C=cancer risk NC=noncancer risk	Target Indoor Air Concentration to Satisfy Both the Prescribed Risk Level and the Target Hazard Index [R=10^{-6}, HI=1] C_{target} (ug/m3)	C_{target} (ppbv)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Indoor Air Concentration [if available] (specify units)	Target Shallow Gas Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor=0.1 $C_{soil-gas}$ (ug/m3)	$C_{soil-gas}$ (ppbv)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Shallow Soil Gas Concentration [if available] (specify units)	Target Deep Soil Gas Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor=0.01 $C_{soil-gas}$ (ug/m3)	$C_{soil-gas}$ (ppbv)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Deep Soil Gas Concentration [if available] (specify units)	Target Groundwater Concentration Corresponding to Target Indoor Air Concentration Where the Soil Gas to Indoor Air Attenuation Factor = 0.001 and Partitioning Across the Water Table Obeys Henry's Law C_{gw} (ug/L)	Measured or Reasonably Estimated Groundwater Concentration [if available] (specify units)
108678	1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene		NC	6.0E+00	1.2E+00		6.0E+01	1.2E+01		6.0E+02	1.2E+02		2.5E+01	
108054	Vinyl acetate		NC	2.0E+02	5.7E+01		2.0E+03	5.7E+02		2.0E+04	5.7E+03		8.5E+03	
75014	Vinyl chloride (chloroethene)		C	2.8E-01	1.1E-01		2.8E+00	1.1E+00		2.8E+01	1.1E+01		2.0E+00 [1]	


[1] AF = 0.1 for Shallow Soil Gas Target Concentration
AF = 0.01 for Deep Soil Gas Target Concentration
AF = 0.001 for Groundwater Target Concentration
* Health-based target breathing concentration exceeds maximum possible chemical vapor concentration (pathway incomplete)
** Target soil gas concentration exceeds maximum possible vapor concentration (pathway incomplete)
† The target groundwater concentration is the MCL. (The MCL for chloroform is the MCL for total Trihalomethanes. The MCL listed for m-Xylene, o-Xylene, and p-Xylene is the MCL for total Xylenes.)
†† The target concentration for trichloroethylene is based on the upper bound cancer slope factor identified in EPA's draft risk assessment for trichloroethylene (US EPA, 2001). The slope factor is based on state-of-the-art methodology, however the TCE assessment is still undergoing review. As a result, the slope factor and the target concentration values for TCE may be revised further. (See Appendix D.)


APPENDIX E


Graphs of Groundwater Concentration Trends for Select VOCs, SVOCs and Arsenic



Benzene
50
40
30
20
10
0
Concentration (ug/L)
1/1/2003
1/1/2004
12/31/2004
12/31/2005
12/31/2006
12/31/2007
Date
MW-1S
MW-101S
MW-5S
ROD Cleanup Level
Drinking Water MCL



Toluene
100000
10000
1000
100
10
1
0.1
Concentration (ug/L)
1/1/2003
1/1/2004
12/31/2004
12/31/2005
12/31/2006
12/31/2007
Date
MW-1S
MW-101S
MW-5S
ROD Cleanup Level
Drinking Water MCL


1,4-Dichlorobenzene
Concentration (ug/L)
100
90
80
70
60
50
40
30
20
10
0
1/1/2003
1/1/2004
12/31/2004
12/31/2005
12/31/2006
12/31/2007
Date
MW-1S
MW-101S
MW-5S
ROD Cleanup Level
Drinking Water MCL


Chloroethane
Concentration (ug/L)
100
90
80
70
60
50
40
30
20
10
0
1/1/2003
1/1/2004
12/31/2004
12/31/2005
12/31/2006
12/31/2007
Date
MW-1S
MW-101S
MW-5S
ROD Cleanup Level
Note-Drinking Water
MCL Not Established



Trichloroethene
Concentration (ug/L)
10
8
6
4
2
0
1/1/2003
1/1/2004
12/31/2004
12/31/2005
12/31/2006
12/31/2007
Date
MW-5B
MW-120B
MW-111B
ROD Cleanup Level
Drinking Water MCL


2,4-Dimethylphenol
Concentration (ug/L)
1500
1250
1000
750
500
250
0
1/1/2003
1/1/2004
12/31/2004
12/31/2005
12/31/2006
12/31/2007
Date
MW-1S
MW-101S
MW-101B
MW-5S
ROD Cleanup Level
Note: Drinking Water MCL Not Established


Arsenic (total)
0.1
0.01
0.001
Concentration (mg/L)
1/1/2003
1/1/2004
12/31/2004
12/31/2005
12/31/2006
12/31/2007
Date
MW-1S
MW-101S
MW-4S
ROD Cleanup Level
Drinking Water MCL



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	DATE: 6/10/03 DRAWN BY: PPH REVIEWED BY: AW APPROVED BY: AW SCALE: AS NOTED FILE NO: 010-12392 JOB NO: 010-12392	TITLE: **TOPOGRAPHIC MAP** LOCATION: **BARKHAMSTED - NEW HARTFORD LANDFILL SUPERFUND SITE**	FIGURE: **1**




UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Site Plan and Sampling Locations

Barkhamsted - New Hartford
Landfill Superfund Site


Figure 2



Overburden Groundwater Contour Map
April 2008

Barkhamsted - New Hartford
Landfill Superfund Site


Figure 3



Shallow Bedrock Groundwater Contour Map
April 2008

Barkhamsted - New Hartford
Landfill Superfund Site

Figure 4



LEGEND:

- SW-3 — SURFACE WATER / SEDIMENT
- DW-1 — PRIVATE WELL SAMPLE LOCATION
- MW-5B — MONITORING WELL LOCATION
- 10 — TOTAL VOCs CONTOUR (ug/L) (DASHED WHERE INFERRED)
- (7.6) — TOTAL VOC/SVOC CONCENTRATION IN (ug/L)
- (ND) — NOT DETECTED
- (NS) — NOT SAMPLED
- TOTAL VOC ISOCONCENTRATION (ug/L) -O'BRIEN & GERE DATA FEB. 2000


UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

DATE:	6/25/03
DRAWN BY:	PPH
REVIEWED BY:	AW
APPROVED BY:	AW
SCALE:	AS NOTED
FILE NO:	010-12392
JOB NO:	

TITLE: **OVERBURDEN TOTAL VOCs and SVOCs CONCENTRATION MAP - APRIL 30 - MAY 8, 2003**


LOCATION: **BARKHAMSTED - NEW HARTFORD LANDFILL SUPERFUND SITE**

FIGURE **5**



Overburden Total VOCs and SVOCs Concentration Map
April 2008
Barkhamsted - New Hartford
Landfill Superfund Site

Figure 5A




UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

DATE:	6/25/03
DRAWN BY:	PPH
REVIEWED BY:	AW
APPROVED BY:	AW
SCALE:	AS NOTED
FILE NO:	010-12392
JOB NO:	

TITLE: **OVERBURDEN TOTAL BTEX CONCENTRATION MAP**
APRIL 30 - MAY 8, 2003

LOCATION:
BARKHAMSTED - NEW HARTFORD
LANDFILL SUPERFUND SITE


FIGURE
6



Overburden Total BTEX Concentration Map
April 2008

Barkhamsted - New Hartford
Landfill Superfund Site

Figure 6A



LEGEND:

- SW-3 — SURFACE WATER / SEDIMENT
- DW-1 — PRIVATE WELL SAMPLE LOCATION
- MW-5B — MONITORING WELL LOCATION
- 10 — TOTAL VOCS and SVOCs ISOCONCENTRATION (ug/L) (DASHED WHERE INFERRED)
- (52.2) — TOTAL VOCs and SVOCs CONCENTRATION IN (ug/L)
- (ND) — NOT DETECTED
- (NS) — NOT SAMPLED
- TOTAL VOCs ISOCONCENTRATION (ug/L) - O'BRIEN & GERE DATA FEB.2000 (DASHED WHERE INFERRED)


UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

DATE:	6/10/03
DRAWN BY:	PPH
REVIEWED BY:	AW
APPROVED BY:	AW
SCALE:	AS NOTED
FILE NO:	010-12392
JOB NO:	

TITLE: **SHALLOW BEDROCK TOTAL VOCs and SVOCs CONCENTRATION MAP - APRIL 30 - MAY 8, 2003**

LOCATION: **BARKHAMSTED - NEW HARTFORD LANDFILL SUPERFUND SITE**


FIGURE **7**



Shallow Bedrock Total SVOCs and VOCs Concentration Map - April 2008

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill Superfund Site

Figure 7A



LEGEND:

- SW–3 — SURFACE WATER / SEDIMENT
- DW–1 — PRIVATE WELL SAMPLE LOCATION
- MW-5B — MONITORING WELL LOCATION
- 10 — TOTAL BTEX ISOCONCENTRATION (ug/L) (DASHED WHERE INFERRED)
- (1.1) — TOTAL BTEX CONCENTRATION (ug/L)
- (ND) — NOT DETECTED
- (NS) — NOT SAMPLED


UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

DATE:	6/10/03
DRAWN BY:	PPH
REVIEWED BY:	AW
APPROVED BY:	AW
SCALE:	AS NOTED
FILE NO:	010-12392
JOB NO:	

TITLE: **SHALLOW BEDROCK TOTAL BTEX CONCENTRATION MAP - APRIL 30 - MAY 8, 2003**

LOCATION: **BARKHAMSTED - NEW HARTFORD LANDFILL SUPERFUND SITE**

FIGURE **8**



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Shallow Bedrock Total BTEX Concentration Map - April 2008

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill Superfund Site

Figure 8A

Figure 9 - Simulated and Observed Concentrations of 4-Methylphenol
Overburden Well
MW-101S




Figure 10 - Simulated and Observed Concentrations of 2-Butanone
Overburden Well
MW-101S



Figure 11 - Simulated vs Observed Concentrations of 4-Methylphenol
Overburden Well
MW-5S




Figure 12 - Simulated vs. Observed Concentrations of 2-Butanone
Overburden Well
MW-5S




Figure 13- November 2003




Figure 14 - April 2008




TABLE 2: CONTAMINANTS OF CONCERN AND OTHER TARGET ANALYTES

Medium/Matrix: Groundwater

Benzene[1]	*Toluene*[1]	Diethyl pthalate[2]	Cadmium[2]	Carbon disulfide[2]
1,2-dichloroethane[1]	Ethylbenzene[2]	Di-n-octyl pthalate[2]	Calcium[2]	Chlorobenzene[2]
1,2-dichloropropane[1]	o-Xylene[2]	Napthalene[2]	Cobalt[2]	Cis-1,2-Dichloroethene[2]
Chloroethane[1]	p-Xylene[2]	Phenol[2]	Copper[2]	Styrene[2]
Chloroform[1]	m-Xylene[2]	*Arsenic*[1]	Iron[2]	*1,4-dichlorobenzene*[1]
Chloromethane[1]	Acetone[2]	*Chromium (total)*[1]	Magnesium[2]	1,2-dichlorobenzene[2]
Dibromochloromethane[1]	*2-Butanone (MEK)*[1]	*Lead*[1]	Mercury[2]	*Bis(2-ethyl hexyl) pthalate*[1]
Methylene chloride[1]	*4-methyl-2-pentanone*[1]	*Manganese*[1]	Nickel[2]	*2,4-dimethylphenol*[1]
Trichloroethene (TCE)[1]	1,1,1-Trichloroethane[2]	Aluminum[2]	Potassium[2]	*4-methylphenol*[1]
Vinyl chloride (VC)[1]	1,1-Dichloroethane[2]	Antimony[2]	Selenium[2]	2-methylnapthalene[2]
Tetrachloroethene	2-Hexanone[2]	Barium[2]	Silver[2]	2-methylphenol[2]
Trans-1,2-dichloroethene	Bromomethane[2]	Beryllium[2]	Sodium[2]	Benzoic acid[2]
Thallium[2]	Dissolved ethene[3]	Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)[3]	Vanadium[2]	Zinc[2]
Dissolved hydrogen[3]	Ferrous Iron[3]	Dissolved methane[3]	Nitrite[3]	Sulfate[3]
Sulfide[3]	Nitrate[3]	Dissolved ethane[3]		

NOTES

[1] Project action limit defined by Clean-Up levels designated in ROD (US EPA 2001b)

[2] Project action limit defined by Clean-Up levels designated in FS (O'Brien & Gere, 2001a)

[3] Monitored Natural Attenuation (MNA) Parameters

Contaminants of Concern (italics) defined in ROD (US EPA 2001b)

TABLE 2: CONTAMINANTS OF CONCERN AND OTHER TARGET ANALYTES (CONT'D)

Medium/Matrix: Leachate and Groundwater

Alkalinity
Ammonia
Chemical Oxygen
Demand
Specific Conductivity
Hardness (Metals)
pH
Total Sulfate
Chloride
Nitrate
Total Dissolved Solids
Total Suspended Solids

Medium/Matrix: Sediment

Benzo(a)anthracene	Arochlor-1254	Aluminum
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Gamma-chlordane	Antimony
Benzo(a)pyrene	4, 4'-DDE	Arsenic
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	4, 4'-DDT	Barium
Phenanthrene	Endosulfan II	Beryllium
Pyrene	Endrin	Chromium
Cobalt	Lead	Vanadium
Copper	Manganese	Zinc
Iron	Nickel	

Medium/Matrix: Leachate Seeps/Surface Water

Chloromethane	Benzene	Arsenic
1,2-Dichloropropane	Bromodichloromethane	Aluminum
Acetone	Chloroethane	Barium
Carbon disulfide	Chloroform	Copper
Methylene chloride	2,4-Dimethylphenol	Iron
Xylenes	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	Cadmium
Phenol	Lead	Zinc
Chlorobenzene	Manganese	Copper
1,1,-Dichloroethane	Zinc	Chromium
Hardness (Metals)	4, 4'-DDE	4, 4'-DDT
Arochlor-1254		

Table 4
Summary of Historical Groundwater VOCs and SVOCs Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloropropane	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2-Butanone	4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	Acetone	Benzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Dibromochloromethane	Methylene Chloride	Toluene	Trichloroethene	Vinyl Chloride	Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2,4-Dimethylphenol	3 & 4 Dimethylphenol
ROD Cleanup (µg/L)		<0.5	<0.5	<10	<10	<5	<10	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<2	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<2	<10	<10
MCLs (µg/L)		5	5	75	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	1000	5	2	6	NC	NC
MW-1S																			
	5/5/03	<0.4	<0.4	**4.29**	<12	<1.2	<9.6	**10.5**	**8.35**	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**9.84**	<0.4	<0.4	**9.3**	**803**	<21.4
	8/12/03	<0.4	<0.4	**4.75**	<12	<1.2	<9.6	**13.7**	**9.17**	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**8.91**	<0.4	<0.4	<45.5	**663**	<148.4
	11/4/03	<0.4	<0.4	**3.97**	<2	**0.88 J**	**11 J**	**11**	**3.97**	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	**0.21 J**	**11.1**	<0.4	<0.4	<5.8	<4	<2.2
	2/26/04	<1.0	<1.0	**4.2**	<25.0	<10.0	<25.0	**11.5**	**5.9**	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<5.0	**8.7**	<1.0	<1.0	<6.6	**630**	<2.6
	6/16/04	<6.4	<3.6	<7.2	<64	<96	<184	<2.8	<15.2	<6.4	<4.4	<5.2	<6.4	<6.4	<7.2	<16	<2.0	**530**	<9.2
	9/1/04	<0.64	<0.36	**5.6**	<6.4	<9.6	<18.4	**12**	**2.8**	<0.64	<0.44	<0.52	<0.64	**7.3**	<0.72	<1.6	<2.0	**390**	<9.2
	11/16/04	**0.67**	**0.64**	**4.8**	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**11**	**3.6**	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	**6.8**	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	**450**	<9.2
	4/18/05	**0.53**	<0.18	**4.5**	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**9.9**	**8.1**	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	**12**	<0.36	<0.8	<40	**600**	<184
	10/24/05	<3.2	<1.8	**6.1 J**	<32	<48	<92	**12**	<7.6	<3.2	<2.2	<2.6	<3.2	**9**	<3.6	<8	<2.2	**360**	<10.2
	4/18/06	<0.34	<0.68	**4.3**	<7.6	<4	<15.2	**8.0**	<0.88	<0.6	<2	<0.34	<2	**7.8**	<0.76	<0.9	<3.8	**200**	<5.8
	10/31/06	<2.0	<2.0	<2	<20	<20	<26	**10**	<2.2	<1.88	<2.6	<1.0	**3.2 J**	**5.6**	<2.0	<2.0	<5	**140**	<4.4
	4/17/07	<2.0	<2.0	<2	<20	<20	<26	**8.5**	<2.2	<1.88	<2.6	<1.0	<1.98	**5.1**	<2.0	<2.0	**3.6 J**	**260**	<4.4
	10/16/07	<4	<4	**3.8 J**	<16.2	<9.8	<46	**8.7 J**	<4	<4	<4	<4	<4	**5.2 J**	<4	<4	<5.2	**310**	<2.4
	4/22/08	<10	<10	<10	<100	<100	<400	**7.9 J**	<8	<10	<6	<5.0	<20	<10	<10	<5.0	<6.4	**160**	<4.6
MW-4S																			
	5/5/03	<0.4	<0.4	**1.43**	<12	<1.2	<9.6	**6.17**	**4.11**	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**1.41**	<0.4	<0.4	**4 J**	<50	<19.6
	8/12/03	<0.4	<0.4	**1.36**	<12	<1.2	<9.6	**6.39**	**7.45**	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**0.2 J**	**1.98**	<0.4	<0.4	<6.5	<54.3	<21.4

Table 4
Summary of Historical Groundwater VOCs and SVOCs Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloropropane	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2-Butanone	4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	Acetone	Benzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Dibromochloromethane	Methylene Chloride	Toluene	Trichloroethene	Vinyl Chloride	Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2,4-Dimethylphenol	3 & 4 Dimethylphenol
ROD Cleanup (µg/L)		<0.5	<0.5	<10	<10	<5	<10	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<2	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<2	<10	<10
MCLs (µg/L)		5	5	75	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	1000	5	2	6	NC	NC
MW-4S																			
	11/4/03	<0.4	<0.4	**1.11**	<2	<1.6	**5.87 J**	**6.11**	**6.47**	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	**0.26 J**	**4.38**	<0.4	<0.4	<5.8	<4	<2.2
	6/15/04	**0.68**	**0.61**	**1.4**	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**6.2**	**6.0**	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	**1.7**	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	**6.4 J**	<9.2
	4/19/05	<0.32	<0.18	**0.79 J**	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**3.0**	**0.88 J**	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	10/24/05	<0.32	<0.18	**0.83 J**	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**3.3**	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2	<8.2	<9.2
	4/18/06	<4.2	<8.6	<8.2	<94	<52	<190	<7.2	<11.2	<7.6	<26	<4.4	<26	<25	<9.4	<11.2	<2.8	<3.6	<4.2
	10/31/06	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	**2.5**	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.4	<4	<4.8
	10/16/07	<4	<4	<4	<16.2	<9.8	<46	<4	<4	<4	<4	<4	<4	<4	<4	<4	<5.2	<3.2	<2.4
	4/22/08	<10	<10	<10	<100	<100	<400	<10	<8	<10	<6	<5.0	<20	<10	<10	<5.0	<6.2	<5.4	<4.4
MW-4R																			
	4/22/08	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<50	<50	<200	<5.0	<4	<5.0	<3	<2.5	<10	<5.0	<5.0	<2.5	<6.4	**3.4 J**	<4.6
MW-5S																			
	5/7/03	<0.4	<0.4	**0.64 J**	<12	<1.2	<9.6	**1.55**	**1.86 J**	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<6.4	<53.2	<20.8
	8/14/03	<0.4	<0.4	**0.47 J**	<12	<1.2	<9.6	**1.28**	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<6.3	**21.1 J**	<5.4
	11/6/03	<0.4	<0.4	**0.38 J**	<2	<1.6	<1.6	**1.01**	**4.5**	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	**15.4**	<0.4	<0.4	<5.8	**5.1 J**	<2.2
	2/26/04	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<25.0	<10.0	<25.0	**2.1**	**3.2**	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<5.0	**1.2**	<1.0	<1.0	<5.8	**12 J**	<2.2

Table 4
Summary of Historical Groundwater VOCs and SVOCs Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloropropane	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2-Butanone	4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	Acetone	Benzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Dibromochloromethane	Methylene Chloride	Toluene	Trichloroethene	Vinyl Chloride	Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2,4-Dimethylphenol	3 & 4 Dimethylphenol
ROD Cleanup (µg/L)		<0.5	<0.5	<10	<10	<5	<10	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<2	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<2	<10	<10
MCLs (µg/L)		5	5	75	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	1000	5	2	6	NC	NC
MW-5S																			
	6/16/04	**0.51**	<0.18	**0.99 J**	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**2.3**	**2.1**	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	**0.50**	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	9/2/04	**0.56**	<0.18	**1.3**	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**3.2**	**3.4**	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	**0.64**	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	**8.9 J**	<9.2
	11/17/04	**0.55**	<0.18	**1.3**	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**3.1**	**2.5**	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	**0.68**	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	**9.1 J**	<9.2
	4/19/05	<0.32	<0.18	**1.2**	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**2.5**	**1.6**	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	**0.51**	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	**3.7 J**	<9.2
	10/26/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2	<8.2	<9.2
	4/19/06	<0.166	<0.34	**0.85 J**	<3.8	<2	<7.6	**2.0**	**1.3 J ***	<0.3	<1	<0.174	<1	<1	<0.38	<0.44	<2.8	<3.6	<4.2
	11/1/06	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.4	<4	<4.8
	4/19/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5	<3.8	<4.4
	10/17/07	<2	<2	<2	<8.2	<5	<24	**3.5 J**	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<5	**5.6 J**	<2.4
	4/23/08	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<50	<50	<200	<5.0	<4	<5.0	<3	<2.5	<10	<5.0	<5.0	<2.5	<6.2	<5.4	<4.4
MW-5B																			
	5/6/03	<0.4	<0.4	<9.4	<12	<1.2	<9.6	**1.1**	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**0.67 J**	<0.4	<7	<58.1	<22.8
	8/14/03	<0.4	<0.4	<7.2	<12	<1.2	<9.6	**1.22**	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**0.8 J**	**0.41 J**	<6	<12.4	<5.2
	11/6/03	<0.4	<0.4	**0.2 J**	<2	<1.6	<1.6	**0.69 J**	<0.4	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**0.58 J**	**0.23 J**	<5.8	<4	<2.2
	2/26/04	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<25.0	<10.0	<25.0	**1.0**	<2.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<5.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.8	<4	<2.2
	6/17/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**1.1**	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	**0.72**	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	9/2/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**1.0**	**0.87 J**	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	**0.56**	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2

Table 4
Summary of Historical Groundwater VOCs and SVOCs Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloropropane	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2-Butanone	4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	Acetone	Benzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Dibromochloromethane	Methylene Chloride	Toluene	Trichloroethene	Vinyl Chloride	Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2,4-Dimethylphenol	3 & 4 Dimethylphenol
ROD Cleanup (µg/L)		<0.5	<0.5	<10	<10	<5	<10	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<2	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<2	<10	<10
MCLs (µg/L)		5	5	75	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	1000	5	2	6	NC	NC
MW-5B																			
	11/17/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**0.91**	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	**0.53**	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	4/19/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**0.61**	**0.65 J**	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	**0.62**	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	10/26/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**1.3**	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	**0.82**	<0.8	<2	<8.2	<9.2
	4/19/06	<0.166	<0.34	<0.32	<3.8	<2	<7.6	<0.28	<0.44	<0.3	<1	<0.174	<1	<1	<0.38	<0.44	<2.8	<3.6	<4.2
	11/1/06	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	**0.78 J**	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.4	<4	<4.8
	4/19/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	**0.81 J**	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5	<3.8	<4.4
	10/17/07	<2	<2	<2	<8.2	<5	<24	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<6	<3.6	<2.8
	4/23/08	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<50	<50	<200	<5.0	<4	<5.0	<3	<2.5	<10	<5.0	<5.0	<2.5	<6.2	<5.4	<4.6
S-3																			
	5/2/03	<0.4	<0.4	**0.96 J**	<12	<1.2	<9.6	**1.57**	**1.65 J**	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<6	<50	<19.6
	8/12/03	<0.4	<0.4	**0.97 J**	<12	<1.2	<9.6	**1.66**	**2.49**	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<6.5	<53.8	<21
	8/14/03	<0.4	<0.8	**0.89 J**	<8.8	<6.8	<10	**1.71**	**1.2 J**	<0.4	<0.4	<0.8	<0.8	**0.24 J**	<0.4	<0.8	<6	<50	<19.6
	11/4/03	<0.4	<0.4	**0.83 J**	<2	<1.6	<1.6	**1.2**	**2**	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	**0.46 J**	<0.4	<0.4	<5.8	<4	<2.2
	2/26/04	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	<5.8	<4	<2.2
	2/27/04	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<25.0	<10.0	<25.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<5.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0			
	6/15/04	**0.91**	**0.54**	**2.3**	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**3.0**	**3.2**	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	**0.60**	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	6/17/04	<1.6	<0.9	<1.8	<16	<24	<46	<0.7	<3.8	<1.6	<1.1	<1.3	<1.6	<1.6	<1.8	<4	<2.0	**11**	<9.2

Table 4
Summary of Historical Groundwater VOCs and SVOCs Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloropropane	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2-Butanone	4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	Acetone	Benzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Dibromochloromethane	Methylene Chloride	Toluene	Trichloroethene	Vinyl Chloride	Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2,4-Dimethylphenol	3 & 4 Dimethylphenol
ROD Cleanup (µg/L)		<0.5	<0.5	<10	<10	<5	<10	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<2	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<2	<10	<10
MCLs (µg/L)		5	5	75	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	1000	5	2	6	NC	NC
S-3																			
	8/31/04	**0.82**	<0.18	**2.3**	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**3.1**	**4.1**	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	9/2/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	11/16/04	**0.50**	<0.18	**1.6**	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**2.0**	**2.4**	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	4/18/05	<0.32	<0.18	**1.1**	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**1.2**	**2.3**	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	10/24/05	<0.32	<0.18	**0.52 J**	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**0.55**	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2	<8.2	<9.2
	4/18/06	<0.166	<0.34	**1.6**	<3.8	<2	<7.6	**1.5**	**1.8 J ***	<0.3	<1	<0.174	<1	<1	<0.38	<0.44	<2.8	<3.6	<4.2
	10/31/06	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	**0.76 J**	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.4	<4	<4.8
	4/17/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	**0.89 J**	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.6	<4.2	<4.8
	10/16/07	<2	<2	**2.1 J**	<8.2	<5	<24	**1.7 J**	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<5	<3	<2.4
	4/22/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<40	<1.0	<0.8	<1.0	<0.6	<0.50	<2	<1.0	<1.0	<0.50	<6.2	<5.4	<4.4
MW-101S																			
	5/7/03	<40	<40	**11.2**	<1200	<120	<960	<40	<80	<40	<40	<40	<40	**12000**	<40	<40	<6.6	**1070**	**86.7**
	8/15/03	<40	<40	**9.5 J**	<1200	<120	<960	<40	<80	<40	<40	<40	<40	**10400**	<40	<40	**13.1**	<53.8	**63.1**
	11/6/03	<0.4	**1.34**	**14.8**	**26.1**	**57.3**	**33.3**	**14**	**3.34**	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	**1.16 J**	**7260**	<0.4	<0.4	<5.8	<4	**130**
	6/17/04	<32	<18	<36	<320	<480	<920	<14	<76	<32	<22	<26	<32	**2700**	<36	<80	<2.0	**710**	<9.2
	9/2/04	<6.4	<3.6	**12 J**	<64	<96	<184	**12**	<15.2	<6.4	<4.4	<5.2	<6.4	**970**	<7.2	<16	**5.4**	**730**	<9.2
	11/18/04	<3.2	<1.8	**14**	<32	<48	<92	**12**	<7.6	<3.2	<2.2	<2.6	<3.2	**440**	<3.6	<8	<2.0	**580**	<9.2

Table 4
Summary of Historical Groundwater VOCs and SVOCs Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloropropane	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2-Butanone	4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	Acetone	Benzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Dibromochloromethane	Methylene Chloride	Toluene	Trichloroethene	Vinyl Chloride	Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2,4-Dimethylphenol	3 & 4 Dimethylphenol
ROD Cleanup (µg/L)		<0.5	<0.5	<10	<10	<5	<10	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<2	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<2	<10	<10
MCLs (µg/L)		5	5	75	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	1000	5	2	6	NC	NC
MW-101S																			
	4/20/05	<6.4	<3.6	<7.2	<64	<96	<184	<2.8	<15.2	<6.4	<4.4	<5.2	<6.4	**540**	<7.2	<16	<4.0	**1300**	<18.4
	10/26/05	<1.6	<0.9	**2.8 J**	<16	<24	<46	**5**	<3.8	<1.6	<1.1	<1.3	<1.6	**40**	<1.8	<4	<2	**19**	<9.2
	4/20/06	<1.66	<3.4	**14**	<38	<20	<76	**13**	<4.4	<3	<10	<1.74	<10	**680**	<3.8	<4.4	<2.8	**640**	<4.2
	11/2/06	<10	<10	**13**	<100	<100	<130	**9.0 J**	<10.8	<9.4	<12.6	<5.0	<9.8	**31**	<10	<10	<4.8	**830**	<4.2
	4/19/07	<10	<10	<10	<100	<100	<130	**10**	<10.8	<9.4	<12.6	<5.0	<9.8	**60**	<10	<10	<5	**810 ***	<4.4
	10/18/07	<4	<4	**16**	<16.2	<9.8	<46	**11**	<4	<4	<4	<4	<4	**39**	<4	<4	<5	**810**	<2.4
	4/24/08	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<50	<50	<200	**5.4**	<4	<5.0	<3	<2.5	<10	**33**	<5.0	<2.5	<62	**1000**	<44
MW-101I																			
	5/8/03	<0.4	<0.4	<10	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**9.2**	<62.5	<24.4
	6/17/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	4/20/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	4/21/06	<0.166	<0.34	<0.32	<3.8	<2	<7.6	<0.28	<0.44	<0.3	<1	<0.174	<1	<1	<0.38	<0.44	<3	<4	<4.6
	4/25/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<40	<1.0	<0.8	<1.0	<0.6	<0.50	<2	<1.0	<1.0	<0.50	<6.8	<5.8	<4.8
MW-101B																			
	5/7/03	<0.4	<0.4	**0.57 J**	<12	<1.2	<9.6	**0.95 J**	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**1.87**	<0.4	<0.4	<6.1	<51	<20

Table 4
Summary of Historical Groundwater VOCs and SVOCs Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloropropane	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2-Butanone	4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	Acetone	Benzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Dibromochloromethane	Methylene Chloride	Toluene	Trichloroethene	Vinyl Chloride	Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2,4-Dimethylphenol	3 & 4 Dimethylphenol
ROD Cleanup (µg/L)		<0.5	<0.5	<10	<10	<5	<10	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<2	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<2	<10	<10
MCLs (µg/L)		5	5	75	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	1000	5	2	6	NC	NC
MW-101B																			
	8/28/03	<0.4	<0.4	**0.45 J**	**27.8**	<1.2	<9.6	**1.34**	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<6	**10.7 J**	<5.2
	11/6/03	<0.4	<0.4	**0.41 J**	<2	<1.6	<1.6	**1.14**	<0.4	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	**0.49 J**	<0.4	<0.4	<5.8	**7.3 J**	<2.2
	2/27/04	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<25.0	<10.0	<25.0	**1.2**	<2.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<5.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.8	**3.3 J**	<2.2
	6/17/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**0.77**	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	9/2/04	<0.32	<0.18	**0.74 J**	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**1.4**	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	**2.6 J**	<9.2
	11/18/04	<0.32	<0.18	**0.50 J**	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**0.83**	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	4/20/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**0.51**	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	10/26/05	<3.2	<1.8	**15**	<32	<48	<92	**13**	<7.6	<3.2	<2.2	<2.6	<3.2	**55**	<3.6	<8	<2	**1000**	<9.2
	4/20/06	<0.166	<0.34	**0.69 J**	<3.8	<2	<7.6	**0.97 J**	<0.44	<0.3	<1	<0.174	<1	<1	<0.38	<0.44	<2.8	<3.6	<4.2
	11/2/06	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<50	<50	<64	<5.0	<5.4	<4.6	<6.2	<2.5	<5	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<4.8	<3.6	<4.2
	4/19/07	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<50	<50	<64	<5.0	<5.4	<9.4	<6.2	<5.0	<9.8	**16**	<5.0	<5.0	<5.2	**74 ***	<4.6
	10/18/07	<4	<4	<4	<16.2	<9.8	<46	<4	<4	<4	<4	<4	<4	<4	<4	<4	<6	<3.6	<2.8
	4/24/08	<10	<10	<10	<100	<100	<400	<10	<8	<10	<6	<5.0	<20	<10	<10	<5.0	<6.2	<5.4	<4.4
MW-101D																			
	5/8/03	<0.4	<0.4	<8	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<6	<50	<19.6

Table 4
Summary of Historical Groundwater VOCs and SVOCs Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloropropane	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2-Butanone	4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	Acetone	Benzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Dibromochloromethane	Methylene Chloride	Toluene	Trichloroethene	Vinyl Chloride	Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2,4-Dimethylphenol	3 & 4 Dimethylphenol
ROD Cleanup (µg/L)		<0.5	<0.5	<10	<10	<5	<10	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<2	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<2	<10	<10
MCLs (µg/L)		5	5	75	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	1000	5	2	6	NC	NC
MW-102S																			
	5/1/03	<0.4	<0.4	<9	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**11.4**	<56.2	<22
	8/12/03	<0.4	<0.4	<9	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<6.7	<56.2	<22
	11/4/03	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<2	<1.6	<1.6	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<5.8	<4	<2.2
	2/25/04	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<25.0	<10.0	<25.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<5.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<6	<4.2	<2.4
	6/15/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	8/31/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<4.0	<16.4	<18.4
	11/16/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	4/18/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	10/24/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2	<8.2	<9.2
	4/18/06	<0.166	<0.34	<0.32	<3.8	<2	<7.6	<0.28	<0.44	<0.3	<1	<0.174	<1	<1	<0.38	<0.44	<2.8	<3.8	<4.4
	10/31/06	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.4	<4	<4.6
	4/17/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<4.8	<3.6	<4.2
	10/16/07	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<1.62	<0.98	**31 J**	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<5	<3	<2.4
	4/22/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<40	<1.0	<0.8	<1.0	<0.6	<0.50	<2	<1.0	<1.0	<0.50	<6.4	<5.4	<4.6
MW-102B																			
	5/1/03	<0.4	<0.4	**0.85 J**	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	**2.17**	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**0.44 J**	**1**	**1**	**2**	<6.1	<51	<20
	8/12/03	<0.4	<0.4	**0.73 J**	<12	<1.2	<9.6	**1.19**	**2.28**	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**0.43 J**	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<6.6	<54.9	<21.6

Table 4
Summary of Historical Groundwater VOCs and SVOCs Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloropropane	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2-Butanone	4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	Acetone	Benzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Dibromochloromethane	Methylene Chloride	Toluene	Trichloroethene	Vinyl Chloride	Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2,4-Dimethylphenol	3 & 4 Dimethylphenol
ROD Cleanup (µg/L)		< 0.5	< 0.5	< 10	< 10	< 5	< 10	< 0.5	< 1	< 0.5	< 1	< 0.5	< 2	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 1	< 2	< 10	< 10
MCLs (µg/L)		5	5	75	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	1000	5	2	6	NC	NC
MW-102B																			
	11/4/03	**0.61 J**	<0.4	**0.63 J**	<2	<1.6	<1.6	**1.02**	**1.72 J**	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	**0.42 J**	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<5.8	<4	<2.2
	2/25/04	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<25.0	<10.0	<25.0	**1.0**	<2.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<5.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.8	<4	<2.2
	6/15/04	**0.80**	<0.18	**0.86 J**	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**0.99**	**2.0**	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	8/31/04	<0.32	<0.18	**0.53 J**	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**0.59**	**1.5**	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	11/16/04	**0.53**	<0.18	**0.74 J**	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**0.81**	**1.7**	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	4/18/05	**0.56**	<0.18	**0.68 J**	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**0.71**	**2.5**	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	10/24/05	<0.32	<0.18	**0.63 J**	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**0.67**	**1**	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2	<8.2	<9.2
	4/18/06	<0.166	<0.34	<0.32	<3.8	<2	<7.6	<0.28	<0.44	<0.3	<1	<0.174	<1	<1	<0.38	<0.44	<2.8	<3.8	<4.4
	10/31/06	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.6	<4.2	<4.8
	4/17/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<4.8	<3.6	<4.2
	10/16/07	**0.25 J**	<0.4	**0.36 J**	<1.62	<0.98	<4.6	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<5.2	<3.2	<2.4
	4/22/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<40	<1.0	<0.8	<1.0	<0.6	<0.50	<2	<1.0	<1.0	<0.50	<6.6	<5.6	<4.8
MW-103S																			
	5/1/03	<0.4	<0.4	<8	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**9.7**	<50	<19.6
	8/13/03	<0.4	<0.4	<8.8	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<6.6	<54.9	<21.6
	11/5/03	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<2	<1.6	<1.6	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<5.8	<4	<2.2
	2/26/04	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<25.0	<10.0	<25.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<5.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.8	<4	<2.2

Table 4
Summary of Historical Groundwater VOCs and SVOCs Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloropropane	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2-Butanone	4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	Acetone	Benzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Dibromochloromethane	Methylene Chloride	Toluene	Trichloroethene	Vinyl Chloride	Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2,4-Dimethylphenol	3 & 4 Dimethylphenol
ROD Cleanup (µg/L)		<0.5	<0.5	<10	<10	<5	<10	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<2	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<2	<10	<10
MCLs (µg/L)		5	5	75	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	1000	5	2	6	NC	NC
MW-103S																			
	6/16/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	8/31/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	11/16/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	4/18/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	10/27/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2	<8.2	<9.2
	4/19/06	<0.166	<0.34	<0.32	<3.8	<2	<7.6	<0.28	<0.44	<0.3	<1	<0.174	<1	<1	<0.38	<0.44	<2.8	<3.8	<4.4
	10/31/06	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5	<3.8	<4.4
	4/18/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5	<3.6	<4.2
	4/19/07	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<50	<50	<64	<5.0	<5.4	<4.6	<6.2	<2.5	<5	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.2	<3.8	<4.6
	10/17/07	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<1.62	<0.98	<4.6	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<5.4	<3.4	<2.6
	10/18/07	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<1.62	<0.98	<4.6	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<6	<3.6	<2.8
	4/23/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<40	<1.0	<0.8	<1.0	<0.6	<0.50	<2	<1.0	<1.0	<0.50	<6.4	<5.4	<4.6
MW-103B																			
	5/1/03	<0.4	<0.4	<8.2	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**5.9 J**	<51	<20
	8/13/03	<0.4	<0.4	<8.6	<12	<1.2	<9.6	**0.25 J**	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**0.62 J**	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**16.3**	<53.8	<21
	11/5/03	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<2	<1.6	<1.6	**0.22 J**	<0.4	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	**0.6 J**	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<5.8	<4	<2.2
	2/26/04	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<25.0	<10.0	<25.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<5.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.8	<4	<2.2

Table 4
Summary of Historical Groundwater VOCs and SVOCs Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloropropane	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2-Butanone	4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	Acetone	Benzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Dibromochloromethane	Methylene Chloride	Toluene	Trichloroethene	Vinyl Chloride	Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2,4-Dimethylphenol	3 & 4 Dimethylphenol
ROD Cleanup (µg/L)		<0.5	<0.5	<10	<10	<5	<10	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<2	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<2	<10	<10
MCLs (µg/L)		5	5	75	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	1000	5	2	6	NC	NC
MW-103B																			
	6/16/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	8/31/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	11/16/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	4/18/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	10/27/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2	<8.2	<9.2
	4/19/06	<0.166	<0.34	<0.32	<3.8	<2	<7.6	<0.28	<0.44	<0.3	<1	<0.174	<1	<1	<0.38	<0.44	<2.8	<3.6	<4.2
	10/31/06	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.4	<4	<4.6
	4/18/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	**3.7 J * B**	<4	<4.6
	10/17/07	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<1.62	<0.98	<4.6	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<5.6	<3.4	<2.6
	4/23/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<40	<1.0	<0.8	<1.0	<0.6	<0.50	<2	<1.0	<1.0	<0.50	<6.2	<5.4	<4.6
MW-104S																			
	5/5/03	<0.4	<0.4	<8.2	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<6.1	<51	<20
	11/5/03	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<2	<1.6	<1.6	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<5.8	<4	<2.2
	6/15/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	11/17/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	4/19/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	10/26/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2	<8.2	<9.2

Table 4
Summary of Historical Groundwater VOCs and SVOCs Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloropropane	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2-Butanone	4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	Acetone	Benzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Dibromochloromethane	Methylene Chloride	Toluene	Trichloroethene	Vinyl Chloride	Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2,4-Dimethylphenol	3 & 4 Dimethylphenol
ROD Cleanup (µg/L)		<0.5	<0.5	<10	<10	<5	<10	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<2	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<2	<10	<10
MCLs (µg/L)		5	5	75	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	1000	5	2	6	NC	NC
MW-104S																			
	4/19/06	<0.166	<0.34	<0.32	<3.8	<2	<7.6	<0.28	<0.44	<0.3	<1	<0.174	<1	<1	<0.38	<0.44	<2.8	<3.6	<4.2
	11/1/06	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<4.8	<3.6	<4.2
	4/18/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.4	<4	<4.6
	10/17/07	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<1.62	<0.98	<4.6	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<5.8	<3.6	<2.8
	4/23/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<40	<1.0	<0.8	<1.0	<0.6	<0.50	<2	<1.0	<1.0	<0.50	<6.6	<5.6	<4.8
MW-104I																			
	5/5/03	<0.4	<0.4	<8	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<6	<50	<19.6
	6/15/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	**2.2**	<8.2	<9.2
MW-104B																			
	5/5/03	<0.4	<0.4	<8	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**4.4 J**	<50.5	<19.8
	11/5/03	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<2	<1.6	<1.6	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<5.8	<4	<2.2
	6/15/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	11/17/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	4/19/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	10/26/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2	<8.2	<9.2

Table 4
Summary of Historical Groundwater VOCs and SVOCs Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloropropane	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2-Butanone	4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	Acetone	Benzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Dibromochloromethane	Methylene Chloride	Toluene	Trichloroethene	Vinyl Chloride	Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2,4-Dimethylphenol	3 & 4 Dimethylphenol
ROD Cleanup (µg/L)		<0.5	<0.5	<10	<10	<5	<10	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<2	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<2	<10	<10
MCLs (µg/L)		5	5	75	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	1000	5	2	6	NC	NC
MW-104B																			
	4/19/06	<0.166	<0.34	<0.32	<3.8	<2	<7.6	<0.28	<0.44	<0.3	<1	<0.174	<1	<1	<0.38	<0.44	<2.8	<3.6	<4.2
	11/1/06	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	**3.8 J**	<3.8	<4.4
	4/18/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	**4.6 J**	<3.8	<4.4
	10/17/07	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<1.62	<0.98	<4.6	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**13**	<3.2	<2.4
	4/23/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<40	<1.0	<0.8	<1.0	<0.6	<0.50	<2	<1.0	<1.0	<0.50	<6.4	<5.6	<4.6
MW-105S																			
	8/13/03	<0.4	<0.4	<8	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<6	<50	<19.6
MW-105B																			
	8/13/03	<0.4	<0.4	<7.2	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**4.1 J**	<12.6	<5.2
MW-106S																			
	5/2/03	<0.4	<0.4	<8	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**7.1**	<50	<19.6
	8/12/03	<0.4	<0.4	<11.2	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<8.3	<69.4	<27.2
	11/4/03	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<2	<1.6	<1.6	<0.4	<0.4	**0.47 J**	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	**0.82 J**	<0.4	<0.4	<5.8	<4	<2.2
	2/26/04	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<25.0	<10.0	<25.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<5.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.8	<4	<2.2

Table 4
Summary of Historical Groundwater VOCs and SVOCs Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloropropane	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2-Butanone	4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	Acetone	Benzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Dibromochloromethane	Methylene Chloride	Toluene	Trichloroethene	Vinyl Chloride	Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2,4-Dimethylphenol	3 & 4 Dimethylphenol
ROD Cleanup (µg/L)		<0.5	<0.5	<10	<10	<5	<10	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<2	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<2	<10	<10
MCLs (µg/L)		5	5	75	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	1000	5	2	6	NC	NC
MW-106S																			
	6/15/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	9/1/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	11/16/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	4/18/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2000	<8200	<9200
	10/24/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2	<8.2	<9.2
	4/18/06	<0.166	<0.34	<0.32	<3.8	<2	<7.6	<0.28	<0.44	<0.3	<1	<0.174	<1	<1	<0.38	<0.44	<2.8	<3.6	<4.2
	10/31/06	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<4.8	<3.6	<4.2
	4/17/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.2	<3.8	<4.4
	10/16/07	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<1.62	<0.98	<4.6	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<5	<3	<2.4
	4/22/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<40	<1.0	<0.8	<1.0	<0.6	<0.50	<2	<1.0	<1.0	<0.50	<6.4	<5.4	<4.6
MW-108B																			
	8/13/03	<0.4	<0.4	<8.6	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**8.6**	<53.8	<21
MW-109S																			
	8/12/03	<0.4	<0.4	<8	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<6	<50	<19.6

Table 4
Summary of Historical Groundwater VOCs and SVOCs Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloropropane	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2-Butanone	4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	Acetone	Benzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Dibromochloromethane	Methylene Chloride	Toluene	Trichloroethene	Vinyl Chloride	Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2,4-Dimethylphenol	3 & 4 Dimethylphenol
ROD Cleanup (µg/L)		<0.5	<0.5	<10	<10	<5	<10	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<2	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<2	<10	<10
MCLs (µg/L)		5	5	75	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	1000	5	2	6	NC	NC
MW-110I																			
	5/5/03	<0.4	<0.4	**0.35 J**	<12	<1.2	<9.6	**0.78 J**	**1.17 J**	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**7.3**	<50.5	<19.8
	4/19/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**0.53**	**0.76 J**	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	4/19/06	<0.166	<0.34	<0.32	<3.8	<2	<7.6	**0.55 J**	<0.44	<0.3	<1	<0.174	<1	<1	<0.38	<0.44	<2.8	<3.6	<4.2
	4/24/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<40	<1.0	<0.8	<1.0	<0.6	<0.50	<2	<1.0	<1.0	<0.50	<6.2	<5.4	<4.6
MW-111S																			
	5/7/03	<0.4	<0.4	<8	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<6.1	<50.5	<19.8
	8/14/03	<0.4	<0.4	<7.4	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<6.2	<12.8	<5.4
	11/6/03	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<2	<1.6	<1.6	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4			
	11/7/03	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	<5.8	<4	<2.2
	2/27/04	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<25.0	<10.0	<25.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<5.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.8	<4	<2.2
	6/16/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	9/2/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	11/17/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	4/19/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	10/26/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2	<8.2	<9.2
	4/20/06	<0.166	<0.34	<0.32	<3.8	<2	<7.6	<0.28	<0.44	<0.3	<1	<0.174	<1	<1	<0.38	<0.44	<2.8	<3.6	<4.2
	11/1/06	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5	<3.6	<4.2

Table 4
Summary of Historical Groundwater VOCs and SVOCs Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloropropane	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2-Butanone	4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	Acetone	Benzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Dibromochloromethane	Methylene Chloride	Toluene	Trichloroethene	Vinyl Chloride	Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2,4-Dimethylphenol	3 & 4 Dimethylphenol
ROD Cleanup (µg/L)		<0.5	<0.5	<10	<10	<5	<10	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<2	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<2	<10	<10
MCLs (µg/L)		5	5	75	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	1000	5	2	6	NC	NC
MW-111S																			
	4/18/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.2	<3.8	<4.6
	10/18/07	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<1.62	<0.98	<4.6	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<5	<3	<2.4
	4/24/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<40	<1.0	<0.8	<1.0	<0.6	<0.50	<2	<1.0	<1.0	<0.50	<6.4	<5.4	<4.6
MW-111I																			
	5/7/03	<0.4	<0.4	<8	<12	<1.2	<9.6	**1.02**	**4.29**	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**0.29 J**	<0.4	**0.88 J**	<0.4	<6	<50	<19.6
	8/15/03	<0.4	<0.4	<7.8	<12	<1.2	<9.6	**0.87 J**	**3.57**	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**0.29 J**	<0.4	**0.84 J**	<0.4	**17.2**	<13.4	<5.6
	6/16/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**0.69**	**3.5**	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	**0.62**	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	4/19/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**0.53**	**2.7**	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	**0.64**	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	4/20/06	<0.166	<0.34	<0.32	<3.8	<2	<7.6	<0.28	**2.2 ***	<0.3	<1	<0.174	<1	<1	<0.38	<0.44	<2.8	<3.6	<4.2
	4/24/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<40	<1.0	**1.4 J ***	<1.0	<0.6	<0.50	<2	<1.0	<1.0	<0.50	<6.6	<5.8	<4.8
MW-111B																			
	5/7/03	<0.4	<0.4	<8	<12	<1.2	<9.6	**0.45 J**	**1.92 J**	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**0.8 J**	<0.4	<6	<50	<19.6
	8/14/03	<0.4	<0.4	<7.2	<12	<1.2	<9.6	**0.55 J**	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**1.12**	<0.4	<6.1	<12.6	<5.2
	11/6/03	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<2	<1.6	<1.6	**0.29 J**	**1.57 J**	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**0.7 J**	<0.4	<5.8	<4	<2.2
	2/27/04	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<25.0	<10.0	<25.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<5.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.8	<4	<2.2

Table 4
Summary of Historical Groundwater VOCs and SVOCs Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloropropane	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2-Butanone	4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	Acetone	Benzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Dibromochloromethane	Methylene Chloride	Toluene	Trichloroethene	Vinyl Chloride	Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2,4-Dimethylphenol	3 & 4 Dimethylphenol
ROD Cleanup (µg/L)		<0.5	<0.5	<10	<10	<5	<10	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<2	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<2	<10	<10
MCLs (µg/L)		5	5	75	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	1000	5	2	6	NC	NC
MW-111B																			
	6/16/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	9/2/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	**2.1**	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	**1.0**	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	11/17/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	**1.9**	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	**1.2**	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	4/19/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**0.60**	**2.2**	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	**1.4**	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	10/26/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**0.7**	**3.1**	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	**1.6**	<0.8	<2	<8.2	<9.2
	4/20/06	<0.166	<0.34	<0.32	<3.8	<2	<7.6	<0.28	**1.6 J ***	<0.3	<1	<0.174	<1	<1	**0.84 J**	<0.44	<2.8	<3.6	<4.2
	11/1/06	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	**0.88 J ***	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	**0.85 J**	<1.0	<4.8	<3.6	<4.2
	4/18/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5	<3.8	<4.4
	10/18/07	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<1.62	<0.98	<4.6	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**0.39 J**	<0.4	<5	<3	<2.4
	4/24/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<40	<1.0	<0.8	<1.0	<0.6	<0.50	<2	<1.0	<1.0	<0.50	<6.4	<5.4	<4.6
MW-112S																			
	5/6/03	<0.4	<0.4	<8.2	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<6.2	<51.5	<20.2
	8/13/03	<0.4	<0.4	<7.4	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**6.8**	<12.6	<5.4
	11/5/03	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<2	<1.6	<1.6	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<5.8	<4	<2.2
	2/27/04	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<25.0	<10.0	<25.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<5.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.8	<4	<2.2
	6/16/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	9/1/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2

Table 4
Summary of Historical Groundwater VOCs and SVOCs Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloropropane	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2-Butanone	4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	Acetone	Benzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Dibromochloromethane	Methylene Chloride	Toluene	Trichloroethene	Vinyl Chloride	Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2,4-Dimethylphenol	3 & 4 Dimethylphenol
ROD Cleanup (µg/L)		<0.5	<0.5	<10	<10	<5	<10	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<2	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<2	<10	<10
MCLs (µg/L)		5	5	75	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	1000	5	2	6	NC	NC
MW-112S																			
	11/16/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	4/18/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	10/26/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2	<8.2	<9.2
	4/18/06	<0.166	<0.34	<0.32	<3.8	<2	<7.6	<0.28	<0.44	<0.3	<1	<0.174	<1	<1	<0.38	<0.44	<2.8	<3.6	<4.2
	11/1/06	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.2	<3.8	<4.6
	4/18/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.2	<3.8	<4.4
	10/17/07	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<1.62	<0.98	<4.6	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**0.38 J**	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<5.4	<3.2	<2.6
	4/23/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<40	<1.0	<0.8	<1.0	<0.6	<0.50	<2	<1.0	<1.0	<0.50	<6.2	<5.4	<4.4
MW-112B																			
	5/5/03	<0.4	<0.4	<8.4	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<6.3	<52.6	<20.6
	8/13/03	<0.4	<0.4	<7.4	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<6.1	<12.6	<5.4
	11/5/03	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<2	<1.6	<1.6	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<5.8	<4	<2.2
	2/27/04	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<25.0	<10.0	<25.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<5.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.8	<4	<2.2
	6/16/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	9/1/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	11/17/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	4/18/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2

Table 4
Summary of Historical Groundwater VOCs and SVOCs Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloropropane	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2-Butanone	4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	Acetone	Benzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Dibromochloromethane	Methylene Chloride	Toluene	Trichloroethene	Vinyl Chloride	Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2,4-Dimethylphenol	3 & 4 Dimethylphenol
ROD Cleanup (µg/L)		<0.5	<0.5	<10	<10	<5	<10	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<2	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<2	<10	<10
MCLs (µg/L)		5	5	75	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	1000	5	2	6	NC	NC
MW-112B																			
	10/26/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2	<8.2	<9.2
	4/18/06	<0.166	<0.34	<0.32	<3.8	<2	<7.6	<0.28	<0.44	<0.3	<1	<0.174	<1	<1	<0.38	<0.44	<2.8	<3.6	<4.2
	11/1/06	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5	<3.8	<4.4
	4/18/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<4.8	<3.6	<4.2
	10/17/07	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<1.62	<0.98	<4.6	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<5	<3	<2.4
	4/23/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<40	<1.0	<0.8	<1.0	<0.6	<0.50	<2	<1.0	<1.0	<0.50	<6.4	<5.4	<4.6
MW-113S																			
	5/6/03	<0.4	<0.4	<8	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<6.1	<50.5	<19.8
	8/14/03	<0.4	<0.4	<7.4	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<6.1	<12.6	<5.4
	11/5/03	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<2	<1.6	<1.6	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<5.8	<4	<2.2
	2/27/04	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<25.0	<10.0	<25.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<5.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.8	<4	<2.2
	6/18/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	9/2/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	11/17/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	4/19/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	10/27/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2	<8.2	<9.2
	4/20/06	<0.166	<0.34	<0.32	<3.8	<2	<7.6	<0.28	<0.44	<0.3	<1	<0.174	<1	<1	<0.38	<0.44	<2.8	<3.6	<4.2

Table 4
Summary of Historical Groundwater VOCs and SVOCs Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloropropane	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2-Butanone	4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	Acetone	Benzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Dibromochloromethane	Methylene Chloride	Toluene	Trichloroethene	Vinyl Chloride	Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2,4-Dimethylphenol	3 & 4 Dimethylphenol
ROD Cleanup (µg/L)		<0.5	<0.5	<10	<10	<5	<10	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<2	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<2	<10	<10
MCLs (µg/L)		5	5	75	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	1000	5	2	6	NC	NC
MW-113S																			
	11/2/06	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.2	<3.8	<4.4
	4/18/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.4	<4	<4.6
	10/18/07	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<1.62	<0.98	<4.6	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<5.4	<3.2	<2.6
	4/24/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<40	<1.0	<0.8	<1.0	<0.6	<0.50	<2	<1.0	<1.0	<0.50	<6.6	<5.6	<4.8
MW-113B																			
	5/5/03	<0.4	<0.4	<8	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<6	<50	<19.6
	8/28/03	<0.4	<0.4	<7.2	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<6	<12.4	<5.2
	11/5/03	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<2	<1.6	<1.6	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	**0.78 J**	<0.4	<0.4	**17**	<4	<2.2
	2/27/04	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<25.0	<10.0	<25.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<5.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.8	<4	<2.2
	6/18/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	**3.3**	<8.2	<9.2
	9/2/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	11/17/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	**10**	<8.2	<9.2
	4/19/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	10/27/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2	<8.2	<9.2
	4/20/06	<0.166	<0.34	<0.32	<3.8	<2	<7.6	<0.28	<0.44	<0.3	<1	<0.174	<1	<1	<0.38	<0.44	<2.8	<3.6	<4.2
	11/2/06	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	**4.7 J**	<3.8	**36**
	4/18/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	**5.6**	<1.0	<1.0	**7.5 J**	<4	<4.6

Table 4
Summary of Historical Groundwater VOCs and SVOCs Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloropropane	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2-Butanone	4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	Acetone	Benzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Dibromochloromethane	Methylene Chloride	Toluene	Trichloroethene	Vinyl Chloride	Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2,4-Dimethylphenol	3 & 4 Dimethylphenol
ROD Cleanup (µg/L)		<0.5	<0.5	<10	<10	<5	<10	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<2	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<2	<10	<10
MCLs (µg/L)		5	5	75	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	1000	5	2	6	NC	NC
MW-113B																			
	4/24/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<40	<1.0	<0.8	<1.0	<0.6	<0.50	<2	<1.0	<1.0	<0.50	**6.9 J**	<5.4	<4.6
MW-115S																			
	5/2/03	<0.4	<0.4	<8.2	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**7.6**	<51	<20
	8/12/03	<0.4	<0.4	<8	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<6	<50	<19.6
	11/4/03	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<2	<1.6	<1.6	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<5.8	<4	<2.2
	2/25/04	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<25.0	<10.0	<25.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<5.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.8	<4	<2.2
	6/15/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	8/31/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	11/16/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	4/18/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	10/24/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2	<8.2	<9.2
	4/18/06	<0.166	<0.34	<0.32	<3.8	<2	<7.6	<0.28	<0.44	<0.3	<1	<0.174	<1	<1	<0.38	<0.44	<2.8	<3.6	<4.2
	10/31/06	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<4.8	<3.6	<4.2
	4/17/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5	<3.8	<4.4
	10/16/07	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<1.62	<0.98	<4.6	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<5	<3	<2.4
	4/22/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<40	<1.0	<0.8	<1.0	<0.6	<0.50	<2	<1.0	<1.0	<0.50	<6.2	<5.4	<4.4

Table 4
Summary of Historical Groundwater VOCs and SVOCs Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloropropane	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2-Butanone	4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	Acetone	Benzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Dibromochloromethane	Methylene Chloride	Toluene	Trichloroethene	Vinyl Chloride	Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2,4-Dimethylphenol	3 & 4 Dimethylphenol
ROD Cleanup (µg/L)		<0.5	<0.5	<10	<10	<5	<10	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<2	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<2	<10	<10
MCLs (µg/L)		5	5	75	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	1000	5	2	6	NC	NC
MW-115B																			
	5/1/03	<0.4	<0.4	<8	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**6.6**	<50	<19.6
	8/12/03	<0.4	<0.4	<8.6	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<6.4	<53.2	<20.8
	11/4/03	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<2	<1.6	<1.6	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**11 J**	<4	<2.2
	2/25/04	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<25.0	<10.0	<25.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<5.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.8	<4	<2.2
	6/15/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	8/31/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	11/16/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	**180**
	4/18/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	10/24/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2	<8.2	<9.2
	4/18/06	<0.166	<0.34	<0.32	<3.8	<2	<7.6	<0.28	<0.44	<0.3	<1	<0.174	<1	<1	<0.38	<0.44	<2.8	<3.6	<4.2
	10/31/06	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5	<3.8	<4.4
	4/17/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.2	<3.8	<4.4
	10/16/07	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<1.62	<0.98	<4.6	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<5	<3	<2.4
	4/22/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<40	<1.0	<0.8	<1.0	<0.6	<0.50	<2	<1.0	<1.0	<0.50	<6.4	<5.6	<4.6
MW-117S																			

Table 4
Summary of Historical Groundwater VOCs and SVOCs Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloropropane	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2-Butanone	4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	Acetone	Benzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Dibromochloromethane	Methylene Chloride	Toluene	Trichloroethene	Vinyl Chloride	Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2,4-Dimethylphenol	3 & 4 Dimethylphenol
ROD Cleanup (µg/L)		<0.5	<0.5	<10	<10	<5	<10	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<2	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<2	<10	<10
MCLs (µg/L)		5	5	75	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	1000	5	2	6	NC	NC
MW-117S																			
	8/13/03	<0.4	<0.4	<7.4	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**21.4**	<12.6	<5.4
MW-117B																			
	8/13/03	<0.4	<0.4	<7.2	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**118**	<12.6	<5.2
MW-118S																			
	8/13/03	<0.4	<0.4	<7.2	<12	<1.2	<9.6	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<6	<12.4	<5.2
MW-120S																			
	8/14/03	<0.4	<0.4	<7.2	<12	<1.2	<9.6	**0.29 J**	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<6.1	<12.6	<5.2
	11/6/03	<0.4	<0.4	**0.26 J**	<2	<1.6	<1.6	**0.33 J**	**0.84 J**	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<5.8	<4	<2.2
	2/26/04	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<25.0	<10.0	<25.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<2.0	<1.0	<5.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.8	<4	<2.2
	6/17/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	9/2/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	11/17/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	4/19/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	**0.78 J**	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	10/27/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	<0.36	<0.8	<2	<8.2	<9.2

Table 4
Summary of Historical Groundwater VOCs and SVOCs Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloropropane	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2-Butanone	4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	Acetone	Benzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Dibromochloromethane	Methylene Chloride	Toluene	Trichloroethene	Vinyl Chloride	Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2,4-Dimethylphenol	3 & 4 Dimethylphenol
ROD Cleanup (µg/L)		<0.5	<0.5	<10	<10	<5	<10	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<2	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<2	<10	<10
MCLs (µg/L)		5	5	75	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	1000	5	2	6	NC	NC
MW-120S																			
	4/19/06	<0.166	<0.34	<0.32	<3.8	<2	<7.6	<0.28	<0.44	<0.3	<1	<0.174	<1	<1	<0.38	<0.44	<2.8	<3.6	<4.2
	11/1/06	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5	<3.8	<4.4
	4/19/07	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<50	<50	<64	<5.0	<5.4	<4.6	<6.2	<2.5	<5	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<4.8	<3.6	<4.2
	10/17/07	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<1.62	<0.98	<4.6	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<5.4	<3.4	<2.6
	4/23/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<40	<1.0	<0.8	<1.0	<0.6	<0.50	<2	<1.0	<1.0	<0.50	<6.4	<5.4	<4.6
MW-120B																			
	8/14/03	<0.4	<0.4	<7.4	<12	<1.2	<9.6	**0.78 J**	**1.27 J**	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**0.39 J**	<0.4	**3.35**	<0.4	<6.2	<12.8	<5.4
	11/5/03	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**3.41 J**	<1.6	<1.6	**0.37 J**	**0.98 J**	<0.4	<0.8	<0.4	**0.28 J**	<0.4	**1.76**	<0.4	<5.8	<4	<2.2
	6/17/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	**18**	<4.8	<9.2	<0.14	<0.76	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	**1.5**	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	9/2/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	**16**	<4.8	<9.2	**0.71**	**2.1**	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	**1.8**	<0.8	**5.8**	<8.2	<9.2
	11/17/04	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	**11**	<4.8	<9.2	**0.59**	**1.3**	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	**1.7**	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	4/19/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**0.65**	**1.2**	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	**2.0**	<0.8	<2.0	<8.2	<9.2
	10/27/05	<0.32	<0.18	<0.36	<3.2	<4.8	<9.2	**0.7**	**1.3**	<0.32	<0.22	<0.26	<0.32	<0.32	**2**	<0.8	<2	<8.2	<9.2
	4/19/06	<0.166	<0.34	<0.32	<3.8	<2	<7.6	**0.55 J**	**1.0 J ***	<0.3	<1	<0.174	<1	<1	**1.5**	<0.44	<2.8	<3.6	<4.2
	11/1/06	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	**1.2**	<1.0	<4.8	<3.6	<4.2
	4/19/07	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<13	<1.0	<1.08	<0.94	<1.26	<0.50	<0.98	<1.0	**0.67 J**	<1.0	<5.2	<3.8	<4.4
	10/17/07	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<1.62	<0.98	<4.6	**0.52 J**	**0.89 J**	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	**1.1**	<0.4	<5.8	<3.4	<2.6

Table 4
Summary of Historical Groundwater VOCs and SVOCs Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	1,2-Dichloroethane	1,2-Dichloropropane	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2-Butanone	4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	Acetone	Benzene	Chloroethane	Chloroform	Chloromethane	Dibromochloromethane	Methylene Chloride	Toluene	Trichloroethene	Vinyl Chloride	Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2,4-Dimethylphenol	3 & 4 Dimethylphenol
ROD Cleanup (µg/L)		<0.5	<0.5	<10	<10	<5	<10	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<1	<0.5	<2	<0.5	<0.5	<1	<2	<10	<10
MCLs (µg/L)		5	5	75	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	1000	5	2	6	NC	NC
MW-120B																			
	4/23/08	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<10	<10	<40	<1.0	<0.8	<1.0	<0.6	<0.50	<2	<1.0	**0.99 J**	<0.50	<6.2	<5.4	<4.4

Notes:

< = Non detected values presented as two times the MDL if a paramater has an MDL value and is not an inorganic compound, otherwise value is presented as the reporting limit (RL).
Bolded value = A detected result
J = Reported result below RL, estimated value
MDL = Method detection limit
µg/L= Micrograms per liter
-- = Not analyzed

Well Depths:
B = Shallow bedrock
D = Deep bedrock
I = Intermediate bedrock
R = Shallow bedrock
S = Overburden well

Table 5
Summary of Historical Groundwater Metals Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	Arsenic	Chromium	Lead	Manganese
ROD Cleanup (mg/L)		0.005	0.050	0.003	0.050
MCLs (mg/L)		0.010	0.1	0.015	NC
MW-1S					
	05/05/2003	**0.012**	<0.02	<0.005	**0.07**
	08/12/2003	**0.014**	<0.02	<0.005	**0.08**
	11/04/2003	**0.024**	**0.012**	**0.041**	**0.07**
	02/26/2004	**0.011**	**0.01 J**	**0.0031 J**	**0.067**
	06/16/2004	<0.005	**0.006**	**0.004**	**0.071**
	09/01/2004	**0.008**	<0.005	<0.003	**0.052**
	11/16/2004	**0.01**	<0.005	<0.005	**0.058**
	04/18/2005	**0.008**	<0.005	<0.005	**0.064**
	10/24/2005	**0.015**	**0.007**	<0.005	**0.057**
	04/18/2006	**0.022**	**0.009**	<0.001	**0.055**
	10/31/2006	**0.024**	**0.0091**	**0.001**	**0.075**
	04/17/2007	**0.014**	**0.0038 J**	**0.00097 J**	**0.048**
	10/16/2007	**0.011**	**0.0032 J**	<0.001	**0.05**
	04/22/2008	**0.0077 J**	**0.0016 J**	**0.0012**	**0.054**
MW-4S					
	05/05/2003	**0.012**	<0.02	<0.005	**6.46**
	08/12/2003	**0.01**	<0.02	<0.005	**6.55**
	11/04/2003	**0.014**	**0.0014 J**	**0.0078 J**	**6.5**
	06/15/2004	**0.009**	**0.0015 B**	**0.003 B**	**6**
	04/19/2005	**0.01**	<0.005	<0.005	**1.1**
	10/24/2005	**0.012**	<0.005	<0.005	**0.77**
	04/18/2006	**0.0085 J**	**0.0029 J**	<0.001	**1**
	10/31/2006	**0.0076 J**	**0.0027 J**	<0.001	**1.2**
	10/16/2007	**0.026**	**0.0025 J**	**0.0042**	**1.3**
	04/22/2008	**0.012**	**0.0007 J**	**0.0014**	**0.96**
MW-4R					
	04/22/2008	**0.007 J**	**0.0011 J**	**0.0021**	**4.6**
MW-5S					
	05/07/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**0.85**
	08/14/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**0.83**
	11/06/2003	<0.01	<0.01	**0.0026 J**	**0.98**
	02/26/2004	<0.01	<0.01	**0.006 J**	**0.81**
	06/16/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.003	**0.97**
	09/02/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.003	**0.79**
	11/17/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	**0.7**
	04/19/2005	<0.01	<0.005	<0.005	**1.2**
	10/26/2005	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	**0.73**
	04/19/2006	**0.038**	**0.0017 J**	<0.001	**0.96**
	11/01/2006	<0.01	**0.00067 J**	<0.001	**0.89**
	04/19/2007	<0.01	<0.005	<0.001	**0.56**
	10/17/2007	<0.01	**0.0013 J**	**0.00046 J**	**1.8**
	04/23/2008	<0.01	<0.005	**0.00078 J**	**1.9**

Table 5
Summary of Historical Groundwater Metals Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	Arsenic	Chromium	Lead	Manganese
ROD Cleanup (mg/L)		0.005	0.050	0.003	0.050
MCLs (mg/L)		0.010	0.1	0.015	NC
MW-5B					
	05/06/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**3.54**
	08/14/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**3.44**
	11/06/2003	<0.01	**0.0009 J**	<0.02	**3.2**
	02/26/2004	<0.01	<0.01	**0.0031 J**	**3**
	06/17/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.003	**0.32**
	09/02/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.003	**2.9**
	11/17/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	**2.7**
	04/19/2005	<0.01	<0.005	<0.005	**2.8**
	10/26/2005	**0.0023**	<0.005	<0.005	**2.8**
	04/19/2006	**0.021**	**0.0024 J**	**0.0011**	**2.8**
	11/01/2006	<0.01	**0.0029 J**	<0.001	**2.6**
	04/19/2007	**0.0025 J**	**0.00074 J**	**0.001**	**2.8**
	10/17/2007	<0.01	**0.00074 J**	**0.00051 J**	**2.7**
	04/23/2008	<0.01	<0.005	**0.00054 J**	**2.9**
S-3					
	05/02/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**1.97**
	08/12/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**2.03**
	08/14/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**3.26**
	11/04/2003	<0.01	**0.0006 J**	<0.02	**1.7**
	02/26/2004	**0.0097 J**	**0.0011 J**	<0.02	**0.64**
	06/15/2004	**0.01**	<0.005	**0.003 B**	**3.9**
	06/17/2004	<0.005	**0.0026 B**	**0.003 B**	**3.5**
	08/31/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.003	**3.6**
	09/02/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.003	**1.3**
	11/16/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	**2.7**
	04/18/2005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	**1.8**
	10/24/2005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	**0.98**
	04/18/2006	<0.01	**0.0015 J**	<0.001	**2.5**
	10/31/2006	<0.01	**0.00095 J**	<0.001	**1.5**
	04/17/2007	<0.01	**0.00052 J**	<0.001	**1.7**
	10/16/2007	<0.01	<0.005	<0.001	**2.8**
	04/22/2008	<0.01	<0.005	**0.00041 J**	**1.6**
MW-101S					
	05/07/2003	**0.017**	<0.02	<0.005	**0.09**
	08/15/2003	**0.013**	<0.02	<0.005	**0.09**
	11/06/2003	**0.017**	**0.0036 J**	**0.0065 J**	**0.077**
	06/17/2004	**0.013**	**0.0046 B**	<0.003	**0.096**
	09/02/2004	**0.012**	<0.005	<0.003	**0.073**
	11/18/2004	**0.012**	<0.005	<0.005	**0.073**
	04/20/2005	<0.01	<0.005	<0.005	**0.081**
	10/26/2005	**0.0028**	<0.005	<0.005	**6.4**
	04/20/2006	**0.017**	**0.0067**	<0.001	**0.084**

Table 5
Summary of Historical Groundwater Metals Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	Arsenic	Chromium	Lead	Manganese
ROD Cleanup (mg/L)		0.005	0.050	0.003	0.050
MCLs (mg/L)		0.010	0.1	0.015	NC
MW-101S					
	11/02/2006	**0.0088 J**	**0.0044 J**	<0.001	**0.079**
	04/19/2007	**0.008 J**	**0.0026 J**	**0.00066 J**	**0.082**
	10/18/2007	**0.0084 J**	**0.0029 J**	**0.00083 J**	**0.099**
	04/24/2008	**0.0063 J**	**0.0022 J**	**0.0011**	**0.083**
MW-101I					
	05/08/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**0.15**
	06/17/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.003	**0.034**
	04/20/2005	<0.01	<0.005	<0.005	**0.025**
	04/21/2006	**0.038**	**0.0012 J**	**0.0014**	**0.034**
	04/25/2008	<0.01	**0.00094 J**	**0.0012**	**0.059**
MW-101B					
	05/07/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**6**
	08/28/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**5.95**
	11/06/2003	<0.01	**0.0042 J**	**0.0057 J**	**5.1**
	02/27/2004	<0.01	**0.002 J**	**0.011 J**	**5.2**
	06/17/2004	<0.005	**0.0011 B**	<0.003	**5.4**
	09/02/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.003	**5.5**
	11/18/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	**5.2**
	04/20/2005	<0.01	<0.005	<0.005	**4.3**
	10/26/2005	**0.012**	<0.005	<0.005	**0.09**
	04/20/2006	<0.01	**0.0028 J**	<0.001	**4.8**
	11/02/2006	<0.01	**0.003 J**	<0.001	**5.1**
	04/19/2007	**0.0032 J**	**0.0013 J**	**0.001**	**6.1**
	10/18/2007	<0.01	**0.0012 J**	**0.0011**	**5.8**
	04/24/2008	<0.01	<0.005	**0.00092 J**	**5.3**
MW-101D					
	05/07/2003	--	--	--	--
	05/08/2003	<0.005	<0.02	**0.009**	**0.51**
MW-102S					
	05/01/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	<0.05
	08/12/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**0.04**
	11/04/2003	**0.014**	**0.0007 J**	<0.02	**0.0076 J**
	02/25/2004	<0.01	**0.0008 J**	<0.02	**0.023**
	06/15/2004	<0.005	<0.005	**0.003**	**0.011**
	08/31/2004	<0.005	<0.005	**0.005**	**0.29**
	11/16/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.01
	04/18/2005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.01
	10/24/2005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	**0.022**
	04/18/2006	**0.019**	**0.0015 J**	<0.001	**0.0036 J**
	10/31/2006	<0.01	**0.0032 J**	<0.001	**0.055**
	04/17/2007	<0.01	**0.00087 J**	<0.001	**0.0053 J**

Table 5
Summary of Historical Groundwater Metals Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	Arsenic	Chromium	Lead	Manganese
ROD Cleanup (mg/L)		0.005	0.050	0.003	0.050
MCLs (mg/L)		0.010	0.1	0.015	NC
MW-102S					
	10/16/2007	<0.01	**0.0012 J**	**0.00077 J**	**0.04**
	04/22/2008	<0.01	<0.005	<0.001	**0.01**
MW-102B					
	05/01/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**0.41**
	08/12/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**0.37**
	11/04/2003	<0.01	**0.0009 J**	<0.02	**0.17**
	02/25/2004	<0.01	**0.0005 J**	**0.0028 J**	**0.23**
	06/15/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.003	**0.4**
	08/31/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.003	**0.17**
	11/16/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	**0.37**
	04/18/2005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	**0.3**
	10/24/2005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	**0.26**
	04/18/2006	***0.035***	***0.0025 J***	*<0.001*	***0.049***
	10/31/2006	<0.01	**0.0034 J**	**0.0016**	**0.23**
	04/17/2007	**0.0023 J**	<0.005	**0.0024**	**0.45**
	10/16/2007	<0.01	<0.005	**0.0012**	**0.34**
	04/22/2008	<0.01	<0.005	**0.0029**	**0.58**
MW-103S					
	05/01/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	<0.05
	08/13/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	<0.02
	11/05/2003	<0.01	**0.0012 J**	<0.02	**0.022**
	02/26/2004	<0.01	**0.0011 J**	<0.02	**0.033**
	06/16/2004	<0.005	**0.0038 B**	<0.003	**0.06**
	08/31/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.003	**0.023**
	11/16/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	**0.026**
	04/18/2005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	**0.026**
	10/27/2005	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	**0.021**
	04/19/2006	<0.01	**0.0054**	**0.0019**	**0.078**
	10/31/2006	<0.01	**0.01**	**0.0032**	**0.2**
	04/18/2007	<0.01	**0.0012 J**	**0.00097 J**	**0.013**
	04/19/2007	**0.003 J**	**0.00073 J**	**0.00099 J**	**3**
	10/17/2007	<0.01	**0.0066**	**0.0028**	**0.15**
	10/18/2007	<0.01	**0.00078 J**	<0.001	**0.0026 J**
	04/23/2008	<0.01	**0.0029 J**	**0.0018**	**0.06**
MW-103B					
	05/01/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**0.12**
	08/13/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**0.21**
	11/05/2003	<0.01	**0.002 J**	<0.02	**0.23**
	02/26/2004	<0.01	**0.0005 J**	**0.0055 J**	**0.16**
	06/16/2004	<0.005	**0.0013 B**	<0.003	**0.23**
	08/31/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.003	**0.063**
	11/16/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	**0.027**

Table 5
Summary of Historical Groundwater Metals Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	Arsenic	Chromium	Lead	Manganese
ROD Cleanup (mg/L)		0.005	0.050	0.003	0.050
MCLs (mg/L)		0.010	0.1	0.015	NC
MW-103B					
	04/18/2005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	**0.052**
	10/27/2005	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	**0.055**
	04/19/2006	<0.01	**0.0041 J**	**0.0026**	**0.051**
	10/31/2006	<0.01	**0.0008 J**	<0.001	**0.14**
	04/18/2007	<0.01	**0.0019 J**	**0.0014**	**0.037**
	10/17/2007	<0.01	**0.0011 J**	**0.00058 J**	**0.09**
	04/23/2008	<0.01	**0.0015 J**	**0.00088 J**	**0.065**
MW-104S					
	05/05/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**0.31**
	11/05/2003	<0.01	**0.0011 J**	<0.02	**0.039**
	06/15/2004	<0.005	**0.001 B**	<0.003	**0.024**
	11/17/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.01	**0.01**
	04/19/2005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	**0.024**
	10/26/2005	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	**0.012**
	04/19/2006	<0.01	**0.0019 J**	<0.002	**0.0074 J**
	11/01/2006	<0.01	**0.0014 J**	<0.001	**0.039**
	04/18/2007	<0.01	**0.0017 J**	**0.0011**	**0.085**
	10/17/2007	<0.01	**0.0019 J**	**0.0013**	**0.19**
	04/23/2008	<0.01	**0.0011 J**	<0.001	**0.032**
MW-104I					
	05/05/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**0.08**
	06/15/2004	<0.005	**0.0012 B**	**0.003 B**	**0.094**
MW-104B					
	05/05/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**0.03**
	11/05/2003	<0.01	**0.0006 J**	<0.02	**0.1**
	06/15/2004	<0.005	**0.0014 B**	**0.002 B**	**0.027**
	11/17/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.01	**0.079**
	04/19/2005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.01
	10/26/2005	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	<0.01
	04/19/2006	<0.01	**0.0014 J**	<0.002	**0.011**
	11/01/2006	<0.01	**0.0034 J**	**0.0063**	**0.079**
	04/18/2007	<0.01	**0.0036 J**	**0.0042**	**0.056**
	10/17/2007	<0.01	**0.0013 J**	**0.0031**	**0.069**
	04/23/2008	<0.01	**0.00075 J**	**0.001**	**0.025**
MW-105S					
	08/13/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**0.04**
MW-105B					
	08/13/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**0.02**
MW-106S					
	05/02/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**0.39**

Table 5
Summary of Historical Groundwater Metals Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	Arsenic	Chromium	Lead	Manganese
ROD Cleanup (mg/L)		0.005	0.050	0.003	0.050
MCLs (mg/L)		0.010	0.1	0.015	NC
MW-106S					
	08/12/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**0.51**
	11/04/2003	<0.01	**0.0015 J**	<0.02	**0.34**
	02/26/2004	<0.01	**0.0007 J**	<0.02	**0.29**
	06/15/2004	<0.005	**0.0029 B**	**0.002 B**	**0.35**
	09/01/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.003	**0.34**
	11/16/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	**0.28**
	04/18/2005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	**0.23**
	10/24/2005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	**0.27**
	04/18/2006	**0.0046 J**	**0.0017 J**	<0.001	**0.17**
	10/31/2006	<0.01	**0.001 J**	<0.001	**0.2**
	04/17/2007	<0.01	**0.00069 J**	<0.001	**0.034**
	10/16/2007	<0.01	<0.005	<0.001	**0.31**
	04/22/2008	<0.01	**0.0012 J**	**0.00091 J**	**0.15**
MW-108B					
	08/13/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**0.04**
MW-109S					
	08/12/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	<0.02
MW-110I					
	05/05/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**4.8**
	04/19/2005	<0.005	<0.005	**0.012**	**4.4**
	04/19/2006	**0.033**	**0.003 J**	**0.015**	**5.1**
	04/24/2008	<0.01	<0.005	**0.0012**	**5**
MW-111S					
	05/07/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	<0.02
	08/14/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	<0.02
	11/06/2003	<0.01	**0.0009 J**	<0.02	**0.018**
	02/27/2004	<0.01	<0.01	**0.002 J**	**0.015**
	06/16/2004	<0.005	**0.0014 B**	<0.003	**0.032**
	09/02/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.003	**0.023**
	11/17/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	**0.027**
	04/19/2005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.01
	10/26/2005	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	**0.016**
	04/20/2006	**0.04**	**0.0015 J**	<0.001	**0.017**
	11/01/2006	<0.01	**0.00076 J**	<0.001	**0.026**
	04/18/2007	<0.01	**0.00091 J**	**0.00075 J**	**0.019**
	10/18/2007	<0.01	**0.00081 J**	<0.001	**0.0032 J**
	04/24/2008	<0.01	**0.00071 J**	**0.00062 J**	**0.0092 J**
MW-111I					
	05/07/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	<0.02
	08/15/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	<0.02
	06/16/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.003	**0.0041 B**

Table 5
Summary of Historical Groundwater Metals Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	Arsenic	Chromium	Lead	Manganese
ROD Cleanup (mg/L)		0.005	0.050	0.003	0.050
MCLs (mg/L)		0.010	0.1	0.015	NC
MW-111I					
	04/19/2005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.01
	04/20/2006	**0.017**	**0.0014 J**	<0.001	**0.0055 J**
	04/24/2008	<0.01	**0.00076 J**	**0.00095 J**	**0.018**
MW-111B					
	05/07/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**0.03**
	08/14/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**0.04**
	11/06/2003	<0.01	**0.0006 J**	<0.02	**0.03**
	02/27/2004	<0.01	**0.0006 J**	<0.02	**0.045**
	06/16/2004	<0.005	**0.003 B**	**0.004**	**0.048**
	09/02/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.003	**0.065**
	11/17/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	**0.077**
	04/19/2005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	**0.044**
	10/26/2005	**0.0013**	<0.005	<0.005	**0.025**
	04/20/2006	<0.01	**0.0014 J**	<0.001	**0.023**
	11/01/2006	<0.01	**0.003 J**	**0.0013**	**0.02**
	04/18/2007	<0.01	**0.00079 J**	<0.001	**0.015**
	10/18/2007	<0.01	**0.0011 J**	**0.0007 J**	**0.014**
	04/24/2008	<0.01	<0.005	**0.00087 J**	**0.019**
MW-112S					
	05/06/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**0.06**
	08/13/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**0.02**
	11/05/2003	<0.01	<0.01	<0.02	**0.01 J**
	02/27/2004	<0.01	**0.0077 J**	<0.02	**0.032**
	06/16/2004	<0.005	**0.0052**	<0.003	**0.039**
	09/01/2004	<0.005	**0.0079**	**0.004**	**0.1**
	11/16/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.01	**0.019**
	04/18/2005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.01
	10/26/2005	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	<0.01
	04/18/2006	**0.004 J**	**0.0025 J**	<0.002	**0.0075 J**
	11/01/2006	<0.01	**0.019**	**0.0019**	**0.19**
	04/18/2007	<0.01	**0.0023 J**	<0.001	**0.0096 J**
	10/17/2007	<0.01	**0.002 J**	**0.00038 J**	**0.0097 J**
	04/23/2008	<0.01	**0.0032 J**	**0.00085 J**	**0.018**
MW-112B					
	05/05/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	<0.02
	08/13/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	<0.02
	11/05/2003	<0.01	**0.0045 J**	<0.02	**0.0037 J**
	02/27/2004	<0.01	**0.0066 J**	<0.02	**0.022**
	06/16/2004	<0.005	**0.0049 B**	<0.003	**0.0062 B**
	09/01/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.003	<0.01
	11/17/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.01	<0.01
	04/18/2005	<0.005	**0.0062**	<0.005	<0.01

Table 5
Summary of Historical Groundwater Metals Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	Arsenic	Chromium	Lead	Manganese
ROD Cleanup (mg/L)		0.005	0.050	0.003	0.050
MCLs (mg/L)		0.010	0.1	0.015	NC
MW-112B					
	10/26/2005	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	<0.01
	04/18/2006	**0.0051 J**	**0.0042 J**	<0.002	**0.0035 J**
	11/01/2006	<0.01	**0.005 J**	<0.001	**0.0051 J**
	04/18/2007	<0.01	**0.0041 J**	**0.00074 J**	**0.006 J**
	10/17/2007	<0.01	**0.0041 J**	<0.001	**0.0049 J**
	04/23/2008	<0.01	**0.004 J**	<0.001	**0.0033 J**
MW-113S					
	05/06/2003	<0.005	<0.02	**0.005**	**0.02**
	08/14/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	<0.02
	11/05/2003	<0.01	**0.0016 J**	<0.02	**0.0024 J**
	02/27/2004	<0.01	**0.001 J**	<0.02	**0.0048 J**
	06/18/2004	<0.005	**0.0011 B**	<0.003	**0.0014 B**
	09/02/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.003	<0.01
	11/17/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.01	<0.01
	04/19/2005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.01
	10/27/2005	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	<0.01
	04/20/2006	<0.01	**0.0015 J**	<0.001	**0.0039 J**
	11/02/2006	<0.01	**0.0012 J**	<0.001	**0.0013 J**
	04/18/2007	<0.01	**0.00089 J**	**0.00089 J**	**0.0011 J**
	10/18/2007	<0.01	**0.00093 J**	**0.00053 J**	**0.0016 J**
	04/24/2008	<0.01	**0.00093 J**	**0.00066 J**	**0.0032 J**
MW-113B					
	05/05/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**0.04**
	08/28/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**0.03**
	11/05/2003	<0.01	**0.0016 J**	<0.02	**0.019**
	02/27/2004	<0.01	**0.001 J**	**0.0024 J**	**0.0085 J**
	06/18/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.003	**0.011**
	09/02/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.003	<0.01
	11/17/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.01	<0.01
	04/19/2005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.01
	10/27/2005	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	**0.02**
	04/20/2006	**0.0056 J**	**0.0046 J**	**0.0026**	**0.024**
	11/02/2006	<0.01	**0.0033 J**	<0.001	**0.0058 J**
	04/18/2007	<0.01	**0.0014 J**	**0.0013**	**0.0062 J**
	04/24/2008	<0.01	**0.0018 J**	**0.0016**	**0.0095 J**
MW-115S					
	05/02/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	<0.05
	08/12/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	<0.02
	11/04/2003	**0.0059 J**	**0.0009 J**	<0.02	**0.0044 J**
	02/25/2004	<0.01	**0.0006 J**	<0.02	**0.007 J**
	06/15/2004	<0.005	**0.0021 B**	**0.003**	**0.029**
	08/31/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.003	<0.01

Table 5
Summary of Historical Groundwater Metals Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	Arsenic	Chromium	Lead	Manganese
ROD Cleanup (mg/L)		0.005	0.050	0.003	0.050
MCLs (mg/L)		0.010	0.1	0.015	NC
MW-115S					
	11/16/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	**0.016**
	04/18/2005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	**0.013**
	10/24/2005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	**0.027**
	04/18/2006	**0.024**	**0.0021 J**	<0.001	**0.022**
	10/31/2006	<0.01	**0.0027 J**	<0.001	**0.012**
	04/17/2007	<0.01	**0.0015 J**	**0.0012**	**0.021**
	10/16/2007	<0.01	**0.0018 J**	**0.00099 J**	**0.039**
	04/22/2008	<0.01	**0.0012 J**	**0.00095 J**	**0.014**
MW-115B					
	05/01/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**0.07**
	08/12/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**0.13**
	11/04/2003	**0.01**	**0.0018 J**	<0.02	**0.066**
	02/25/2004	**0.012**	**0.0021 J**	**0.0026 J**	**0.075**
	06/15/2004	<0.005	**0.0011 B**	**0.005**	**0.048**
	08/31/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.003	**0.035**
	11/16/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	**0.13**
	04/18/2005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	**0.13**
	10/24/2005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	**0.12**
	04/18/2006	**0.011**	**0.0011 J**	<0.002	**0.085**
	10/31/2006	<0.01	**0.003 J**	<0.001	**0.026**
	04/17/2007	<0.01	**0.0011 J**	**0.0011**	**0.021**
	10/16/2007	<0.01	**0.0011 J**	**0.00099 J**	**0.011**
	04/22/2008	<0.01	**0.0011 J**	**0.0012**	**0.01**
MW-117S					
	08/13/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**0.74**
MW-117B					
	08/13/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	<0.02
MW-118S					
	08/13/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	<0.02
MW-120S					
	08/14/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	**3.15**
	11/06/2003	<0.01	**0.0011 J**	**0.0032 J**	**3.3**
	02/26/2004	<0.01	**0.0006 J**	**0.002 J**	**2.2**
	06/17/2004	<0.005	**0.0018 B**	**0.003 B**	**2.9**
	09/02/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.003	**1.5**
	11/17/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	**2.6**
	04/19/2005	<0.01	<0.005	<0.005	**2.9**
	10/27/2005	<0.001	<0.005	<0.005	**1.6**
	04/19/2006	**0.0056 J**	**0.0028 J**	<0.001	**2.4**
	11/01/2006	<0.01	**0.0028 J**	<0.001	**1.6**
	04/19/2007	<0.01	**0.00083 J**	<0.001	**2.4**

Table 5
Summary of Historical Groundwater Metals Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	Arsenic	Chromium	Lead	Manganese
ROD Cleanup (mg/L)		0.005	0.050	0.003	0.050
MCLs (mg/L)		0.010	0.1	0.015	NC
MW-120S					
	10/17/2007	<0.01	**0.00073 J**	**0.00051 J**	**0.81**
	04/23/2008	<0.01	<0.005	**0.00077 J**	**2.4**
MW-120B					
	08/14/2003	<0.005	<0.02	<0.005	<0.02
	11/05/2003	**0.0062 J**	**0.0016 J**	<0.02	**0.061**
	06/17/2004	<0.005	<0.005	**0.002 B**	**0.39**
	09/02/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.003	**0.46**
	11/17/2004	<0.005	<0.005	<0.01	**0.47**
	04/19/2005	<0.01	<0.005	<0.005	**0.47**
	10/27/2005	**0.0019**	<0.005	<0.005	**0.4**
	04/19/2006	**0.011**	**0.003 J**	<0.001	**0.41**
	11/01/2006	<0.01	**0.0021 J**	<0.001	**0.33**
	04/19/2007	<0.01	**0.00055 J**	**0.00046 J**	**0.34**
	10/17/2007	<0.01	<0.005	<0.001	**0.32**
	04/23/2008	<0.01	**0.0012 J**	**0.00099 J**	**0.33**

Notes:

< = Non detected values presented as two times the MDL if a paramater has an MDL value and is not an inorganic compound, otherwise value is presented as the reporting limit (RL).
Bolded value = A detected result
J = Reported result below RL, estimated value
MDL = Method detection limit
M = MS/MSD anomaly
S = Sample receiving anomaly
mg/L = Milligrams per liter
-- = Not Analyzed
NC = No Criteria Limit Available

Well Depths:
B = Shallow bedrock
D = Deep bedrock
I = Intermediate bedrock
R = Shallow bedrock
S = Overburden well

Table 6
Summary of Historical Seeps and Surface Water Metals Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	Arsenic	Aluminum	Barium	Cadmium	Chromium	Copper	Iron	Lead	Manganese	Zinc
Benchmark Criteria (mg/L)											
Surface Water		NC	0.087	0.0039	NC	NC	0.0027	1.0	0.0004	0.12	0.0365
Seeps		0.15	0.087	0.0039	0.0008	0.0238	0.029	1.0	0.0147	0.12	0.382
S3											
	11/07/2003	<0.01	**0.029 J**	**0.17**	<0.005	**0.0008 J**	<0.02	**41 M**	**0.0063 J**	**2.6**	**0.0044 J**
	11/18/2004	<0.005	<0.1	**0.012**	<0.001	<0.005	<0.01	**1.1**	<0.005	**0.18**	<0.04
	04/20/2005	<0.005	**0.065**	**0.011**	<0.001	<0.005	<0.01	**0.84**	<0.005	**0.14**	<0.04
	10/24/2005	<0.005	<0.05	<0.01	<0.001	<0.005	<0.01	**0.31**	<0.005	**0.061**	<0.04
	04/18/2006	<0.01	**0.2**	**0.21**	<0.001	**0.0026 J**	**0.0038 J**	**50**	<0.001	**2.3**	**0.0023 J**
	11/01/2006	<0.01	**3.5**	**0.095**	**0.00024 J**	**0.012**	**0.03**	**12**	**0.014**	**0.73**	**0.056**
	04/25/2008	**0.015**	**5.5**	**0.71**	**0.0067**	**0.021**	**0.03**	**420**	**0.013**	**2.5**	**0.048 J**
S6											
	08/14/2003	<0.005	**0.11**	**0.31**	<0.001	<0.02	<0.02	**138**	<0.005	**6.73**	<0.05
	11/07/2003	**0.0095 J**	**0.9**	**0.19**	**0.0005 J**	**0.0028 J**	**0.0038 J**	**77 M**	**0.015 J**	**5.5**	**0.3**
	06/17/2004	<0.005	**0.11**	**0.14**	<0.001	**0.0022 B**	<0.01	**24**	<0.003	**8.8**	**0.007 B**
	04/20/2005	<0.005	**0.13**	**0.013**	<0.001	<0.005	<0.01	**1.4**	<0.005	**0.2**	<0.04
	10/24/2005	<0.02	**13**	**1.1**	**0.0052**	**0.036**	**0.08**	**560**	**0.041**	**16**	<0.2
	04/20/2007	<0.2	**98**	**3.5**	**0.031**	**0.24**	**0.54**	**1900**	**0.18**	**44**	**1.1**
	04/25/2008	<0.01	**2.6**	**0.29**	**0.0016**	**0.0063**	**0.026**	**85**	**0.0078**	**17**	**0.034 J**
SW-3											
	08/15/2003	<0.005	--	<0.05	<0.001	<0.02	<0.02	**0.16**	<0.005	**0.03**	<0.05
	11/07/2003	**0.012**	**0.11**	**0.0072 J**	<0.005	**0.0006 J**	<0.02	**0.075 M**	<0.02	**0.017**	**0.0094 J**
	06/17/2004	<0.005	**0.058**	**0.007 B**	**0.0005 B**	<0.005	<0.01	**0.1**	**0.003**	**0.14**	**0.005 B**
	09/01/2004	<0.005	**0.74**	**0.015**	<0.001	<0.005	**0.017**	**1.6**	**0.003**	**1.2**	<0.04
	11/18/2004	<0.005	<0.1	<0.01	<0.001	<0.005	<0.01	**0.1**	<0.005	**0.045**	<0.04
	04/20/2005	<0.01	**0.39**	<0.01	<0.001	<0.005	<0.01	**0.38**	<0.005	**0.084**	<0.04
	10/24/2005	<0.005	**0.1**	<0.01	<0.001	<0.005	<0.01	**0.05**	<0.005	<0.01	<0.04
	04/18/2006	<0.01	**0.068 J**	**0.0081 J**	<0.001	**0.00084 J**	**0.0034 J**	**0.035 J**	<0.001	**0.0079 J**	**0.0068 J**
	11/01/2006	<0.01	**0.086 J**	**0.0064 J**	<0.001	**0.00065 J**	**0.0031 J**	**0.033 J**	<0.001	**0.0044 J**	<0.05
	04/20/2007	<0.01	**0.11**	**0.005 J**	<0.001	**0.00075 J**	**0.0041 J**	**0.023 J**	<0.001	**0.0026 J**	**0.0071 J**
	04/25/2008	<0.01	**0.11**	**0.0062 J**	<0.001	<0.005	**0.0005 J**	**0.11**	**0.00063 J**	**0.0093 J**	**0.0048 J**
SW-9											
	08/14/2003	<0.005	**0.52**	<0.05	<0.001	<0.02	<0.02	**5.3**	<0.005	**0.42**	<0.05
	11/07/2003	<0.01	**0.089 J**	**0.0098 J**	<0.005	<0.01	**0.0011 J**	**0.61 M**	<0.02	**0.099**	**0.01 J**
	02/25/2004	<0.01	**0.12**	**0.013 J**	<0.005	**0.0039 J**	**0.011 J**	**1.2**	<0.02	**0.23**	**0.048**
	06/17/2004	<0.005	<0.05	**0.036**	<0.001	<0.005	**0.001 B**	**4**	**0.003**	**0.75**	**0.006 B**
	09/01/2004	<0.005	<0.05	**0.047**	<0.001	<0.005	<0.01	**4.8**	<0.003	**0.87**	<0.04
	11/18/2004	<0.005	<0.1	**0.013**	<0.001	<0.005	<0.01	**1.3**	<0.005	**0.21**	<0.04
	04/20/2005	<0.01	**0.059**	<0.01	<0.001	<0.005	<0.01	**0.83**	<0.005	**0.15**	<0.04

Table 6
Summary of Historical Seeps and Surface Water Metals Results
Only chemicals of concern are reported

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Well Location	Sample Date	Arsenic	Aluminum	Barium	Cadmium	Chromium	Copper	Iron	Lead	Manganese	Zinc
Benchmark Criteria (mg/L)											
Surface Water		NC	0.087	0.0039	NC	NC	0.0027	1.0	0.0004	0.12	0.0365
Seeps		0.15	0.087	0.0039	0.0008	0.0238	0.029	1.0	0.0147	0.12	0.382
SW-9											
	10/24/2005	<0.005	**0.075**	<0.01	<0.001	<0.005	<0.01	**0.38**	<0.005	**0.077**	<0.04
	04/18/2006	**0.021**	**0.033 J**	**0.013**	<0.001	**0.00074 J**	**0.014**	**1**	<0.001	**0.23**	**0.0048 J**
	11/01/2006	<0.01	**0.041 J**	**0.012**	<0.001	**0.0022 J**	**0.013**	**0.67**	<0.001	**0.12**	**0.0072 J**
	04/20/2007	<0.01	**0.13**	**0.0085 J**	**0.00013 J**	**0.0011 J**	**0.013**	**0.36**	<0.001	**0.05**	**0.012 J**
	04/25/2008	<0.01	**0.023 J**	**0.013**	<0.001	<0.005	**0.00058 J**	**0.67**	<0.001	**0.12**	**0.0046 J**
SW-16											
	08/14/2003	<0.005	<0.1	<0.05	<0.001	<0.02	<0.02	**0.68**	<0.005	**0.2**	<0.05
	11/07/2003	<0.01	**0.085 J**	**0.008 J**	<0.005	**0.0007 J**	**0.0015 J**	**0.28 M**	<0.02	**0.058**	**0.01 J**
	02/25/2004	<0.01	**0.092 J**	**0.012 J**	<0.005	**0.0007 J**	**0.001 J**	**0.54**	**0.0028 J**	**0.15**	**0.014 J**
	06/17/2004	<0.005	<0.05	**0.013**	**0.0012**	<0.005	**0.001 B**	**0.97**	**0.008**	**0.33**	**0.004 B**
	09/01/2004	<0.005	**0.063**	**0.013**	<0.001	<0.005	<0.01	**1.6**	<0.003	**0.42**	<0.04
	11/18/2004	<0.005	<0.1	<0.01	<0.001	<0.005	<0.01	**0.92**	<0.005	**0.2**	<0.04
	04/20/2005	<0.01	**0.33**	<0.01	<0.001	<0.005	<0.01	**1.4**	<0.005	**0.15**	<0.04
	10/24/2005	<0.005	**0.091**	<0.01	<0.001	<0.005	<0.01	**0.22**	<0.005	**0.042**	<0.04
	04/18/2006	**0.011**	**0.04 J**	**0.0072 J**	<0.001	**0.001 J**	**0.0014 J**	**0.63**	<0.001	**0.16**	**0.0057 J**
	11/01/2006	<0.01	**0.075 J**	**0.0076 J**	<0.001	**0.0008 J**	**0.0023 J**	**0.48**	<0.001	**0.11**	<0.05
	04/20/2007	<0.01	**0.13**	**0.0085 J**	**0.00013 J**	**0.0011 J**	**0.013**	**0.36**	<0.001	**0.05**	**0.012 J**
	04/25/2008	<0.01	**0.042 J**	**0.0064 J**	<0.001	<0.005	**0.00063 J**	**0.39**	<0.001	**0.12**	<0.05

Notes:

< = Non detected values presented as two times the MDL if a paramater has an MDL value and is not an inorganic compound, otherwise value is presented as the reporting limit (RL).
Bolded value = A detected result
J = Reported result below RL, estimated value
MDL = Method detection limit
M = MS/MSD anomaly
S = Sample receiving anomaly
mg/L= Milligrams per liter
-- = Not Analyzed
NC = No Criteria Limit Available

S3 and S6 = Seeps
SW-3, SW-9, SW-16 = Surface Water Samples

Table 7
Summary of Historical Sediment Metals Results
Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

Concentrations in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg)

Location	Sample Date	Barium		Chromium		Copper		Iron		Lead		Manganese		Nickle		Zinc	
Sediment Benchmark Concentrations (mg/kg)		**TEC**	**PEC**	**TEC**	**PEC**	**TEC**	**PEC**	**TEC**	**PEC**	**TEC**	**PEC**	**TEC**	**PEC**	**TEC**	**PEC**	**TEC**	**PEC**
		40	40	43.4	111	31.6	149	20000	40000	35.8	128	460	1100	22.8	48.6	121	459
Sed-3 (upstream)	6/17/2004	**160**		39		18		33000		55		**3400**		25		140	
	4/20/2005	**130**		33		<14		32000		62		**4000**		22		130	
	4/18/2006	**180**		**57**		17		37000		56		**4100**		31		150	
	4/20/2007	**130**		35		17		27000		31		**4100**		23		88	
	4/25/2008	**120**		31		17		32000		50		**3400**		22		110	
Sed-16 (mid-stream)	6/17/2004	**53**		10		7.6		16000		6.4		730		7.9		34	
	4/20/2005	37		6.9		6.6		10000		6		600		5.6		25	
	4/18/2006	**43**		**340**		51		11000		9		200		85		33	
	4/20/2007	32		10		5.9		15000		1.9		190		5.5		19	
Dup	4/20/2007	**48**		15		9.4		9300		11		690		7.2		35	
	4/25/2008	38		8.4		14		10000		1.6		320		6.5		24	
Sed-9 (downstream	6/17/2004	34		25		17		19000		22		300		9.1		31	
	4/20/2005	37		10		12		14000		4.4		400		6.7		29	
	4/18/2006	28		11		5.1		10000		4.8		360		5.5		26	
	4/20/2007	**42**		18		7.7		13000		6.1		400		6.9		31	
	4/25/2008	28		8.1		4.3		10000		2.9		260		4.5		23	

Notes:

Bold value indicates concentration above benchmark concentration as presented in the ROD.

Table 8
Summary of Chemicals of Concern Analtyical Results - 2003 to 2008
VOCs and SVOCs in Groundwater

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

VOC of Concern	1,2-Dichloropropane			4-Methyl-2-pentanone			Acetone			Chloroform			Chloromethane			2-Butanone			1,2-Dichloroethane			1,4-Dichlorobenzene			Benzene		
ROD Cleanup (ug/L)	0.5			5			10			0.5			1			10			0.5			10			0.5		
MCL (ug/L)	5			NC			700			NC			2.7			NC			1			75			5		
Well ID	Min	Max	%Detect	Min	Max	%Detect	Min	Max	%Detect	Min	Max	%Detect	Min	Max	%Detect	Min	Max	%Detect	Min	Max	%Detect	Min	Max	%Detect	Min	Max	%Detect
MW-1S	0.64	0.64	7	0.88	0.88	7	11	11	7	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	0.53	0.67	13	3.8	6.1	61	7.9	13.7	93
MW-4S	0.61	0.61	10	ND	ND	---	5.87	5.87	10	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	0.68	0.68	10	0.79	1.43	50	2.5	6.39	70
MW-4R	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-5S	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	0.51	0.56	19	0.38	1.3	47	1.01	3.5	69
MW-5B	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	0.2	0.2	6	0.61	1.3	79
MW-101S	1.34	1.34	8	57.3	57.3	8	33.3	33.3	8	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	26.1	26.1	8	ND	ND	---	2.8	16	60	5	14	69
MW-101B	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	3.7	27.8	8	ND	ND	---	0.41	15	29	0.37	13	56
MW-101I	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-101D	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-102S	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	31	31	7	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-102B	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	0.25	0.8	33	0.36	0.87	56	0.59	1.19	60
MW-103S	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	3.7	3.7	4	ND	ND	---	0.53	0.53	4	0.37	2.1	16
MW-103B	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	0.22	0.25	13
MW-104S	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-104B	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-101I	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-105S	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-105B	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-106S	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	0.47	0.47	7	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-108B	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-109S	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-110I	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	0.35	0.35	17	0.53	0.78	80
MW-111S	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	0.79	0.79	4	0.76	2.2	7	0.24	3	15
MW-111B	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	0.29	0.7	36
MW-111I	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	0.53	1.02	67
MW-112S	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	0.38	0.38	7	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-112B	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	0.79	0.79	4	0.76	2.2	7	0.24	3	16
MW-113S	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-113B	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-115S	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	0.24	0.24	4	0.37	0.53	7	0.59	0.59	4
MW-115B	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-117S	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-117B	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-118S	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-120S	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	0.26	0.26	7	0.29	0.33	15
MW-120B	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	3.41	18	33	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	0.37	0.78	67
S-3	0.54	0.54	6	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	0.5	0.91	17.647059	0.52	2.3	55	0.55	3.1	76
Number of Wells with Detections	4			2			4			1			1			4			8			14			18		
Percent of Wells with Detections	11			5			11			3			3			11			22			38			49		
Maximum Observed Concentration	1.34			57.3			33.3			0.47			0.38			27.8			0.91			16			14		
Date of Maximum Concentration Detected	11/6/2003			11/6/2003			11/6/2003			11/4/2003			10/17/2007			6/17/2004			6/15/2004			10/18/2007			11/6/2003		

Notes
All concentrations reported in ug/L
ROD Cleanup - background concentration from Record of Decision (ROD)
ND - Non Detect
Min - Minimum
Max - Maximum
% Detect - Percent Detected in well
MCL - USEPA Maximum Contaminant Level for drinking water
NC - No Concentration Set

Table 8
Summary of Chemicals of Concern Analtyical Results - 2003 to 2008
VOCs and SVOCs in Groundwater

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

VOC of Concern	Chloroethane			Methylene Chloride			Toluene			Trichloroethane			Vinyl chloride			Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate			2,4-Dimethylphenol			3 & 4 Methylphenol		
ROD Cleanup (ug/L)	1			2			0.5			0.5			1			2			10			10		
MCL (ug/L)	NC			5			1000			5			2			6			NC			NC		
Well ID	Min	Max	%Detect	Min	Max	%Detect	Min	Max	%Detect	Min	Max	%Detect	Min	Max	%Detect	Min	Max	%Detect	Min	Max	%Detect	Min	Max	%Detect
MW-1S	2.8	9.17	53	0.21	3.2	13	5.1	12	67	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	3.6	12.4	20	140	895	83	ND	ND	---
MW-4S	0.88	7.45	50	0.2	0.26	20	1.41	4.38	40	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	4	4	10	6.4	6.4	10	ND	ND	---
MW-4R	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	3.4	3.4	100	ND	ND	---
MW-5S	1.3	4.5	56	ND	ND	---	0.5	15.4	38	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	3.7	21.1	44	ND	ND	---
MW-5B	0.65	0.87	14	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	0.53	0.82	57	0.23	0.41	14	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-101S	3.34	3.34	8	1.16	1.16	8	31	12000	100	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	5.4	13.1	15	19	1300	85	63.1	130	23
MW-101B	1.37	3.1	12	0.29	0.29	4	0.49	55	20	1.72	3.29	8	ND	ND	---	14.4	14.4	4	2.6	1000	25	ND	ND	---
MW-101I	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	9.2	9.2	20	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-101D	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-102S	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	11.4	11.4	7	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-102B	1	2.5	60	0.4	0.44	27	1	1	7	1	1	7	2	2	7	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-103S	1.37	3.1	12	0.29	0.29	4	1.1	1.1	4	1.72	3.29	8	ND	ND	---	9.7	14.4	8	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-103B	ND	ND	---	0.6	0.62	13	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	3.7	16.3	20	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-104S	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-104B	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	3.8	13	36	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-101I	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	9.2	9.2	20	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-105S	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-105B	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	4.1	4.1	100	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-106S	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	0.82	0.82	7	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	7.1	7.1	7	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-108B	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	8.6	8.6	100	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-109S	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-110I	0.76	1.17	40	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	7.3	7.3	20	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-111S	0.83	4	12	0.58	0.58	4	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-111B	0.88	3.1	57	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	0.39	1.6	71	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-111I	1.4	4.29	100	0.29	0.29	33	ND	ND	---	0.62	0.88	67	ND	ND	---	17.2	17.2	17	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-112S	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	6.8	6.8	7	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-112B	0.83	4	12	0.58	0.58	4	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-113S	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-113B	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	0.78	5.6	15	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	3.3	17	46	ND	ND	---	36	36	8
MW-115S	1.6	1.6	4	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	7.6	7.6	4	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-115B	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	6.6	11	14	ND	ND	---	180	180	7
MW-117S	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	21.4	21.4	100	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-117B	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	118	118	100	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-118S	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-120S	0.78	0.84	15	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-120B	0.89	2.1	67	0.28	0.39	17	ND	ND	---	0.67	3.35	100	ND	ND	---	5.8	5.8	8	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
S-3	1.2	4.1	53	ND	ND	---	0.24	0.6	18	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	11	11	6	ND	ND	---
Number of Wells with Detections	17			11			10			7			2			22			7			3		
Percent of Wells with Detections	46			30			27			19			5			59			19			8		
Maximum Observed Concentration	9.17			3.2			12000			3.35			2			118			1300			180		
Date of Maximum Concentration Detected	8/12/2003			10/31/2006			5/7/2003			8/14/2003			8/14/2003			8/13/2003			4/20/2005			11/6/2003		

Notes:
All concentrations reported in ug/L
ROD Cleanup - background concentration from Record of Decision (ROD)
ND - Non Detect
Min - Minimum
Max - Maximum
% Detect - Percent Detected in well
MCL - USEPA Maximum Contaminant Level for drinking water
NC - No Concentration Set

Table 9
Summary of Chemicals of Concern Analytical Results - 2003 to 2008
Metals in Groundwater

Barkhamsted - New Hartford Landfill

VOC of Concern	Lead			Arsenic			Chromium			Manganese		
ROD Cleanup (ug/L)	3			5			50			50		
MCL (ug/L)	15			10			100			NC		
Well ID	Min	Max	%Detect	Min	Max	%Detect	Min	Max	%Detect	Min	Max	%Detect
MW-1S	0.97	41	40	7.7	24	93	1.6	12	60	48	80	100
MW-4S	1.4	7.8	40	7.6	26	100	0.7	2.9	60	770	6550	100
MW-4R	2.1	2.1	100	7	7	100	1.1	1.1	100	4600	4600	100
MW-5S	0.46	6	25	38	38	6	0.53	1.7	25	560	1900	100
MW-5B	0.51	3.1	36	2.3	21	21	0.74	2.9	36	320	3540	100
MW-101S	0.66	6.5	31	2.8	17	92	2.2	6.7	54	73	6400	100
MW-101B	0.92	11	25	3	12	21	0.73	4.2	46	2.6	6100	88
MW-101I	1.2	1.4	40	38	38	20	0.94	1.2	40	25	150	100
MW-101D	9	9	100	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	510	510	100
MW-102S	0.77	5	21	14	19	14	0.7	3.2	43	3.6	290	79
MW-102B	1.2	2.9	36	2.3	35	14	0.5	3.4	29	49	580	100
MW-103S	0.97	3.2	25	3	12	13	0.73	10	50	2.6	5300	79
MW-103B	0.58	5.5	33	ND	ND	---	0.5	4.1	60	27	230	100
MW-104S	1.1	1.3	18	ND	ND	---	1	1.9	64	7.4	310	100
MW-104B	1	6.3	50	ND	ND	---	0.6	3.6	64	11	100	82
MW-101I	1.2	1.4	40	38	38	20	0.94	1.2	40	25	150	100
MW-105S	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	40	40	100
MW-105B	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	20	20	100
MW-106S	0.91	2	14	4.6	4.6	7	0.69	2.9	50	34	510	100
MW-108B	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	40	40	100
MW-109S	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-110I	1.2	15	80	33	33	20	3	3.7	40	4200	5100	100
MW-111S	0.62	2.5	24	5	40	12	0.71	5.1	48	3.2	3700	84
MW-111B	0.7	4	29	1.3	1.3	7	0.6	3	50	14	77	100
MW-111I	0.95	0.95	17	17	17	17	0.76	1.4	33	4.1	18	50
MW-112S	0.38	4	29	4	4	7	2	19	57	7.5	190	86
MW-112B	0.74	2.5	17	5	10	13	0.79	6.6	54	3.3	3700	71
MW-113S	0.53	5	29	ND	ND	---	0.89	1.6	57	1.1	20	64
MW-113B	1.3	2.6	31	5.6	5.6	8	1	4.6	46	5.8	40	77
MW-115S	0.91	3	23	5.9	24	8	0.6	3.8	50	4.4	400	77
MW-115B	0.99	5	36	10	12	21	1.1	3	57	10	130	100
MW-117S	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	740	740	100
MW-117B	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-118S	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---	ND	ND	---
MW-120S	0.51	3.2	38	5.6	5.6	8	0.6	2.8	54	810	3300	100
MW-120B	0.46	2	25	1.9	11	25	0.55	3	42	61	470	92
S-3	0.41	3	18	9.7	10	12	0.52	2.6	35	640	3900	100
Number of Wells with Detections	**30**			**25**			**29**			**34**		
Percent of Wells with Detections	**81**			**68**			**78**			**92**		
Maximum Observed Concentration	**41**			**40**			**19**			**6550**		
Date of Maximum Concentration Detected	**11/4/2003**			**4/20/2006**			**11/1/2006**			**8/12/2003**		

Notes:
All concentrations reported in ug/L
ROD Cleanup - background concentration from Record of Decision (ROD)
ND - Non Detect
Min - Minimum
Max - Maximum
% Detect - Percent Detected in well
MCL - USEPA Maximum Contaminant Level for drinking water
NC - No Concentration Set

Table 10
Changes in Cancer Toxicity Data

Pathway: Ingestion, Dermal

Chemical of Concern	Oral Cancer Slope Factor in ROD	Current Applicable Oral Cancer Slope Factor	Slope Factor Units	Weight of Evidence/Cancer Guideline Description	Source	Date of Change (MM/DD/YY)
Arsenic	1.5	same	$[(mg/kg)/day]^{-1}$	A	IRIS	--
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	.024	**none**	$[(mg/kg)/day]^{-1}$	**D**	**IRIS**	**08/05/08**
Benzene	.029	**0.055**	$[(mg/kg)/day]^{-1}$	A	IRIS	08/05/08
1,2-Dichloroethane	.091	same	$[(mg/kg)/day]^{-1}$	B2	IRIS	--
1,2-Dichloropropane	.068	same	$[(mg/kg)/day]^{-1}$	B2	**NCEA**	**10/14/04**
Chloroethane	.0029	same	$[(mg/kg)/day]^{-1}$	B2	**NCEA**	**10/14/04**
Chloroform	.0061	**0.01**	$[(mg/kg)/day]^{-1}$	B2	IRIS	08/05/08
Chloromethane	.013	**none**	$[(mg/kg)/day]^{-1}$	**D**	**IRIS**	**08/05/08**
Dibromochloromethane	.084	same	$[(mg/kg)/day]^{-1}$	C	IRIS	--
Methylene chloride	.0075	same	$[(mg/kg)/day]^{-1}$	B2	IRIS	--
Trichloroethene	.011	**0.4**	$[(mg/kg)/day]^{-1}$	B1	**NCEA**	**10/14/04**
Vinyl chloride	1.9	**1.5**	$[(mg/kg)/day]^{-1}$	A	IRIS	08/05/08
Bis(2-ethyl hexyl) phthalate	.014	same	$[(mg/kg)/day]^{-1}$	B2	IRIS	--

Key

Toxicity data as reviewed on 8/5/08

Changes since Record of Decision shown in **boldface**, applicable dates noted where known

--: Not applicable or no information available

IRIS: Integrated Risk Information System, U.S. EPA

NCEA: National Center for Environmental Assessment

USEPA GROUP:

A - Human Carcinogen

B2 - Probable human carcinogen – Indicates sufficient evidence in animals and inadequate or no evidence in humans

C - Possible human carcinogen

Summary of Toxicity Assessment

This table provides carcinogenic risk information that is relevant to the contaminants of concern in groundwater. At the time of writing the risk assessment, slope factors were not available for the dermal route of exposure. Thus, the dermal slope factors used in the assessment were extrapolated from oral values. An adjustment factor is sometimes applied, and is dependent upon how well the chemical is absorbed via the oral route. Adjustments are particularly important for chemicals with less than 50% absorption via the ingestion route. However, adjustment is not necessary for the chemicals evaluated at this Site. Therefore, the same values presented above were used as the dermal carcinogenic slope factors for these contaminants.

Table 11
Changes in Non-Cancer Toxicity Data

Pathway: Ingestion, Dermal

Chemical of Concern	Oral RfD Value in ROD	Current Applicable Oral RfD Value	Oral RfD Units	Primary Target Organ	Combined Uncertainty/ Modifying Factors	Source	Date of Change (MM/DD/YY)
Arsenic	0.0003	same	mg-kg/day	Skin	3	IRIS	--
Chromium	0.003 (Cr VI)	same	mg-kg/day	--	900	IRIS	--
Manganese	0.024	**0.046**	mg-kg/day	CNS	1	IRIS	08/05/08
Acetone	0.1	**0.9**	mg-kg/day	Liver/Kidney	1000	IRIS	08/05/08
Benzene	0.003	**0.0004**	mg-kg/day	--	**300**	**IRIS**	08/05/08
2-Butanone	0.6	same	mg-kg/day	Developmental	1000	IRIS	--
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.03	**none**	mg-kg/day	--	--	**IRIS**	08/05/08
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.0011	**none**	mg-kg/day	--	--	IRIS	08/05/08
Chloroethane	0.4	**none**	mg-kg/day	--	--	**NCEA**	10/14/04
Chloroform	0.01	same	mg-kg/day	Liver	1000	IRIS	--
Dibromochloromethane	0.02	same	mg-kg/day	Kidney	1000	IRIS	--
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	0.08	same	mg-kg/day	Liver/Kidney	3000	**NCEA**	10/14/04
Methylene chloride	0.06	same	mg-kg/day	Liver	100	IRIS	--
Toluene	0.2	**0.08**	mg-kg/day	Liver/Kidney	**3000**	IRIS	08/05/08
Trichloroethene	0.006	**0.0003**	mg-kg/day	Liver/Kidney	3000	**NCEA**	10/14/04
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)-phthalate	0.02	same	mg-kg/day	Liver	1000	IRIS	--
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.03	**same**	mg-kg/day	--	--	**IRIS**	08/05/08
2,4-Dimethylphenol	0.02	same	mg-kg/day	Blood	3000	IRIS	--
4-Methylphenol	0.005	same	mg-kg/day	CNS	1000	**NCEA**	10/14/04
Vinyl chloride	none	**0.003**	mg-kg/day	Liver	30	**IRIS**	08/05/08

Key: Toxicity data as reviewed on 8/5/08 Changes since Record of Decision shown in **boldface,** effective dates noted where known. --: Not applicable or no information available IRIS: Integrated Risk Information System, U.S. EPA NCEA: National Center for Environmental Assessment	

Summary of Toxicity Assessment

This table provides non-carcinogenic risk information that is relevant to the contaminants of concern in groundwater. **All** of the COCs have toxicity data, indicating their potential for adverse non-carcinogenic health effects in humans. All RfD's are based on chronic toxicity. Dermal RfD values used in the risk assessment were extrapolated from oral values.

TABLE 12
RECOMMENDED CHANGES TO SAMPLING LOCATIONS, RATIONALE AND FREQUENCY

Sample Location	Frequency	MNA Monitoring Type	Analytical Parameters	Initial Rationale	Revised Rationale for 2008
Groundwater Monitoring Wells					
S-3	Semi-Annual	Detection	VOCs, SVOCs, Metals - Total, Landfill leachate indicators	Well is located in the vicinity of the eastern edge of the overburden ground water plume.	No change.
MW-1S*	Semi-Annual	Detection	VOCs, SVOCs, Metals - Total, Landfill leachate indicators	Well is located in the vicinity of the upgradient portion of the overburden plume.	No change.
MW-4S	Semi-Annual	Detection	VOCs, SVOCs, Metals - Total, Landfill leachate indicators	Well is located in central portion of overburden plume.	No change.
MW-4R	Semi-Annual	Detection	VOCs, SVOCs, Metals - Total, Landfill leachate indicators	Well is located at western edge of shallow bedrock plume.	Obstructed at 12 feet since start, but pulled out tubing April 2008.
MW-5S* MW-5B*	Semi-Annual	Performance	**MNA Parameters,** VOCs, SVOCs, Metals - Total, Landfill leachate indicators	Wells are located at western edge of overburden and shallow bedrock plumes.	No change.

TABLE 12
RECOMMENDED CHANGES TO SAMPLING LOCATIONS, RATIONALE AND FREQUENCY

Sample Location	Frequency	MNA Monitoring Type	Analytical Parameters	Initial Rationale	Revised Rationale for 2008
Groundwater Monitoring Wells					
MW-101S* MW-101B*	Semi-Annual	Performance	**MNA Parameters,** VOCs, SVOCs, Metals - Total, Landfill leachate indicators	Wells are located at the western edge of overburden and shallow bedrock plumes.	No change.
MW-101I MW-101D	Annual to not sampled	Detection	VOCs, SVOCs, Metals - Total, Landfill leachate indicators	Ground water in intermediate and deep bedrock zones not impacted; monitor for vertical migration.	Remove wells. MW- 101D is not in plume (below it) and MW-101I is just below plume and vertical impact was not noted. MW101B can be indicator for vertical migration.
MW-102S*	Semi-Annual	Detection	VOCs, SVOCs, Metals - Total, Landfill leachate indicators	Ground water in overburden not impacted at this location. Well to monitor potential plume migration in eastern direction.	No change.
MW-102B*	Semi-Annual	Detection	VOCs, SVOCs, Metals - Total, Landfill leachate indicators	Well is located at eastern edge of shallow bedrock plume.	No change.
MW-103S*	Semi-Annual to annual	Detection	VOCs, SVOCs, Metals - Total, Landfill leachate indicators	Well is located at eastern edge of overburden plume.	Change to annual as ND since 2003.
MW-103B*	Semi-Annual to annual	Detection	VOCs, SVOCs, Metals - Total, Landfill leachate indicators	Well is located near the center of the shallow bedrock plume.	Change to annual as ND since 2003.

TABLE 12
RECOMMENDED CHANGES TO SAMPLING LOCATIONS, RATIONALE AND FREQUENCY

Sample Location	Frequency	MNA Monitoring Type	Analytical Parameters	Initial Rationale	Revised Rationale for 2008
Groundwater Monitoring Wells					
MW-104S	Semi-Annual to Annual	Detection	VOCs, SVOCs, Metals - Total, Landfill leachate indicators	Wells are approx. 225 ft northwest of overburden and shallow bedrock plumes. Wells to monitor plume migration in northern direction.	Changed frequency to annual. No affects to wells.
MW-104B					
MW-104I	Annual to not sampled	Detection	VOCs, SVOCs, Metals - Total, Landfill leachate indicators		Remove from sampling as the S and B wells are adequate. Well also obstructed since 2004.
MW-106S*	Semi-Annual	Detection	VOCs, SVOCs, Metals - Total, Landfill leachate indicators	Well is located upgradient, southwest of overburden plume. Well to monitor plume migration in western direction.	No change.
MW-110I	Annual to not sampled	Detection	VOCs, SVOCs, Metals - Total, Landfill leachate indicators	Well is located at the northeastern edge of the landfill cap.	Remove from sampling as historically ND and nearby coverage with S-3 and MW102.
MW-111S*	Semi-Annual	Detection	VOCs, SVOCs, Metals - Total, Landfill leachate indicators	Wells are located at downgradient edge of overburden and shallow bedrock plumes. Wells to monitor plume migration in northeastern direction.	Change by removing the MNA parameters from MW-111S and adding them to MW-111I as plume is diving deeper and no significant shallow affects.
MW-111B*			**MNA Parameters,** VOCs, SVOCs, Metals - Total, Landfill leachate indicators		
MW-111I	Annual to Semi-Annual	Detection	**MNA Parameters,** VOCs, SVOCs, Metals - Total, Landfill leachate indicators		Add MNA sampling as noted above and increase frequency from annual to semi-annual.

TABLE 12
RECOMMENDED CHANGES TO SAMPLING LOCATIONS, RATIONALE AND FREQUENCY

Sample Location	Frequency	MNA Monitoring Type	Analytical Parameters	Initial Rationale	Revised Rationale for 2008
Groundwater Monitoring Wells					
MW-112S	Semi-Annual to Annual	Ambient	VOCs, SVOCs, Metals - Total, Landfill leachate indicators	Wells are located upgradient, south of plume, and represent background data.	Change MW-112S to annual. Remove?
MW-112B	Semi-Annual to not sampled				Remove MW-112B from sampling.
MW-113S*	Semi-Annually	Ambient	**MNA Parameters**, VOCs, SVOCs, Metals - Total, Landfill leachate indicators	Wells are located upgradient, south of plume, and represent background data.	No change.
MW-113B*					
MW-113I	Annual to not sampled	Ambient	VOCs, SVOCs, Metals - Total, Landfill leachate indicators,		Obstructed at 1.5 ft since start, remove from sampling.
MW-113D					Obstructed by pump left by others since start, remove from sampling.
MW-115S*	Semi-Annual	Ambient	VOCs, SVOCs, Metals - Total, Landfill leachate indicators	Wells are located southeast of plume, and represent background data.	No change. Wells also sentinel for residences along New Hartford Road.
MW-115B*					
MW-120S	Semi-Annual to Annual	Detection or Performance	**MNA Parameters**, VOCs, SVOCs, Metals – Total, Landfill leachate indicators	Location To Be Determined, likely between MW-5 and MW-117.	Change to annual as cleaning up.
MW-120B (new wells)	Semi-Annual				No change.

TABLE 12
RECOMMENDED CHANGES TO SAMPLING LOCATIONS, RATIONALE AND FREQUENCY

Sample Location	Frequency	MNA Monitoring Type	Analytical Parameters	Rationale
Surface Water (no change)				
SW-3*	Semi-Annual	N/A	VOCs SVOCs Metals – Total Hardness Pesticides Landfill leachate indicators	Surface water samples will be obtained at locations where groundwater is discharging to surface water. Sample locations will correspond to locations sampled previously, using the existing designations for those locations
SW-16*				
SW-9*				
Sediment (no change)				
SED-3	Annual	N/A	VOCs Metals - Total, SVOCs PCBs Pesticides	Sediment samples will be collected in areas underlying surface water sampling points.
SED-16				
SED-9				
Potable Water (Residences – no change)				
DW-1*	Semi-Annual	N/A	VOCs, Acetone, MEK SVOCs, Metals - Total, Landfill leachate indicators	Potable water wells are located at residential/commercial properties
DW-2*				
DW-3*				
Seeps (no change)				
S6*	Semi-Annual	N/A	VOCs, SVOCs, Metals - Total, Total sulfate Pesticides	Seep sample locations will correspond to locations sampled previously, using the existing designations for those locations
S3*				
S1*				

Notes:

1. * denotes sample locations specified by the OMM Plan (CTDEP) for the landfill.
2. N/A = not applicable.
3. Groundwater samples will be collected from different depths based on the well identification as follows:
   S = overburden well, B or R = shallow bedrock, I = intermediate bedrock, D = deep bedrock.
4. Landfill leachate indicators (per Landfill OMM and amendments) include: alkalinity, ammonia, chemical oxygen demand (COD), chloride, nitrate, total dissolved solids (TDS), total suspended solids (TSS), specific conductivity, hardness, pH and total sulfate.